

On 1/8/24 I was given a copy of a reply from Danielle Tope, Executive Director pertaining to Policies and Procedures here, It said in part:  
"Your complaints and claims were thoroughly investigated, and I have reviewed and considered the entirety of your concerns. As previously stated by Risk Management, STARC is editing and finalizing all policies for STARC and hopes to have them completed and released in the near future."

The crazy thing about this is that STARC has been STARC since 4/22/21 and the Policies/Procedures are still being "edited and finalized".

Then on 1/10/24 I sent Daniel Lambright, Esq. (NYCLU) seven (7) envelopes of documents about here.

Then on 1/11/24 the following happened:

1.) I sent Risk Management two "Objections to care and Treatment". They said:

a.) under "Description of Problem":

"It has been brought to my attention by staff and other residents that resident "R" (304) is and has been working. It is a known fact (confirmed by staff) that resident "R" does not program, so how is it he can work and I can't?"

under "Action Requested":

"That I be allowed to work also or if still not allowed to work I be told why in writing."

b.) under "Description of Problem":

"On 12/26/23 I was denied 8 photos of minor family members and given the reason for denial as "you no longer programming". This is very confusing as I have open access to TV and magazines that continually have minors on/in them. I truly believe the denial is retaliation for all I've put online about here and not about the fact that the photos contain minors. Even in prison I can have the photos I was denied."

under "Action Requested":

"That I be given my pictures of family that I was denied on 12/26/23 or I be told why IN WRITING why I wont be given them, \* Please don't use the excuse that due to me not programing I can't have them if denied them \*"

2.) The RLC (Resident Liasion Committee) results were announced and I found out I had been removed from the running after the vote was over. The results were: Me-10, Resident "R"-9 and resident "B"-2.

3.) I got a reply from Risk Management in refrence to my 1/8/24 letter to; Daniel Tope, Executive Director, George Kahler, Dir. of Operational Services, Bud Ballinger, Dir. of Treatment Services, Aaron Shopp, Chief Psychologist and Ken Paparella. It said in part;

"Risk Management has received and reviewed your correspondence dated January 8, 2024 regarding a request to meet with administration regarding various issues. As you are aware, there is a complaint process in place for resident grievances. If you are dissatisfied with a response received from Risk Management, you may appeal to the Executive Director followed by the Office of the Commissioner for consideration into your complaint. Of note, many of the concerns you have listed in your correspondence have previously been responded to through the complaint process."

Then on 1/12/24 I;

1.) Sent Danielle Tope, Executive Director a appeal to Risk Managements letter pertaining to my request to meet with STARC Administration. It said in part;

"My letter was NOT a risk Management issue and should have never been sent to them. My letter was not a grievance, it was a request for a meeting to have a conversation about certain things (those listed in letter) and see what could be done to work on those things in a pro-social colabritive effort. Whether I have/havent previously filed concerns on anything listed in my meeting request letters should not be of issue as my letter was not a concern letter. I now ask again for the requested meeting."

2.) Sent Risk Management a "Objection to care and Treatment"

that said in part:

Under "Nature of Concern":

"On 12/21/23 I submitted my nieces phone # to be put on my phone list. Then on 12/26/23 I submitted my nephews phone # to be put on my phone list. To date they have not been put on, I've had other #'s added to my list already that were submitted after both their #'s."

Under "Suggested solution to concern":

"That the phone #'s be put on my phone or I be told in writing why they won't be put on."

Then on 1/14/24 I spent my birthday in my room and away from everyone.

Then on 1/16/24 I:

1.) sent three "objections to care and Treatment" to Risk Management. They said in part:

a.) under "Description of Problem":

"On 1/2/24 I sent out a letter to Ithaca College Books Thru Bars. On 1/12/24 the letter was "Return to Sender".

The post mark on the envelope was 1/3/24. This means my mail was not sent out on the day it was supposed to."

Under "Action Requested":

"That I be told why my mail is being held and not going out when I send it. If this continues that whoever is holding my mail be told to me and they be reprimanded accordingly."

b.) Under "Description of Problem":

"On 1/9/24 ward 405 had their RLC vote. Then on 1/11/24 the results were announced. I then spoke to RC 2 Stern about it and found out that once the vote was over I was supposedly removed from the running. The vote results were: Me-10, Resident "R"-9, Resident "B"-2. The ward voted and their decision was superceded by someone with no explanation given."

Under "Action Requested":

"That I be given the RLC position as I won the vote or I be told why in writing why I was removed from the running after the vote was done and who made the decision. Also, that the ward be told the results of the vote and told why at least 10 of their votes

didn't matter."

c.) Under "Description of Problem":

"Since 2011 I have requested individual therapy sessions more than 100 times. To date I have been waiting and waiting for them but still have not gotten them. One of the major parts of sex offender therapy is individual therapy sessions, and they should have been provided to me years ago."

Under "Action Requested":

"That I be given individual therapy sessions at least once a week or if I won't get them I be told why in writing."

As I sat here writing this I started to think about all the things I have wrote up and wondered why I had to write them up. If this is supposed to be a Treatment Program why is there soo much mis-treatment going on and abuse of rights of residents. How does New York State allow it all to happen? It is not like they don't know what is going on. There have been so many lawsuits, Article 78's, complaints, etc., filed that they have to know. Is the problem that they are stupid or is it that they just don't care what happens to the illegal held sex offenders here? I intend to find out one way or another by demanding answers and involving anyone that can/will help.