page 2

UNFAIR TREATMENT IN REHABILITATION PRACTICES

and Nichols conspired to discriminate on the basis of Andy's mental disabilities contrary to the Americans with Disabilities Act. Sieleni initially diagnosed Andy as to mandate treatment authorizing Baldwin to place him in an appropriate confinement of clinical care unit under lowa code section qoz.5. Once there, isp officials intentionally deny or unreasonably deny Andy's access to mandated treatment as been ordered by Sieleni.

By placing inmates into CCU, it is obvious that even a layperson would easily recognize the necessity to have ISP officials treated their condition. While there, sieleni often fails to respond or does not respond at all to any of Andy's serious medical needs. Instead, sieleni privately conversates via computer with CCU psychologists about anything when failing to respond to Andy's medical concerns.

sieleni and CCU psychologists forces inmates to attend in and participate in mental health programming by utilizing iowa Code Chapter 229. Andy was forced to partake in the STEPPS program or he would be disciplined for his failure to follow mental health plans ISP officials designed for him. Andy felt uncomfortable with STEPPS because the facilitators were primarily focused on Andy's sexual orientation as being

inappropriate and their desire to convert Andy.

I street

ISP officials then refuse to allow inmates to obtain and waive any or all mental health treatment because, in their judgment, is be appropriate to control the behavior of its inmates pursuant to lowa code section \$12.6(3). At this time, no court has judicially adjudged Andy as incompetent under lowa code chapter \$12. Thus, Andy retains a right to refuse any or all treatment and an obstruction to or interference of this right is contrary to the legislature's intent under lowa code chapter \$12.

A service of the serv