

Blog Followers Page 57 Judge Davis testimony at my February 26, 2007 evidentiary hearing. He didn't want 57 an Investigator.

1 yourself?

2 A Yes, sir, we met on a very regular basis. I  
3 don't know whether it was weekly but maybe every two  
4 weeks, weekly. He was always open, amenable, pleasant,  
5 he would talk and consider our options.

6 His family was helpful. They would come by  
7 the office, they would tell me about other people who I  
8 could talk to and people would just come in voluntarily.  
9 It was a good relationship.

10 Q Did you -- could you tell how he reacted to  
11 the medication he was on?

12 A Well, I didn't know him, of course, before I  
13 was appointed to represent him, but at the time he was  
14 on his medications in jail, as I said earlier, he was  
15 very pleasant, level headed, he had a good memory, he  
16 could just function like any --

17 <sup>Brody asks</sup> Q Did you have any other people working with you

18 on the case? *A Rich person would not have been screwed by his lawyer in this manner.*

19 <sup>MR DAVIS ANSWERS</sup> A Other than the witnesses who were the experts *NO investigator only a moron wouldn't have one*

20 who were appointed I did not hire -- did not retain a

21 private investigator on the case cause I didn't really

22 want a private investigator on the case.

23 Q You did not want one? *Didn't want one,*

24 A No, sir. *But the bun needed*

25 Q Why is that? *one, unless he was*

*intentionally screwing me, which looks to be the case! your right I'm mad.*

*I'll be showing you so much more!*

1 A I don't recall. I don't know what I would  
2 have said other than what's in those reports, that the  
3 judge asked for a summary of what was in the reports, I  
4 would have provided it but they were detailed reports,  
5 they were current. And as far as I'm concerned it  
6 provided information sufficient to provide a basis for a  
7 life sentence but that didn't happen so we're here.

8 *my attorney MR Brody asked because I made him ask this!*  
Q Do you recall if you ever went to the

9 Sheriff's Office to examine the things that they had  
10 gathered in their investigation? *NO He Didn't!*

11 A I have no independent recollection of that,  
12 but typically in any criminal case whether it's First  
13 Degree Murder or stealing a car or something, you  
14 would -- I would ask to see all of the State's evidence  
15 before trial, the actual physical evidence, and I would  
16 get that from the State Attorney's Office, I wouldn't go  
17 to the Sheriff's Office, I would look at what the State  
18 represented that it had. So I am certain that I saw  
19 that if the gun was recovered or *NO IF IT WAS RECOVERED*  
20 recovered I'd look at it for what it was worth, but it  
21 really didn't bear much -- didn't have a whole lot of  
22 relevance under the facts of the case as I saw it.

23 *No, it didn't matter to him, it mattered to me*  
24 *this is incompetence not going over and looking*  
25 *at the bloody clothing and other evidence.*  
*This is how the poor get represented in the*  
*united states OF America.*

*This is just the*  
*beginning of what*  
*I'm going to show.* Official Reporters, Inc.

Blog Followers

This is page 526 and 527, my co-defendants mother's testimony. see next page her Depo From sept 1990, see what the jury never heard.

526

Page 10 of 2  
526-526  
Trial Transcripts

1 down to Jacksonville to shoot pool?

2 A They were going to hitchhike.

3 Q Do either of them have a car that you know

4 of?

# EXHIBIT

5 A No, sir.

6 Q What condition or level of sobriety were

7 John Hatch and Ronald Clark, meaning were they

8 intoxicated and falling down drink?

9 A No, sir.

10 Q Neither of them.

11 A No, sir.

12 Q Prior to John Hatch and Ronald Clark

13 leaving, did you see a gun?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q Was it a hand gun, a pistol?

16 A It was a semi automatic.

17 Q Was it a rifle or one you hold in your

18 hand?

19 A One you hold in your hand.

20 Q Who had the gun?

21 A Well, they were both handling it in the

22 trailer but when ever they left the trailer Ronald

23 Clark had it in his pocket.

24 Q Did Ronald Clark ever say where he got the

25 gun from?

