

THE IMPORTANCE OF THE UNCONSCIOUS PSYCHE ON NATIONAL CHARACTER

Carl Gustav Jung, the 19th century psychologist, was not a Catholic. Nevertheless, he was a noted psychologist, eminent in his field. While I certainly don't agree with everything he taught, he certainly had a number of deep insights into the working of the human psyche. One of the most innovative was his explanation of how the unconscious part of the psyche exerts a complementary effect on the conscious psyche to create the total self.

We've all experienced urges and pulls to do and say particular things at specific times, or in response to certain situations. We all have habits we seem to fall into and complete even when not consciously willing to do them. It seems as if certain thoughts and behaviors are on 'automatic'. This is the influence of the unconscious psyche. The greatest evidence of its existence, remarked Jung, is to be found in the pathological states, phobias, attractions and aversions. There are definitely aspects of our minds that are not completely under conscious control. We can fight against these impulses, certainly, we may equally be able to bring them into conscious awareness and control, but it is nevertheless undeniably certain that they are there.

The question becomes one of understanding the source of these irrational impulses, and delving into the depths of the unconscious, discovering all that it contains in an effort to understand ourselves. Many times we may feel we just 'decide' to do something, never realizing the influence our unconscious psyche played in guiding or leading that decision. All too often that presence is unnoticed until we consciously will to do other than what the unconscious suggests. Anyone who has striven to live by Biblical principles has definitely felt the war between conscious and unconscious, between the Spirit and the flesh.

Jung was driven by a desire to understand how the unconscious obtained its contents, and he discovered that it contained two distinct components: the 'personal unconscious' and the 'collective unconscious'. The personal unconscious was comprised of contents that were once consciously willed in an individual's life, but that, for some reason or another, were pushed into the unconscious. Certain modes of action and reaction, consciously decided and acted upon, gradually became habitual and, over time, pathological. At this point an individual had to consciously struggle against an unconscious impulse to do something he previously consciously chose to do. We see this explicitly at work in habits and addictions, yet it permeates a plethora of our other daily thoughts and activities as well.

The 'collective unconscious' contained even greater enigmas. Its contents were not ever consciously in an individual's life, but were inherited from an individual's parents, who in turn inherited the contents of their 'collective unconscious' from their parents, ad infinitum. Jung remarked that it was widely believed that such contents were also once conscious somewhere in the ancestral history of an individual, and that somehow, having become incorporated into the psyche, was transmitted to posterity. He held the belief that a person contained the entire history of his forebears in his psychological make-up.

From a biological perspective, these would be termed genetic predispositions. We know that some people have malevolent predispositions such as an addiction to drugs or alcoholism, to slothfulness, to sexual deviances, to a lack of impulse control, to criminality, and a number of other behavioral traits that are passed along from parents to children. Yet, the reverse is also possible. People may, in fact, and do, have unconscious impulses to virtue, to vigilance, to courage, and to discipline. Based on the actions and reactions of a person's family history, an individual's 'collective unconscious' will influence a person's conscious psyche to undertake similar paths of activity. We are a product of the history of our forefathers.

For this reason, Jung noted, we have components of our collective unconscious that we share with all of humanity. However, equally certain, for those contents that were pushed into the collective unconscious at a later time than that shared with the rest of humanity, especially as tribes and nations began to drift away and dwell apart from one another, are components that are distinctly unique to certain races, tribes, and nations, and even families. There are distinctions in national characteristics, dispositions and outlooks. There do, in fact, exist racial differences transcending mere biological characteristics.

Today, the scientific community, bowing under pressure from the political powers, for the most part, delights in scoffing at the notion of an all seeing, omniscient Creator. They ridicule the Bible and the Church, and cynically question the veracity of prophecy and precognition. How, for example, could God have known that the descendants of Ishmael- the Arabs- would become "a wild ass of a man, with his hand against everyone, and everyone's hand against him; and he shall live at odds with all his kin" (Gen 16:12). The false prophet of Islam, Muhammed, traces his lineage to the tribe of Kadesh, or the Biblical Kedar (Gen 25:13), and indeed, the Muslims are circumcised on the 13th year as Ishmael was. (Gen 17:25) as opposed to God's command that the children of the covenant be circumcised on the 8th day (Gen 17:12) as Isaac and the Jews are today. (Gen 21:4).

