

= Oct. 18, 2013 =

Suicide Watch:

See attached grievance.

NOTE: Washington State Penitentiary
still covers suicide watch cell
windows as of Sept. 2013.

Always,
Jim [Signature]



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

LEVEL 3

LOG I.D. NUMBER
1201587

APPEAL TO LEVEL III
APELACIÓN AL 3ER NIVEL

Name: NOMBRE:	Last APELLIDO	First PRIMERO NOMBRE	Middle 2DO NOMBRE	DOC Number NUMERO DOC	Facility/ FACILIDAD	Unit/Cell UNIDAD/CELDA
TROUPE, DAVID				765714	WSP <i>inms-63</i>	MSB06
Community Corrections Office OFICINA DE CORRECCIONES EN LA COMUNIDAD			Date Typed FECHA ESCRITA	PART B - OBTS INFORMATION INFORMACION DE OBTS		
			3/06/12	Remedy/REMEDIIO	Resolution/RESOLUCION	Pending/PENDIENTE

PART A - APPEAL TO LEVEL III/PARTE A - APPELCIÓN AL 3ER NIVEL Response due/Respuesta-requerida en

I WANT TO APPEAL: DOC is not supposed to create unhealthy and unsafe environments, but WSP is doing just that by covering the suicide watch cell windows. They claim its policy yet I cannot find a policy for window coverings and no communication on the COU. Again, I had chest pains and was ignored due to [REDACTED] order to not talk to me. I almost pissed myself to custody and mental health are responsible for keeping me safe and not ignoring my calls for help. And to reduce visibility on suicide watch is just reckless. It causes stress, creates a blind spot and allows staff to ignore me because I can't tell whose there and whose not. I feel like a caged animal stuffed in the corner. The "Conditions of Confinement" Form does not have a reduced stimuli section. Why am I treated so bad at WSP? Isn't the COU supposed to encourage visibility, communication, and help me calm down and get it together? So why all the abuse?

SUGGESTED REMEDY: Put a stop to window covering on the COU for any reason.

[REDACTED]	3/06/12	/s/ David Troupe	3/06/12
Grievance Coordinator Signature FIRMA DE COORDINADOR DE QUEJAS	Date FECHA	Grievant Signature FIRMA DE QUEJANTE	Date FECHA

PART B - LEVEL III RESPONSE/PARTE B RESPUESTA 3ER NIVEL

I reviewed your initial grievance as well as all appeals and responses.

DOC Director of Mental Health, [REDACTED], reviewed this grievance and provided this response:

I reviewed your Level I and II grievance, the investigation, and the responses. I have read your Level III appeal.

The mental health provider is responsible for the conditions of confinement in the close observation area. If they determine that you are communicating with other offenders via the window, and this is detrimental to your mental health, then they can have the window covered. The close observation area is supposed to be a low stimulation area. Unfortunately the WSP close observation was designed with cells directly across from one another. This has resulted in instances of increased stimulation due to offenders communicating with one another. If the mental health staff determine that this is counterproductive to treatment, then the windows can be blocked. Your window will not be blocked if you follow the directives of the staff.

[REDACTED]	<i>4-3-12</i>
OCO Deputy Secretary/Designee SUBSECRETARIO DE LA OCO/DESIGNADO	Date FECHA