1 A He told me that it belonged to his mother.

2 Q After John Hatch and the defendant left to  
3 go to Jacksonville did you ever see the defendant  
4 again?

5 A No, sir.

6 Q Did you ever see John Hatch again?

7 A No, sir.

8 Q Have you seen him in jail since that day?

9 A I have seen him in jail.

10 Q You haven't seen him outside of jail?

11 A No, sir.

12 Prosecutor MR. MALTZ: I don't have any further  
13 questions, Your Honor.

14 my attorney MR. DAVIS: I don't have any questions.  
15 No cross examination by my Attorney, yet  
16 Your Honor. look at Page 20 + 21 of her sept 1990  
Deposition, Jury never heard that.

THE COURT: All right. May this witness be  
long they'd be gone or anything.

Page 20

And that's the last I saw of them.

Q Did either one of them have a firearm when they  
left? Did either one have a gun when they left?

21  
A Now, I don't know whether they took it with them  
or not. I know that Ronnie was holding the -- the kind of  
pistol I just told you about with the clip in it. And  
that's all I know.

The jury should have heard this, From cross  
examination. my attorney sucked!! would have  
never happened to a rich person.

*Blog Followers This is the FDLE you will see the clothing listed on Page 2. Jury never seen this. see Page 3*

FLO. A DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE



# EXHIBIT

LAB USE ONLY

This First Submission? YES  NO

Lab Case No. 90400278

f No, Lab Case NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
f Narcotics, Sale  Possession

Sub. No. 001

SUBMITTING AGENCY ADDRESS:  
JSO  
501 East Bay  
Jacksonville, Fl.

OFFENSE Death Investigation  
OFF DATE 1/12/90 COUNTY Duval  
AGY CASE NO. 27999

REPORT TO TELEPHONE  
Det. Jerry Jesonek  
630-2172

DELIVERED VIA Steve Leary OSC

RECEIVED BY Linda Jones  
LAB/TIME 04/9:00am DATE 020190

Do Not Write In This Space

LAB 04	UPDATE	LAB NO. 90400278
DATE 020190		SUB. 001
VIA <u>leary</u>		ITEMS 012
CO 16	AGY TYPE SO	ORI FLO160000
OFF 090A	DATE 011290	AGY 27999
		CASE NO.
CHEM	DOC <u>B</u>	MIC S
FIRE S	LAT S	SER S
TOX	PHOTO	CS P

- VICTIMS (LAST NAME FIRST)
- 1 WILLIS, Ronald
  - 2 \_\_\_\_\_
- SUBJECTS (LAST NAME FIRST)
- 3 \_\_\_\_\_
  - 4 \_\_\_\_\_
  - 5 \_\_\_\_\_
  - 6 \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE TYPE THIS FORM

Use remaining space and additional sheets for (1) listing and describing items to be examined (2) analyses requested, and (3) summary of case.

The following items were collected at 600 Bird Road, Duval County:

*This was introduced to the jury*

- 1 Marlboro cigarette pack recovered on south side of road
- L-2x Check from south side of road
- L-3x Wadded up paper from south side of road
- L-4x Piece of paper with note from south side of road
- L-5x Paper items from south ditch
- 6 Mint recovered from middle of road
- 7 Cartridge casing from road
- 8 Section of denture from middle of road
- 9 Section of denture from north ditch
- 7 -10 Shirt recovered from north side of road Mr Willis shirt
- L-11x Crowbar from south side of road Crow bar which had
- L-45x Pen from road Nothing to do with the crime yet  
They showed it to the jury.

