

1 (RT-313: 9-25, 314: 3-8)

2 3 ACCESSORY TO PERJURY

4 RICO PERJURY CONSPIRACY. THE PROSECUTOR

5 DURING CLOSING ARGUMENTS TOLD THE JURY

6 "Why would she (RODRIGUEZ) be LYING ABOUT THIS?

7 THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO REASON TO BE LYING ABOUT

8 THIS." "SHE TOLD THE TRUTH, WAS PRETTY CLEAR THAT

9 SHE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH FROM THE STAND." (RT-317: 17-23)

10
11 A) WE ALL KNOW THE PROSECUTOR LIES, BECAUSE HE WASN'T THERE

12 AT THE DATE/TIME OF HIS ALIBI'S EVENTS. FURTHER "WHY

13 WOULD SHE BE LYING? SHE WOULDN'T BE." (RT-318: 24)

14 ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE WITNESSES WHO HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO REASON

15 TO LIE IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, NO MOTIVE WHATSOEVER."

16 (RT-321: 5-6) LIKE DUH, TO GET A GREEN CARD, A U-VISA.

17
18 B) "Where is THE VICTIM" (RT-348: 28) "AND IF SHE WAS THIS

19 LOVING WIFE THAT CARED SO DEEPLY FOR MR RODRIGERS, I

20 THINK THAT IS A LEGITIMATE QUESTION, WHY ISN'T SHE HERE?"

21 "AND SHE COULD HAVE SAT RIGHT THERE IN THAT CHAIR AND TOLD

22 YOU THAT NONE OF THIS HAPPENED. HE NEVER POINTED A GUN

23 AT MY HEAD. HE NEVER THREATENED TO KILL ME. HE NEVER

24 HIT ME.. AND I WASN'T CRYING WHEN THE POLICE OFFICERS

25 PULLED US OVER. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT HAPPENED. MRS RODRIGERS

26 ISN'T HERE. WHY ISN'T SHE HERE? "...THE REASON WHY SHE

27 IS NOT HERE IS BECAUSE EVERYTHING THAT'S BEEN TESTIFIED TO

28 IN THIS COURT IS EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED THE NIGHT

1 THAT MR. RODGERS AND HIS WIFE HAD A FIGHT OUT IN
2 THAT APARTMENT COMPLEX PARKING LOT. (RET-349: 1-20)

3
4 WHEREAS: DDA KING EXPLOITED THE FALSE TESTIMONY OF HIS
5 ALIEN, AFFIRMATIVELY URGING^{Te} THE JURY THE TRUTH OF WHAT
6 HE KNEW WAS FALSE BROWN V WAWWRIGHT, 785 F.3d 1457. SHE
7 HAD NOT ALWAYS SAID SHE SAW A GUN (RET-3076: 7-8). MOREOVER,
8 DDA KING PRIOR TO TRIAL OUT OF THE PRESENT OF THE TRUTH
9 OF FACT, THE JURY THAT "SHE (THE VICTIM) DENIED THERE WAS
10 ANY KIND OF VIOLENCE DONE TO HER BY RODGERS." (RET-119: 8-9)
11 OF COURSE THIS PERJURY CONSPIRATOR DIDNT BOTHER TO TELL THE
12 JURY THIS AND THUS, A LEGITIMATE QUESTION IS WHY NOT?
13 KING DID ANNOUNCE: "BECAUSE THE DIFFICULTY IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE
14 IS THE VICTIM NOT BEING HERE. SO WE DONT REALLY KNOW
15 WHAT SHE WAS THINKING THROUGH THIS WHOLE INCIDENT" (RET-
16 325: 10-12). WHEREAS, PRIOR TO DDA KING HAD LIED AND TOLD THE
17 JURY HE KNEW WHY THE VICTIM WASNT AT COURT. LIAR

