

TO START;

THESE CASES WILL FAIL AND ARE EXTREMELY COMPLICATED WITHOUT THE GUIDING HAND OF COUNSEL (A PRO- BONO LAW-FIRM) AS THE STATE KNOWS WELL.

FURTHER; THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS CANNOT EITHER ASSUME OR PRESUME THE;

1.) THE ACCURACY OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN'S OPINIONS AND ORDERS IN CASE #08- cv 135 bbc;

2.) NOR THE TRUTHFULNESS;

3) NOR THERE CORRECTNESS;

4) THE COURT DISTORTED THE TRUTH (OF FREERS PLEAS BRIEFS WRITS MOTIONS AND CORRESPONDENCE) WHICH ALLOWED THE COURT TO OBTAIN A JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF THE STATE DUE TO A UNCONSTITUTIONAL VICTORY, THESE DECEPTIVE PRACTICES, AND DISTORTIONS FROM THE TRUTH, WHICH IS APPARENT WHEN EVALUATED WITH FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS OF # 3: 08 CV- 135 bbc FREER v THURMER 08-cv-135-bbc

THIS CASE IS ONLY ABOUT THE RICH VERSUS THE POOR PRO SE INDIGENT LITIGANT(S) (SUCH AS FREER), IN THE WISCONSIN COURTS. AND HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH FREERS ORIGINAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT, AS DESPICED BY THE COURTS. NOR < NOT FREERS CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT EITHER, NOR< NOT FREERS ORIGINAL CRIMINAL CONVICTION OR COMPLAINT EITHER.

FURTHER, These cases involved are case numbers 2006AP2262 of November 14 2006; 2006AP3200CRLV; both these cases are from the Wisconsin Court of Appeals District 4. Then to the Wisconsin Supreme Court as Case # 2007AP 680W< review denied Supreme Court of Wisconsin. FREER FILED A CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT ON ALL THIS THAT WAS ALL MIS INTERPRETATED ALSO.

FURTHER, ONCE DENIED REVIEW, FREER IS ENTITLED TO A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES A U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE: ARTICLE 1. AS A 28 U.S.C. § 2254 A STATE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION. AND WENT TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN AS FREER V THURMER CASE AS FREER V THURMER #08-cv 135 bbc.

IN THIS COURT, THE COURT COMMITTED THE FOLLOWING ACTS;

THE DELIBERATE AND INTENTIONAL MIS-INTERPRETATIONS OF FREERS WRITS, PLEAS, BRIEFS, MOTIONS, AND CORRESPONDENCES, WITH THE DELIBERATE INTENT AT FRAUD, THRU THE USE OF (DECEPTION), (DECEPTIVE PRACTICES) WHICH ARE CONSIDERED FORBIDDEN GOVERNMENT BEHAVIOR / PRACTICES BY BARBARA B CRABB, AND THE DEPT. OF JUSTICE. FREER STATES THE FOLLOWING UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY.

1. FREER HAS EXHAUSTED ALL STATE AND FEDERAL COURT REMEDIES.
2. THERE IS AN ABSENCE OF (ANY) AVAILABLE STATE OR FEDERAL COURT REMEDIES.
3. CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST THAT RENDER (ANY) SUCH PROCESS, (TOTALLY) INEFFECTIVE TO PROTECT (FREERS) (CIVIL RIGHTS, AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED RIGHTS)
4. IF THRU A ACTUAL AND REAL INVESTIGATION, AND THRU FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS, #3:08-CV 135 bbc FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN IN CASE # 08-CV 135 bbc, AND THRU THE ISSUANCE OF 28 U.S.C. § 2507 CALLS AND DISCOVERY (IES) AND THRU THE ISSUANCE OF 28 U.S.C. §2507 CALLS and DISCOVERY (IES) AND THRU THE ISSUANCE OF 28 U.S.C. §2521 SUBPOENA (S) AND INCIDENTAL POWERS, A CLEAR AND CONVINCING MULTITUDE OF REPEATED ABUSES OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION PATTERNS AND PRACTICES WILL EMERGE BY BOTH THE COURTS AND JUDGE BARBARA B CRABB. THESE ABUSES WERE CONDUCTED BY BOTH THE COURT, COURT JUDGE BARBARA B CRABB, AND THE DEPT OF JUSTICE.