Could any more accurate description be given concerning the national characteristics of the Arab people of today? Have they ever stopped warring against each other and their neighbors for any period of time during the last 2,000 years? Understanding the impact of the collective unconscious on the total self of individuals descended from the same bloodlines, can we not see how an omniscient Creator could foresee this peoples activities generations in advance? Even the forces of darkness, possessing a superhuman intelligence, could foresee the veracity of the national characteristics and dispositions of the Arab people on future generations. Ali ibn Abu Talib,; nephew, adopted son, and son-in-law to Muhammed, in the Nahjul Balagha, sermon 163, remarked "...it has been said 'An imam of this people will be killed after which killing and fighting will be made open for them till the day of Judgement, and he will confuse their matters and spread troubles over them. As a result, they will not discern truth from wrong. They will oscillate like waves and would be utterly misled.'"

Their are deep seated differences between peoples and nations which have a scientific psychological basis that it would be folly to overlook. The deceptive powers at work today that have consumed the heart and minds of the West mislead us into believing that there are no differences between tribe and race and that we must allow any and everyone to co-exist side by side with us in a Babylonian cacophony of chaos and madness. Has not this national policy of folly yet become evident to all? Can we not see the decay and ruin of the nations of Europe, America, Australia, South Africa and the other nations and continents that the Japhetic people have migrated to?

If God's understanding of interior national characteristics were a matter of a singular isolated incident, perhaps we could afford to disregard His Word. Yet time and again His prophecies and insights are indisputably accurate. Many of the names in the Old Testament have meanings in Hebrew that reflect God's purposes or insights into the character of an individual. 'Japeth' is just one additional example, Canaan (which means humiliated) is another. But look at the prophecies concerning Japeth, for example, the forefather and progenitor of the European peoples of the world today. Gen 9:27 says "May God make space for Japeth...", the phrase 'make space for' in Hebrew is 'yapht' a play on 'Yepheth' (Japeth), Strongs Concordance number 3315 shows that Japeth means "expansion" in Hebrew. This was written, at the latest, in the 5th century BC! Yet can any single characteristic more fully identify the

European peoples of the world today? Have any other people exhibited such an interior impulse to move and expand to every corner of the globe? Is there any continent on earth that Japhetic peoples have not migrated to and formed nations and communities of nations? There are none.

An understanding of the collective unconscious is a means of understanding ourselves. An understanding of the collective unconscious is a means of understanding those around us. While we may, in fact, possess free will, nothing precludes that will from being 'influenced'. Given the sheer volume of such influences, nothing guarantees that we will even recognize which thoughts are being influenced or which freely chosen, or which we would rebel against if we did know them. The Japhetic peoples of the world have a unique common history. We have common traits, instincts and modes of apprehensions. We need one government suited to the needs of our people, one nation built around and adapted to our national characteristics and uniqueness. Today, as at the tower of babel, our leaders are deciding to push us against our wills and better judgement into a multi-ethnic conglomeration specifically adapted to the needs and impulses of no one people and detrimental to all combined. Has not this attempt been tried numerous times in the past? Have not these attempts always failed? How long must we tolerate tyrants who betray us to suite an alien, foreign agenda? "Come out of her, my people, lest you share in her sins, and lest you receive of her plagues". (Rev. 17:4b).

CATHOLIC THEOCRATIC MONARCHIES

The ancient, European, Catholic Monarchies of Christendom were a more stable and just form of government than many of the so-called democracies of today. Unfortunately, they have been the consistent target of a prolonged smear campaign of lies and disinformation stretching back over 300 years. The perception in the public consciousness has thereby been transformed far from historical reality into the belief that most kings, queens, and nobility were crude, bestial tyrants venting their collective rage against a subdued, prostrate citizenry. The Light of Truth puts such erroneous delusions to flight.

A consecration ceremony usually marked the beginning of a monarch's reign. This ceremony was presided over by a high ranking ecclesiastical prelate and took the form of a modern day Confirmation ceremony. In fact, the sacramental purpose was also similar to that enacted by a bishop during Confirmation. Whereby in a confirmation ceremony the principal purpose was to strengthen the communicant's soul through the infusion of Sanctifying Grace, the consecration ceremony was meant to call down from God through the sacrifice of Christ the Grace of state necessary for a monarch to lead and rule his kingdom under the guidance and direction, and by the power of, the Holy Spirit. It was a ceremony whereby the monarch, the Church, and the subjects of the kingdom beseeched God to pour down the Prudence, Wisdom, Fortitude, Justice, and Courage necessary for the king to govern with more than human ability. It was a fusion of the natural and the supernatural, the human and the divine, in a Godly, Christian kingdom of believers.

It was this power of the Holy Spirit that enabled monarchs after monarch of Christendom to overcome base human instinct and lead lives of charity, penance, prayer, and sacrifice as they strove to complete the specific task that God, through His Providence, had entrusted to them. It was this power that produced such saintly monarchs as St. Clotilda (French), St. Cunegundes (German), St. Louis IX (French), St. Margaret (Scottish), St. Stephen (Hungarian), and St. Elizabeth (Portugal), and others. Of course, just as it is possible for the individual believer to resist the Divine Grace of God assailing the soul, a monarch could also resist, despise,

and/or reject God's Grace and fail to realize the potential for holiness that God enabled him to achieve. The ungodly powers that be never tire of holding such failures up before the public's eyes, in an attempt to lead us to believe that such tyrants were the norm rather than the exception.

