

## COMPELLING EVIDENCE OF INNOCENCE

In October 1982, two Clearwater, Florida public defenders were appointed to represent me on the charge of first degree premeditated murder. Due to favorable evidence pointing toward my being innocent having been withheld from them, they were falsely lead to believe the evidence presented against me was overwhelming as to guilt. Which created a conflict between them and I, as I maintained my innocence, yet had no way to dispute the evidence being presented against me. Except to say the evidence was not true, because I did not kill anyone.

In September 1987, evidence emerged proving what I told the two public defenders about the evidence being presented against me not being true. Yet, as of February 2014, these two attorneys have not been compelled to respond to the evidence vindicating me of any type of murder.

These two attorneys when confronted with the withheld favorable evidence will have to admit due to prosecutorial misconduct there was no way I was going to receive a fair and impartial trial. As the rules these two attorneys played in the process created irreparable prejudice that cannot be condoned. Proving I did not receive a fair and impartial trial.

It is a sad reflection on America's criminal justice system when a petition has to be filed before the court of public opinion to compel these two, and other three trial level attorneys to come forward to correct an over three decade old miscarriage of justice...

Thank you for taking part in my petition campaign on (change.org) to help bring about my vindication of any type of murder...

Respectfully,

Paul Rose