The following items were collected from a black 1985 Dodge Ram 100 Custom pick-up truck, Florida license 741 GPN, VIN 1B7FD14H8FS638224:

*2k. 31 JAN 1905*

- 12 Twenty(20) latent lift cards
- 13x Handgun from left floor area (Sterling Arms .380)
- 14 Clip with four(4) unfired cartridges from handgun
- 15 Unfired cartridge from blue jeans behind seat right side
- 16 Unfired cartridge from blue jeans behind seat right side
- 17 Unfired cartridge from right floor beneath seat
- + 18 Swabbing from chain
- + 19 Scraping from chain

Evidence Return:

Exhibit(s) \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ Analyst \_\_\_\_\_

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
REQUEST FOR EXAMINATION OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE



LAB USE ONLY

Is This First Submission? YES  NO

If No, Lab Case NO. \_\_\_\_\_

If Narcotics, Sale  Possession

SUBMITTING AGENCY ADDRESS:  
JSO  
501 East Bay  
Jacksonville, Fl.  
REPORT TO Det. Jerry Jesonek  
TELEPHONE 630-2172

Lab Case No. 90400278

Sub. No. 001

OFFENSE Death Investigation  
OFF DATE 1/12/90 COUNTY Duval  
AGY CASE NO. 27999

DELIVERED VIA Steve Leary OSC

RECEIVED BY LINDA JONES  
LAB/TIME 04/9:00am DATE 020190

Do Not Write In This Space

LAB UPDATE LAB NO. DATE SUB. VIA ITEMS CO AGY TYPE ORI OFF DATE AGY CHEM DOC MIC FIRE LAT SER TOX PHOTO CS

VICTIMS (LAST NAME FIRST)  
1 WILLIS, Ronald  
2  
SUBJECTS (LAST NAME FIRST)  
3  
4  
5  
6

PLEASE TYPE THIS FORM

Use remaining space and additional sheets for (1) listing and describing items to be examined (2) analyses requested, and (3) summary of case.

- 20 Fabric from behind right seat
- \* -21 Scraping from bed of truck beneath Truk Box
- \* -22 Swab from bed of truck
- 23 Swab from right top of Truk Box
- \* -24 Scraping from carpet between right door and seat
- 25 Ring from under seat
- 26 Mint from dash
- 27 Debris from bed of truck
- 28 Clothing from Wal-Mart paper bag from behind driver's seat
- 29 Box of shot shells from left side of Truk Box
- 30 Handkerchief from middle of seat in cab
- 31 Paper items from under seat
- 32 Hair from truck, right kick panel
- 33 Wal-Mart bag from behind driver's seat
- 34 Receipt from Wal-Mart bag
- M -35 Nylon jacket from behind seat right side
- M -36 Jacket from behind seat left side
- M -37 Blue jeans from behind seat left side
- M -38 Blue jeans from behind seat right side
- M -39 Sweater from behind seat
- 40 Wallet from beneath the dash
- 41 Bud cardboard six pack holder from bed of truck
- 42 Hixinia briefs box
- 43 Paper items from left side of Truk Box
- 44 Bud cardboard six pack holder from bed of truck

Mine and Davids clothing that was worn during the murder. Jury never seen these prosecutor didn't want them to be seen.

Agency Return: Exhibit(s) Date

Date Analyst

Disposition of Items:

Latents.....1,2,3,4,5,12,33,40,41,42,43 and 44-REC'D 1(L)SBOX  
 Firearms.....7-REC'D 1 (S)SME  
 Serology...8,9,18,19,20,21,22,23 and 24--REC'D 1(M)SBPB  
 Serology and Firearms.....10--REC'D 1(L)SBPB  
 Latents and Firearms.....14,15,16 and 17--REC'D 2(M)SBPB  
 Latents and Serology...3,11 and 45 also 4 & 5-- 1(L)spwitem(L)sbp  
 Latents, Serology and Firearms.....13--rec'd 1(M)sbpb  
 Documents.....34--rec'd 1(M)sme  
 Serology and micro.....35,36,37,38 and 39--rec'd 1(L)sbox  
 Micro....32 --rec'd 1(S)sme  
 RUW.....6,25,26,27,28,29,30 and 31--rec'd 1(L)sbox section "H"

Analysis requested:

- Latents: Please examine items submitted for latents. On exhibit 43 only the outside of the paper items need to be processed. On the cartridge casings there appeared to be some ridge detail.
- Firearms: Determine if the cartridge casing recovered at Bird Road could have been fired by the handgun submitted. Also examine recovered cartridges to see if they have a commonality with the fired cartridge casing. Examine shirt for bullet holes.
- Serology: Please examine items submitted and type blood to see if they are all the same type.
- Documents: A date and store number is needed from the receipt.
- Micro: Please examine and sweep items submitted.
- Summary: The victim's truck was recovered at the Oasis Motel and towed to the laboratory for examination and processing. Prior to the truck being recovered, an area on Bird Road was processed where there were indications of foul play. The victim and owner of the truck has not been found.