However, a Divine system of checks and balances existed to shepherd such unruly monarchs back onto the paths of righteousness and virtue, or, if necessary, to strip them of power. While the Pope and the Church had no Direct Power over a monarch's temporal realm, as the authority over the Spiritual realm of Christendom, the Church did have the duty of safeguarding religion and the spiritual lives of its members, including the Monarch. Having derived his power and authority from God, through His ministers in the Church, the monarch also received a religious duty of obedience from his subjects as fellow believers in the same Church and on the understanding that his power was granted on condition that it should conform with the divine law, the will of God. If a monarch, refusing to heed the admonitions of the Church, embarked on a road of gross injustices and abuses, he could be subjected to a series of disciplinary actions by the Church in the form of penances before he was able to receive the Body and Blood of Our Lord, and the Grace and Life to the soul that it transmitted. In extremely grave cases, a monarch could be excommunicated by Rome, thereby eliminating his legitimate authority to rule, and with it, the duty of his subjects to obey. Overnight his kingdom would dissolve into civil war as a new power struggle ensued in a claim for legitimacy. Tyrants were far easier to dispose of under such systems than the authoritarian regimes of Fascism, Communism, and even the powers behind Democracies of today.

Many people today cite the American Revolution and the preceding problems with the king of England as proof of the inherent deficiencies of the Monarchical system. But the abuses that occurred under those kings would not have occurred for any duration of time under a Theocratic Monarchy. By remaining under the Spiritual check of the Church, the problems could have been remedied. But by removing themselves from the fold of the Church, the Protestant kings exalted themselves above the will of God, and, recognizing no will other than their own, were destined to fall headlong into an unchecked descent of tyranny. In 1517, Martin Luther revolted from the Catholic Church and began what is now known as the Protestant Revolt. Several European leaders, covetous of absolute power, unhindered by spiritual safeguards, began forming National Churches, each with distinguishing characteristics that separated them from Christendom, Catholicism, and the power of the Papacy. King Henry VIII of England was no exception to the rule. Upset because the Pope would not grant him a divorce from his legitimate wife and resentful of any restraint on his power, he formed, in 1534, what is now known as the Church of England (or Anglican Church) with himself as its spiritual head. He confiscated all Church property and prescribed the death penalty for any Catholics who would not renounce their Faith and swear allegiance to him and the Anglican heresy. Priests were executed in mass numbers. Due to the religious tepidity of the English people and their dereliction of duty of waging a vigorous and effective campaign against the heretical tyrannical king, they later suffered the bitter fruits of their slothfulness in the abuses of a tyrant. Having failed to stand up sufficiently for the Faith, they lost their only means of redress when their grievances became acute. With unchecked supreme power in the hands of one man, the English people became slaves to his will.

The Freemasons gained increasing prestige in this period. The irremediable dilemma of governmental control in the face of the erosion of

the Church's power led them to look forward instead of backwards for solutions to the problem of lack of oversight on a monarch's power. They

proposed a number of innovative untested solutions such as the doctrine of 'equality', that all men are created equal and not with individual distinctions that enabled them to fulfill specific missions in life: this doctrine had nothing to do originally with racial equality, but to erase any belief any innate inherited aptitudes and dispositions, and claimed inherently, that any man was equally equipped to rule, to wage war, to preach, etc. They denied any and all natural or genetic predispositions, denied inherent strengths and weaknesses, denied traits in families, tribes, or nations. They thereby undermined the foundation for the nobility and the aristocracy. Also revolutionary was their proposition that 'all power derives from the people', whereby Christian Dogma proclaimed that 'all power comes from above'.

Armed with these, and other innovative propositions, such as a complete separation of Church and State, they unleashed the French Revolution in 1789 and transferred their ideals from the theoretical realm to the practical. Known as 'the Enlightenment', they began by executing the King and Queen of France, Louis XII and Marie-Antoinette, along with the non-complaisant nobility of the kingdom. The Enlightenment soon devolved into the 'reign of terror' as all the principal authors of the revolution were guillotined by the next revolutionary government that blamed the previous one for all the unforeseen calamities they suffered. Government after government cannibalized each other until Napoleon Bonaparte came to power, during which coronation ceremony he proclaimed, "The Revolution is over!"