18
19 NOTWITHSTANDING: Deputy Bowen TESTIFIED ON BEHALF OF THE
20 "UNAVAILABLE" DRAFTED, TRIAL BOYCOTTING "NAMED VICTIM" JOYCS
21 THAT SHE HAD TOLD HIM THAT SHE HAD NOT BEEN ASSAULTED
22 OR THREATENED WITH A FIREARM BY ME (RET-211: 19-28)

23
24 THE PROSECUTOR SAT MUTE ON HIS HANDS WHILE HIS ALIEN LIES AND
25 COMMITTED PERJURY TO GET A U-VISA. THE SCUMBAG PROSECUTOR PRE-
26 SENTED HALF-TRUTH, PARTIAL-EVIDENCE TO CREATE A FALSE INFERENCE,
27 BECOMES FALSE EVIDENCE, IS AN UNFAIR TRIAL WHICH DENIES THE
28 ACCUSED DUE PROCESS; AND A TRIAL UPON FALSE EVIDENCE IS NO TRIAL

1 AT ALL PEOPLE V STUART, 272 Cal App. 2d 653, 79 Cal. Rptr
2 531 (1969). DID KING PRESENTED HIS WITNESS' SECONDARY
3 INVOLUNTARY, AFTER THE FACT INCULPATORY STATEMENT WHILE
4 IGNORING, SUPPRESSING HIS WITNESS INITIAL EXONERATING
5 EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS. OBVIOUSLY THE WHITE JURY WOULD
6 HAVE HAD TO BELIEVE THE ALIEN'S SECONDARY TALE TO HAVE
7 CONVICTED ME. See U.S. v. Page, 231 F.3d 488 (9th Cir 2000). SMITH v. CAIN
8 132 S.Ct 678. 2011

9 THE FACTS: ON 7-15-01 THE ALIEN WTR ALIAS REPORTED
10 NO WEAPONS SEEN. AT TRIAL TWO-YEAR AFTER THE FACT
11 ON 6-26-03 THE ALIEN TESTIFIED THAT SHE HAD ALWAYS
12 SAID SHE SAW A GUN. WELL, BLACK INTELLIGENT DICTATES
13 THAT BOTH TALKS CANT BE TRUE. YES AND NO ARE DIAMETRICALLY
14 IN OPPOSITE, CONTRADICTIVE AND CANNOT BE RECONCILED. EITHER
15 THE ALIEN LIED TO THE DISPATCHER ON 7-15-01 OR SHE LIED TO THE JURY
16 ON 6-26-03. BUT WHAT IS KNOWN FOR CERTAIN THE LYING, STANKING
17 3-AUGHTER CHILDREN, U-VISA GETTING - IS A SCUMBAG, PERJUROR - ~~HATER~~ LYING
18 MURDER AND SO IS THAT HOMOSEXUAL, HS-SHS-IT, NOW HIS NAME IS
19 BRENNAN. MURDER, YOU KILL ME

20
21 I WAS CONVICTED SOLELY UPON THE TESTIMONY OF THIS ALIEN, THE
22 NATURAL VICTIM BOYCOTTED THIS LEGAL-LYNCHING, RACIST KANGAROO
23 TRIAL IN MORAL PROTEST

24 EVIDENCE IN EQUIVOQUE

25 ONLY THE ALIEN TESTIFIED AGAINST ME; her husband,
26 The DISPATCHER, THE ARRESTING DEPUTY AND THE PURSUIT REPORT
27 CONTRADICTED THE ALIEN'S OF WHICH PLACES THE EVIDENCE IN
28

EQUIPOISE AND CANNOT THUS BE DEEMED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. THE OBVIOUS DOUBT IS WHEN WAS THE ALIEN LYING? AND BASED SOLELY ON THE ALIEN'S LIES I WAS CONDEMNED TO DEATH, MY LIFE NOW GONE, MY BEAUTIFUL BLACK WIFE, MY BEAUTIFUL BLACK CHILDREN(S) LOST, GONE, DESTROYED.

