Between the Bars Attn Info P.O. Box 425103 Cambridge, MA 02142

From Harry L.Gant 395544 F,S 285 Redgranite Corr. Inst Redgranite, WI 54970-925

http://betweenthebars.org/blogs/456/

Blog Post

I want to give a big warm welcome home to Amanda Knox, for her courageous fight, victory in fighting the Italian bias, corrupt criminal justice system.

I must have shed as many tears as Amanda, for I am fighting the very same bias criminal justice system in the state of "Wisconsin."

Our cases are similiar, because of the very same type of conspiracy by the state level police, prosecutor, judge, whom also stacked the jury on me with family, friends of the prosecutor, judge., where the lead detective admitted under oath that "he falsified his police report, offered perjury at my preliminary hearing."

My case was such a sham, mockery of justice that at Voir Dire - (jury selection) the state asked the jury "how do they feel about a witness whom might not be a good witness, what she means is they might not be able to take the stand and accurately recall the events that occured seven months ago." the jury did not care if the witness could not recall, or if he was lying, because I was an indigent-black minority. They even referenced "O.J. Simpson" in my case, the court appointed lawyer got them to admit "a black man cannot get fair, equal justice in the state of Wisconsin.

My trial judge at the begining of trial stated "I will try to preside impartially." Question, how "does a judge try and preside impartially?

The judge, prosecutor, my court appointed lawyer all "stated on record, in the trial transcripts "My jury instructions were flawed with constitutional violations of Multiplicity, double jeopardy, were inadequate, even presented the jury with the wrong instructions."

The jury told the state "They cannot give me the q guaranteed right to the presumption of innocence, or hold the state to its burden of proving each, every crime beyond a reasonable doubt."

I won a remand, filed pro se, proved I was denied a fair appeal, effective assistance of appellate counsel, unfortunately i had to face the same bias judge, prosecutor, whom ignored some of the most soundly established principles of criminal, constitutional, civil law, upheld this wrongful conviction.

I did not have the luxury of having the mass media, the world as a whole watching the court system on my trial, appeal, they were able to ignore thier own clearly stablished laws, as well as the federal constitution, in upholding this wrongful conviction.

I am asking for help in my bloggers to question my case, the wrongful conviction that resulted in a bias, prejudicial, racist justice system in Wisconsin, especially m Madison, WI criminal

I have court records, trial transcripts, Orders of the state, and appelalte judges, the facts shows that they ignored state and Federal laws in upholding a wrongful conviction, where they did not prove every element beyond a reasonable doubt as required by the state, federal constitution.

My bloggers can also contact me at Harry L.Gant 395544 F.S. 285 Redgranite Corr. INst. Redgranite, WI 54970-925, I will answer all and would like suggestions on how I can get this system exposed for thier violation of constitution, civil rights.

In addition, my alleged victim had the same illnesses that the perpatrators that committed the terrible shootings of the Virginia Tech Campus, the military officer who shot up Fort Hood Texas, as my alleged victim, was suffering from BI-polar Schizophrenic, the state prosecutor, judge hid this from the jury, condonned his actions, when he had a history of beating his wife, with his fist, a dangerous weapon, putting her in the hospital, where he threatened to shoot her with his "38" caliber gun, sick his K-9 dog on her.

She was so terrified she had to get Madison Police department to escort her to get her belongings, because she was afraid he would kill her. This man had no communication with his three adult children they all refused to pick him up from the hospital, or attend trial, this shows the type of fear, terror he placed in his children even as adults. He also recieved "Electro convulsive therapy" and was twice hospitalized in Mendota Mental institution, be caue he was a danger to himself, to others, this alsao was kept from the jury. He had a propensity for lying, as he had filed false police reports

on toher people whom he had invited to his home, again the judge, prosecutor tryed to justify preventing the jury from hearing this information that affected his credibility, as they portrayed him s as a family man.

I followed Amanda's case from begining to end, it is amazing how people are denied their rights, railraoded in court saystems that are currput, the others whom witnesses it says nothing, does nothing, condonnes this wrongful acts. My own court appoinmented attorney ad his own handwriting he "was ineffective.

We are trying to get public support, support from state, local, federal officials, whom will want to call for investigation of the wrongful, ilegal conviction, continued imprisonment of my brother.

The facts averred to demonstrates that my brother, was denied a fair trial, and his trial was invalid under the United States Constitutional protections, as guaranteed under the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 14th Amendments.

The very circumstances surrounding my trial, sentencing, appeal, remand hearing is bewlidering.

To permit permit my life to be taken and forfeited upon these illegal acts, makes the constitutional requirements of due proces of law a meaningless symbol.

My illagl conviction is based upon a haunting fear of balatant police, and prosecutorial, and state, trial level, appellate misconduct.

They were allowed to use the above false alleged confession, when they had no signed statement by the defendant, they had no video tape, or voice recordings, it was allegedly taken, under questionable circumstances where petitioner's life hung in the balance by two officers whom disregarded the petitioner's due proposess rights.

The verdict was contrary to the weight, probative force of the evidence on this point, in light of the applicable principles of law.

It is therefore, to be regretted that the state of Wisconsin, its tribunal, the expositer of the state, federal law has reached a conclusion that it is above the federal laws, constitutions.

That it is free to deny indigent, minority its guaranteed rights based on the color of their skin, class status...

This is the arbitrary separation of its citizens, on the basis of race, indigency.

This is inconsistent with the civil freedom and the equality before the law, constitutions, as established by the Constitution In this case, my erroneous conviction, trial cannot be justified on any grounds, Legal, or illegal. Wisconsin has allowed its courts to permit the seeds of race and hate to be planted under the sanction of their laws.