Not Quite. The Masonic, secularist governments soon regained control over France upon Napoleon's defeat at the Battle of A Waterloo, and spread throughout the world, toppling monarch after monarch and severing religion, Catholic and Protestant alike, from any power over, or influence in, civil government. From France, to Germany, to Spain, to Vietnam, to Mexico, innovative Masonic governments replaced Traditional power structures. The United State of American government was built on Masonic principles from its very inception, George Washington, the Freemason, becoming the first US president in the very year of the French Revolution, 1789.

We can now see the weaknesses inherent in such power systems. Politicians today recognize no moral authority. There is no absolute standard of morality period, in their eyes. What is reviled today may have been admired a decade ago and what is legal today, may be illegal tomorrow. Right or wrong become whatever the majority says it is at any given point in time, or whatever the law declares it to be, until it is revoked. Everything is up for sell in such a system, a price being paid to change an influential opinion on any of a number of issues. Lobbyists flourish, offering legalized bribes to purchase the political power necessary to commit any injustice upon any people at any time. Government has amassed almost unchecked power, seeking to control both temporal and spiritual spheres of men's lives.

Under a Catholic Monarchy, such a usurpation of rights would never have been allowed to occur. The Catholic 'principle of subsidiarity' denies the interference in a lower powers sphere of influence by a higher power unless absolutely necessary to discharge the higher powers duties. The Civil Power would never be able to decide what is right or what is wrong, it would have no authority to speak on morality, which belonged properly to the Church. A monarch, whose duties concerned primarily national defense, international trade and national infrastructure would be prevented from micro-managing local affairs unrelated to the execution of those duties. Local affairs would be the responsibilities of local powers. The 'principle of subsidiarity' delegated authority to perform specific tasks to specific bodies, then granted them the power to do so without undue intervention unless it became absolutely necessary for them due to the failure of those bodies to carry out the responsibilities:

entrusted to them.

The whole system became dependant on the Grace of God. Each individual, from the lowest laborer to the monarch was called upon to complete the mission entrusted to him to the best of his god-given abilities as if he would be held accountable to God himself. Then he was asked to do more by utilizing the Grace of God acquired through a life of prayer and the sacraments. grace enables man to do and to become what by nature alone he is unable to accomplish or be. As virtue and religion became unpopular and sin began to flourish and draw men out of a state of grace, more and more disorders began to creep into the entire community. Men became no longer able to do the good they willed to do, to resist the evil they desired to resist, and no longer able to resist the base instinct that warred within them. Men became wrathful, disobedient, covetous and Christian kingdoms began to unravel at the most fundamental levels.

The reverse is also true, and possible. God's Grace is still available today for all those who will turn back in repentance and call on Him. God still creates each of us with a specific mission to accomplish, a purpose for which we were distinctly created and which no other being on earth can fulfill like God created us to fulfill. God still has individuals whom He has imbued with the ability to lead us out of the Babylonian, multicultural lawlessness that we find ourselves in today. We must pray. Pray for our own sanctification as well as the conversion of our leaders who are currently wandering in the darkness of apostasy. We must pray that they will be given the light of Faith, turn back to God, and fulfill the work He has entrusted to them, for His benefit, as opposed to their own.

Several ancient prophecies converge on this point: that in the latter days God will resurrect the monarchies and nobility of old. The "Mirabilis Liber" describes a Great King arising in France, after it has been decimated and overrun by Islamic hordes, who liberates it and wages a crusade against the infidels. The prophecies of the stigmatist Marie-Julie Jahenna mirror the same visions, adding that the king will come through the bloodline of the Martyred King Louis XII but that the bloodline has been hidden to thwart the abilities of the Freemasons to assassinate him. The Freemasons and Communists have made it their mission to exterminate the bloodlines of the nobility from the face of the earth. After the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia in 1917, the Communists executed the entire royal family of Tsar Nicholas II, the Tsarina Alexis (a Hessian, German) princess, and all the children, shooting and bayonetting them to death. Several sources claim that the daughter Anastasia was not butchered as the rest, but somehow, miraculously, survived. The unearthing of the gravesite several years later showed that one of the childrens bodies was, in fact, missing. Perhaps the bloodline still exists on this earth. God has mysterious ways of providing for His peoples needs through such Providential 'coincidental' occurrences.

The Masonic governments of the West are finished. The hour of power granted to them and their experiments have expired. If we, as a people, are to have any hope of survival, we must look again to the Lord Our God. We must return to our traditional, God-centered, Heaven ordained kingdoms that safeguarded the lives of our people for millenia. We must submit, once again, individually, collectively, and politically to the Church that God instituted through His Son, Jesus Christ, on the Rock of Peter. They offered us stability, purpose, and Justice. The Catholic Theocracies were pleasing in God's sight and we have the evidence of the Grace that God shined down on them by the type of man they produced. As Napoleon stated, we must state once again, "The Revolution is over!"