

"reply 10" 4cb6

Jules, Thank you for your comments, and I sincerely hope you will actively speakout, and write on my behalf. I would like to clarify one important point to your comment: (regardless of whether there is belief in his guilt). The presumption of innocence was denied me, as I was made to appear overwhelmingly guilty by false and misleading evidence, I was only able to dispute by saying none of the evidence was true!

The travesty of justice in my being made to appear guilty has continued throughout the appellate process, because I have never been given an evidentiary hearing on the guilt/innocence issues allowing me to dispute/disprove the evidence used to bring about my false conviction. Which is why I am now calling on the court of public opinion to compel the five trial level attorneys to respond to the favorable evidence that was withheld from them. Which they will all have to admit proves I was denied a fair and impartial trial. As the very basic right of being presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt was denied me by the presentation of false and misleading evidence to obtain a conviction regardless of my innocence. The point being: how can anyone believe me to be guilty when the evidence used to convict me wasn't true?!

Thank you again Jules, and I hope you will help me advocate my cause to bring about the influence to compel the truth of my innocence...

Milo Rose