

Buhogrunon: the acronym you chose/choose to address me by is both antagonistic and condescending. Showing a lack of respect for a man seeking to reconnect with his children. A man who takes pride in the fact of never physically, mentally, emotionally, or consciously abusing either child - as I gave them all the love and support I was capable of while they were in my care. Things do not always appear black or white, and sometimes there are no easy answers. I beat myself up enough over the years for not being the father I wanted to be, and over time came to forgive my faults as I came to accept the hand dealt me to play out in my role as a father. While praying one day my children would mature and not hold animosity in their hearts due to my absence. So no one has a right to suggest I take responsibility for the bad in my children's lives, or to suggest/infer I have ulterior motives to take credit for the good. I only planted seeds of my love for them while I was able to enjoy their company. Where is the wrong or bad in that? I was blessed to spend a lot of time with them in their formative years and I am thankful for that! So why would I accept the opinion of someone using an acronym meant to be antagonistic and condescending? If my children hold the same opinion of me as you, then yes it is the burden of my cross to bear and I will accept it not out of blame as you suggest, But rather because I know better. Thank you, for your responses, and allowing me this time of reflection/introspection as I still holdout hope of reconnecting with my children.

Respectfully,

Milo Rose