FURTHER: THRU THE USE OF 28 U.S.C. §2521(a), SUBPOENAS, REQUIRING THE ATTENDANCE OF PARTIES (AS THOSE LISTED BELOW).) OR WITNESSES, AND SUBPOENAS REQUIRING THE PRODUCTION OF BOOKS, PAPERS, DOCUMENTS, (THAT ARE COMPUTER GENERATED) (AS TANGIBLE THINGS), BY ANY PARTY(IES), LISTED BELOW, OR WITNESSES HAVING CUSTODY, OR CONTROL THEREOF MAY BE ISSUED FOR PURPOSES OF DISCOVERY OR FOR USE OF THE THING (S) PRODUCED AS EVIDENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES AND ORDERS OF THE COURT.

28 U.S.C. §2521(b), 1. MISBEHAVIOR OF ANY PERSON (as freer has listed) in its presence or so near thereto as to obstruct the administration of justice; 28 USC §2521(b) (2), MISBEHAVIOR of any of its Officer(s), in their Official transaction(s); or, 3. (As freer has listed in this brief). Disobedience or resistance to its lawful Writ(s), Process, Order(s), Rule(s), Decree(s), OR Command(s). THRU the use of 28 USC §2521 Subpoena(s) and Incidental Power(s); §2521 (a) Subpoena(s) requiring the attendance of Parties or Witnesses listed below;

1. Gretchen L Hayward assistant District Attorney. (ADA)
2. Anne Sayles. (ADA)
3. Kevin C Potter. Assistant Attorney General. (AAG)
4. Gregory M Weber. (AAG)
- 5 Michael R Bauer (AAG)
6. Corey Finklelmeyer (AAG)
7. Barbara B Crabb. Federal Judge for the U.S. district court for the western
8. Judith A Coleman. Clerk of Circuit Court, Dane County.
9. Carlo Esqueda Clerk of Circuit Court, Dane County.
10. Marguerite M Moeller (AAG)

Any Other DOJ employee(s) who may have Knowledge. Such as Marlene Olson of the clerk of court(s) office
WHO IS SHE? AND WHY WAS IT NECESSARY TO MODIFY FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS?

And why did it take the clerk of court a year later to respond on this question and a year later to send back a response back on this matter.

Further; under 28USC §2521(a); (a) and Subpoena(s) requiring the PRODUCTION of Book(s), Paper(s), DOCUMENT (S), OR (ALL) Tangible Thing(s).

EG; All Tangible Thing(s), Any and all E mail(s), Any Computer Generated Material(s), That are either Indirectly Or Directly Related to Freer v Thurmer 2008 U.S. Dist Lexis 51440, 53808, 37178, 47840, Case #08-cv 135 bbc. In addition, all the Content(s) of Freer(s) Civil Docket # 3:08 cv 135 bbc. Other Related Case(s), 2006 AP 2262; 2006 AP 3200 CRLV (Both are from the Wis Court Of Appeals District 4); 2007 AP 680W of the Wisconsin Supreme Court review denied. Once freer was denied review, freer was absolutely guaranteed / entitled to a redress of grievances U.S. Const. Amendment 1; From the BILL OF RIGHTS; §2521(a); Rules of COMMON LAW; federal common law, except as to cases (such as freers) which are governed by the U.S. CONST. (as freer has stated). And acts of congress.

Since freer was deprived U.S. Constitutional Guarantees, Such as the Major deprivation of due process, and several \ many civil rights violation(s), freer is entitled to a new trial under rules, 5.1. And 44(b). Starting at or around November 14th 2006(AP 2262) Since the true Genesis of this case, its origin is this case. This case evolved to case #2006AP 3200 CRLV; Both these cases are from the Wisconsin Court Of Appeals District 4. Which then led to case # 2007AP 680W Wisconsin Supreme Court. Further all cases from 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 all these cases are Suspect.

Freer states that since the courts listed May, (by freers mistake, be unlisted. Freer can prove to the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, thru satisfactory evidence (exists) that Fraud, Wrongdoing, and injustice have been conducted upon the Plaintiff (freer), And the U.S government.

Further; Repealed Judgment(s) Are necessary in freers cases; (IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE BLAKELY V. WASHINGTON). It was all an elaborate hoax that needs to be investigated THOROUGHLY.

FURTHER; It was the duty of Crabb And Crabbs court to insure that Freer's entire correspondence was accurately interpreted as freer complained and stated to this court, STOP THE DELIBERATE MISINTERPRETATION OF FREERS BRIEFS, WRITS, MOTIONS, PLEAS, AND CORRESPONDENCE GOING TO THIS COURT. NO REPLY WAS FORTH COMING ON THIS LETTER TO THE COURT, AS USUAL.

