

As reflected in the post-trial news article of May 18th, 1989, the prosecutors' Opening Statement to the Jurors was premised on the fictitiously declared "false make". The prosecutor knew also that to a moral certainty the "LAPD's" "false make" would be overwhelmingly impeached by the prospective testimonies assembled from the independent respectful experts (Mr. Chuck Morton) and two other independently selected respectful law enforcement agencies' ballistic's experts (Mr. Grady Goldmann and Mr. Lou Barry); which motivated the prosecutor to "backpedal" from the true realities of the evident corruption and, instead, hoodwink Jurors with the portrayal of the assembled three-way prearranged make, in the interest of finality. . .

The prosecution's Case-in-Chief was a charade of circular filibustering and; indirect inferences about; my escape and the gun fight; my so-called rare .38/.357 pistol; the prearranged three-way make of the so-called crime scene evidence bullets or bullet fragments and the numerous grants of immunity to the death merchants who was probably responsible for the death of Roger (Rags) Grant.

The trial court prohibited all evidence about the underlying self-defense prosecution. However, in the interest of justice, Mr. John Helvin, a recently retired Los Angeles Police Department's robbery-homicide detective was called to give testimony for the ascertainment of truth. Detective Helvin was one of the three LAPD detectives who had interviewed me at the Los Angeles Police Department Headquarters, on July 11th, 1984.

Coincidentally or otherwise, within a month or so of my arrest, after working 25-years as a Los Angeles City Police officer or Robbery-Homicide detective, Mr. Helvin retired and started working as a Private Investigator. Having primary knowledge about the charges and also about my own prior background, inspired me to request the court for the approval of his appointment as my own private-defense investigator and to work as a team together with Mr. Jerry Feinburg (himself a retired Division Commander of the Hollywood Sector of the Los Angeles Police Department) had been appointed as the private-defense investigator for my codefendant, Marshall L. Bridges (aka Khumasi L. Bridges; aka Khumisi L. Pruett).

Both, Khumasi and I had first exercised our right of self representation, in propria persona, without professional legal counsel.

Before retirement and while still a LAPD detective, Mr. Helvin interviewed

See, "In The Interest of Justice", Pg. 17: