IAB U	JSE ONLY	Institution/Parol	e Region: Log #:	Category:		
		THE THE STATE OF				
	FOR STAFF USE ONLY					
You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Reh adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other properties. Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.1. You must send this appeals of the event that lead to the filing of this appeal. If additional spurther guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for	orescribed beal and ar pace is new using the	method of depa ny supporting doo eded, only one C	rtmental review/rem cuments to the Appe DCR Form 602-A w	edy available. See California Co eals Coordinator (AC) within 30 cal-		
Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceed  Name (Last, First):	CDC Nur	nber:	Unit/Cell Number:	Assignment:		
singh, manjeet michael	t-2	2165	a-4-213	pia=coffee		
State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, j	ob remova	al, etc.):				
Challenging of Disciplinary Hearing De-	cision	(115-admi	n dropped to	128)		
A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A): On Nov. 10, 2011 I						
had RVR #A-10-11-089 heard; it was drop	pped to	128 A(re	duced); I fe	eel		
that it should have been completely dis	ENCE					
TO SUSTAIN said RVR. Further, under, wh	hether	under 'in	terest of ju			
B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of t from 115 2-remove said item(s) from my	he CDCR	602-A): <u>1_ret</u>	1771 25-3 (6) 90 (6) 20 (7)	educed)		
evidence is/was and / or was purported	to be	and entere	d as a basi:	s to		
issue the rvr 115 that was initially se	1.5.2					
Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.	(1)					
XXYes, I have attached supporting documents.			or the same of	racing		
List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property			ssification Chrono):	near!		
dipposition	py of	rvr		_		
my 'statement' denied' 4 pages						
No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason :				la Ser		
Mo, I have not attached any supporting documents. Heason						
		Λ	(6)	- 4		
I respectfully request	inter	/i/ew	- , ,	- Indian		
Inmate/Parolee Signature: Michael Mayord	Such	to Submitted:	11/17/11			
By placing my initials in this box (white party)	iahr to r	occive an int	oniow	- 40		
By placing my initials in this box, I waive my r						
C. First Level - Staff Use Only This appeal has been:	3	Staff – Check Or	ne: Is CDCR 602-A	Attached?  Yes  No		
Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.						
Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date:	Date		Date:	Date:		
Cancelled (See attached letter) Date:  Accepted at the First Level of Review.						
Assigned to: Title	9;	Date	Assigned:	Date Due:		
irst Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Inter-						
Date of Interview:						
our appeal issue is: Granted Granted in Part Der						
See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Leve						
nterviewer: Title: Si	gnature:	30 02		Date completed:		
eviewer: Title: Sig	gnature:			_		
(Print Name) Pate received by AC:						
ale received by Ac.		<u> </u>	C Has Only			
			C Use Only ate mailed/delivere	d to appellant / /		

CDCR 602-A (08/09)	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF		Side 1	
IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Par	Category:		
	FOR STAFF USE ONLY			
Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.	y one CDCR		CLEARLY in black or blue ink.	
treate franch and	Number: -22165	Unit/Cell Number:  **Exx A4=213	Assignment: PIA: Coffee	
A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue) : and and/or lack of evidence to sustain ANY finding			ices,	
the 'attachments' thatr were to be used as evi	dence; I	was not permitted	>=	
a opportunity to present documentary evidence	'my state	ment' see the	per É	
on it's very face 200,000 is to be Serious (so		0.00	come.	
can't be found guilty of an 'order I was never violated/The rvr is a 'clear abuse od of discr	etion und	er color ofxxx		
authority! under pg 1 of 2 under findings, it	-		111	
supports of guilt includes: (1) rvr authored by attachments that were shown to me that is repeated	2017		es 🕜	
state to have seen said evidence, but no one has	as showed	me; further since	ECONO.	
sutherxstatesylvHightessayVixMxSinghxhasxbeenx	Beibanbas	xanaxanaxnax	x	
forxximilarxxffensesxxfxdisobeyingxmrdersxinst	COLD COLD INC.		4.1	
nexemenedxfronxnyxexfilesyxbecausextheyxteexare	exfahrica:	tranxanaxunxbanaxbast	(1) :[	
marit. I was never able to see or was shown sai	d eviden	ce I was never give	en 🎉	
a chance to challenge the 'validity' of evidence	CONTRACTOR OF STREET		d.	
'in my perspective' just be a fictional occuren			Emm	
other than what's written has been shown to me.			837-225	
Inmate/Parolee Signature: Hickard Mangood Sign	Date Sub	mitted: 11/17/1(	S	
B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested):	ly it was	dropped to 128 (s	see attached	
Lethat author be informed of: Canadian Coalitical Supp.2d.1199(2003) states (published) PRISDONER ON THE INTERNET WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A NON-IN- Access to facilities, public pays for facilities	S ARE NOT CARCEATED	TO BE PUNISHED FO	R POSTING MATERIALS 15- 3260-Public	
are being conducted; it's public policy for cdc	r to make	it known to publi	c and Title 15-	
260xxx 3261.7-Cameras /other digital equipmen	t-(a) STA	FF CANNOT PROHIBIT	A PERSON who	
s NOT ON PRISON grounds from using their digits nyactivities, functions employees i/ms etyc.				
o the 'said' purported evidence. 6-Since, I	was ab	solved of one (	115)and/or not	
Cound 'cleared' then I should be ablsoved of it	inm it'	s entirety(as it's	a contradiction	
in/of itself; [the 115 was, writen 5 times b Min				