Blog Followers

The blood on my clothes, was my blood, they knew this by Joseph's testimony, as well as David's that my hand was bleeding and I was wiping the blood on my shirt. I'll lay all of this out, just to show how poorly I was represented, my lawyer should have went and looked at the clothing, and he never did. see Page 70 of the Evidentiary hearing transcript

Blog Followers

Line's 13-16 is the lie that David told to the jury  
This is page 9 of a Deposition by Ms. Bailey the woman  
that David stole the gun from. Line's 17-18 shows  
David lied about where he stole the gun from. Why lie?  
Why is this lie important? Cause its easy to prove.  
the closet? my lawyer never called ms. Bailey in to

1 the closet? *Testify.*

2 A Uh-huh (affirmative).

3 Q Or the glove box of your car?

4 A Uh-huh (affirmative).

5 Q Did you have a headboard on your house back in  
6 January of 1990? I mean, a headboard on your bed.

7 A Not on that particular bed. It's a water bed,  
8 and it doesn't have a headboard.

9 Q I'm going to read you sentence from a statement  
10 given by John David Hatch that's dated January 21st, 1990.

11 MR. MALTZ: It's the statement given to the  
12 police.

13 Q Given to the police. It states: On January  
14 9th, 1990, while working at 10165 Owen Road with Don Lee  
15 remodeling the house, I, John David Hatch, took a .30  
16 caliber pistol from the headboard of a bed.

17 → A There is no headboard on that bed. There still  
18 is no headboard on that bed. It's not made for one. *The jury should have heard this,*

19 Q Okay. Do you have any plans to be living at  
20 any address other than your present address in the next  
21 few months?

22 A No.

23 Q If you were to relocate, would you notify  
24 either myself or Mr. Maltz?

25 A Yes.



DETENTION AND CORRECTIONS

GENERAL INCIDENT REPORT

INMATE: Hatch, David DATE: 05-9-90  
 FACILITY: Jail CELLS #: Pod 1 Cell 2 TIME OF INCIDENT: 1930 hrs  
 TIME OF REPORT: 2000 hrs  
 OFFICER (S): COI Jeannette Sares I.D.#: 214

NATURE OF INCIDENT: Verbal Threat sect 1-3 of the code of conduct  
On the above date, at approximately 1930 hrs, This officer (Jm Sares) along with officer Hodges was outside of Pod 2, when inmate David Hatch did threaten to kill inmate Ronald Clark, Hatch told us that he would "do it" right in front of an officer, also that he would break Clark's neck

WITNESS (ES): Officer Hodges

EVIDENCE/CONTRABAND: N/A DISPOSITION: N/A

EVIDENCE/CONTRABAND: N/A DISPOSITION: N/A

ACTION TAKEN: \_\_\_\_\_

INVESTIGATION INITIATED: \_\_\_\_\_

REPORT # \_\_\_\_\_

NOTIFICATION TIMES:	SERGEANT IN CHARGE	LIEUTENANT	CHIEF	FIRE RESCUE
MEDICAL	1945 AMBULANCE	INVESTIGATOR	OTHER	

Jeannette Sares  
 OFFICER  
Hubert M. [Signature]  
 SERGEANT IN CHARGE

W.R. Brown  
 LIEUTENANT  
E. W. [Signature]  
 CHIEF

Blog Followers

AN Investigator would have produced this document for the jury to see, which would have also proved David lied under oath, stating him and I had a conversation I'll show that later. TWO OFFICERS would have testified that David lied, had my sorry excuse for an attorney presented this document.