IT RAPES THE INTELLIGENTS

THE VILE CORRUPTION OF SOME EVIL WHITES - THE WHITE RACIST JUDGE AND THE WHITE RACIST PROSECUTOR BOTH TOOK AN OATH AND SWORE TO SUPPORT THE LAW AND THE CONSTITUTION. THERE IS AT BARE MINIMAL AN ETHICAL AND PROFESSIONAL LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY OF DECENCY NOT TO LIE, CHEAT AND CONSPIRE TO DEPRIVE A BLACKMAN OF HIS LIFE, LIBERTY AND PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. I TOOK NO SUCH OATH, I AM JUST AN ORDINARY CITIZEN LIABLE FOR ONLY MY ACTIONS. CONSTITUTIONALLY I STILL HAVE A RIGHT TO BE TREATED FAIRLY BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS - NO MATTER WHAT I DO - IT IS OUR SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT - AND NOT BE SET UPON BY RACIST MADDOG WHITES UTILIZING THE AWESOME, FULL ARSENAL OF WHITE IDIOTS TO LEGALLY LYNCH, KILL ONE POOR, OLD BLACKMAN - INSTEAD ALIAS I WOULDNT TAKE A DEAL - TO MAKE UP FAKE AND FALSE CHARGES JUST TO GET ME

THE WHOLE TRUTH

FRAUD - THE RACIST WHITE PROSECUTOR IS A PIECE OF CRAP, HE DIDNT TELL THE JURY THAT THE VICTIM HAD TESTIFIED THAT SHE WONT THE VICTIM, HAD NOT BEEN VICTIMIZED BY ME; NOR DID HE TELL THE JURY HIS ALIEN HAD ORIGINALLY STATED SHE SAW NO WEAPON. WHY NOT?

?)

1 WHAT DO YOU THINK?

2 THIS ISN'T ROCKET SCIENCE. I WAS ENTITLED TO
3 A FAIR TRIAL AND WHAT I RECEIVED WAS A LEGAL-
4 LYNCHING BY LYING, CHEATING, MURDERING RACIST

5
6 PROSECUTORIAL CHILD MOLESTING

7 AS STATED ABOVE THE HUSBAND A MATERIAL WITNESS, THE
8 PROSECUTOR CONTENDS HE COULDN'T FIND; BUT HE WANTED GUNN
9 CALL THIS MATERIAL WITNESS ANYWAY WHO HAD MADE
10 EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS - WONDER WHY? BUT WHAT DID KING
11 CHOOSE TO DO WAS TO MOLEST THE TEARFUL, FRIGHTENED, TREMBLING
12 9 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER OF THE U-VISA RECEIVING ALIEN TO
13 COLLABORATE THE MOTHER'S PREVIOUS LIES. THE CHILD COULDN'T IDENTIFY
14 NEITHER HER MOTHER PERPETRATOR NOR HER VICTIM; ONLY SAW A GUN
15 IN THE SHADOWS, ALTHOUGH SHE HAS NEVER REALLY SEEN A REAL GUN; ADDING
16 THAT HER EVENTS HAPPENED 4 HOURS PRIOR TO THE MOTHER'S TALE

17
18 WHITE RACIST DESPARIATION FOR SLAVES

19 THE RACIST PROSECUTOR CHOSE A 9 YEAR OLD CHILD OVER HER
20 FATHER TO MOLEST, EXPLOIT TO HELP GET HER MOTHER A U-VISA
21 WHEREAS, EVIDENCE INDICATES THE HUSBAND AN AMERICAN CITIZEN WHO
22 REFUSE TO LIE, REFUSE TO COME TO COURT AND COMMIT
23 PERJURY TO KILL AN INNOCENT BLACKMAN FOR HIS WIFE'S
24 U-VISA. I WAS CONDEMNED TO 16 YEARS FOR CRIMES THAT NEVER
25 EVEN HAPPENED, A DEATH SENTENCE 16 YEARS AT MY AGE. I DIDN'T
26 DESERVE THIS, I DIDN'T DESERVE TO DIE - TO PROVIDE SLAVE JOBS FOR
27 LAZY WHITES. TO LEAVE AND LOSE MY BEAUTIFUL BLACK WIFE