

Massachusetts Chapter 14 Beacon Street, Suite 407, Boston, MA 02108 (617) 227-7335

Kelly Ryan, Superintendent MCI-Shirley P.O. Box 1218 Shirley, MA 01464

January 17, 2012

RE: October 2011 Mass Dissent

Dear Superintendent Ryan:

The National Lawyers Guild, established in 1937, is a progressive bar association comprised of lawyers, law students and others, including a number of prison inmates. The Massachusetts Chapter of the National Lawyers Guild ("the Guild") is the publisher of the newsletter Mass Dissent.

I write on behalf of the Guild and I also write on behalf of Timothy J. Muise, an MCI-Shirley inmate and Guild member who authored an article ("the Article") for the October 2011 Mass Dissent ("the Publication"). You confiscated the Publication as contraband and, on November 7, 2011, you denied Mr. Muise's administrative appeal ("Appeal Denial"). You determined that the Publication was contraband under 103 CMR 481 and did not distribute it to all Shirley inmates who are members of the Guild. I urge you to reconsider as your decision was rendered in contravention of pertinent DOC Regulations and in violation of civil rights statutes and Constitutional guarantees under the State and Federal Constitutions.

First, you failed to comply with 103 CMR 481.15(3)(f) and 103 CMR 481.16(2), which requires you to notify the publisher, i.e., the Guild, when declaring the Publication contraband. You did not do so and you have infringed upon the regulatory, statutory and Constitutional rights of the Guild.

Second, it does not appear that you gave proper notice to Mr. Muise and others, as required by 103 CMR 481.16(2), when confiscating the Publication. As such, you have infringed upon Mr. Muise's regulatory, statutory and Constitutional rights.

Third, you have failed to specify what is objectionable in the Publication, other than stating in the Appeal Denial that "this particular edition was rejected by the Deputy Superintendent because of a security concern he has with the language used in the article and its potential to encourage a group uprising or riot amongst the inmate population." You further note in the Appeal Denial: "I have reviewed the article and I concur in the

NLG Letter to MCI-Shirley re Mass Dissent page 2

assessment that the article, in whole or in significant part, encourages activities that may lead to the use of physical violence or group disruption. Any such activities would interfere with the institutional goals of security, order and rehabilitation." Nowhere have you noted what part (or parts) of the Article is suspect nor have you explained how the Article would lead to the ill effects you describe. This suggests that you have acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

Fourth, your mention of "the language" in the Article suggests your decision runs afoul of 103 CMR 481.15(3)(a), which states: "The deputy superintendent may not reject a publication solely because its content is religious, philosophical, political, social, or because its content is unpopular or repugnant." Your decision appears to be a content-based ruling that is precluded by the free expression clauses of the State and Federal Constitutions.

Fifth, the Publication was distributed without incident at every correctional facility in Massachusetts. As there is nothing in the Article (or the Publication) that is specific to MCI-Shirley, this suggests that you have acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

Sixth, the October issue of *Mass Dissent* is typically designated by the Guild as its annual prisoners' edition and has routinely been sent to Massachusetts Correctional facilities without objection. The prior October editions of *Mass Dissent* are available for your review at http://www.nlgmass.org/mass-dissent-online. I have reviewed those previous October editions of *Mass Dissent* and find nothing remarkable about the October 2011 publication in comparison. This further suggests that you have acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

In short, your decision to seize the Publication as contraband contravenes the regulatory, statutory and Constitutional rights of both the Guild and Mr. Muise. I urge you to reconsider. If you provide the Publication to its intended recipients at MCI-Shirley within thirty (30) days, the matter will be at an end. If you do not, we will have no choice but to take legal action to defend our legal rights and those of our Guild members.

Thank you.

Uszula Masny-Latos Executive Director