The burden of proof now shifts to the state and federal government Since all the Proof necessary can be extrapolated from both Freer's civil docket of 08- cv 135 bbc and the federal governments opinions and orders. FURTHER; Crabbs and Crabbs court PURPORTED and PRETENDED TO ACT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THERE OFFICIAL DUTIES, THIS IS ABSOLUTELY UNTRUE.

ALL of Freers claims can be substantiated, if a Honest and True Investigation is conducted by freers Counsel and a Independent Arbitrator as a Neutral Party, The OPINIONS AND ORDERS OF THE COURT ARE "COLORABLE " and only having the "APPEARRANCE (ONLY) OF TRUTH. EVEN A FIRST A FIRST YEAR LAW STUDENT COULD SEE THRU THESE DECEPTIONS.

SEE FREER V THURMER 2008 U.S. LEXIS 51440; 53808; 37178; 47840; ALL ARE COLORABLE TRANSACTIONS MEANT (BOTH) TO CONCEAL AND DECIEVE (THE PUBLIC). 1). FREER V THURMER U.S. DIST LEXIS 51440; THIS IS WHERE THE COURT IGNORED A INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION AGAINST THE D.O.C. AND ITS EMPLOYEES TO REFRAIN THE D.O.C. FROM OBSTRUCTING FREER FROM FILING LEGAL BRIEFS, PLEAS, MOTIONS, ETC, IN A TIMELY MANNER ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURES. DISTRICT COURT IGNORED THIS TO PROTECT THIS STATE AND ITS EMPLOYEES FROM CIVIL LIABILITES. E.G. freer has Only 14 days to file under 809.50 and copies of Crabbs opinion and order dated 05-05-08 would not be copied in our prison library due to a librarian refusing to make photocopies (SEE FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS OF #3:08 cv 135 bbc, AND D.O.C. 643 (LIBRARIAN STATES THAT NEVIN WEBSTER WILL NOT MAKE PHOTOCOPIES OF CRABBS OPIONIONS AND ORDERS DATED 05-05-08. FREER

430 U.S. 817, 824, 828, (1977) PRISONERS HAVE FUNDAMENTAL (U.S.) CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO ADEQUATE, EFFECTIVE, AND MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO COURTS, TO CHALLENGE VIOLATIONS OF U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS; ALSO SEE JOHNSON V. AVERY 393, U.S. 483, 485, (1969) PRISONERS RIGHT OF ACCESS TO COURTS MAY NOT BE DENIED OR OBSTRUCTED; SEE JOHN L ADAMS 969, F.2d. 228, 233, 237, (6th cir 1992).

CRABB ALLOWED THE STATE OF WISCONSIN TO INTERFERE AND OBSTRUCT FREERS ACCESS TO THE COURTS. THIS INTERFERED WITH FREERS RIGHTS TO PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS AND FREERS PROTECTED LIBERTY INTERESTS, AND DEPRIVED FREERS DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS. (ITS ALL IN FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS #3:08 CV 135 ^{b6c} ~~BBQ~~). SEE DOCKET- #25 EXHIBIT-A. 3:08-CV 135 ^{b6c} SEE 51440 LEXIS NEXIS

FURTHER FREER V THURMER U.S. DIST LEXIS 53808 THIS IS WHERE FREER STATES TO THE COURT THAT FREER DOES NOT WANT CRABB TO PRESIDE OVER FREERS CASE DUE TO THE PREJUDICIAL CONDUCT OF CRABB AND CRABBS RECENT PAST RULINGS SHOWING A PATTERN OF BACK PEDDLING ON IDENTICAL ISSUES.

FURTHER; FREER V THURMER 2008 U.S. DIST LEXIS 37178; FREERS WRIT OF ERROR WAS CLEARLY MIS-INTERPRETATED TO DEFUSE THE ACTUAL AND ONLY ISSUE THAT FREER WAS CONTESTING. THIS WAS ONLY ABOUT RULE 5.1. AND RULE 44(b) CONTESTING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF A STATE STATUTE AND NOT FREERS ORIGINAL COMPLAINT NOR WAS FREER CONTESTING FREERS CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT EITHER, ALL THESE CORRESPONDENCES WENT IGNORED AS USUAL. CRABB AND CRABBS COURT DELIBERATELY MIS-INTERPRETATED OR IGNORED, ONCE AGAIN FREERS BRIEFS, PLEAS, WRITS, MOTIONS, RULES, AND NEEDS INVESTIGATING. By the international

COVENANT For civil And Political Rights COURT.

FURTHER; FREER V THURMER 2008 U.S. DIST LEXIS 47840; FREERS WRIT OF ERROR WAS (ONCE AGAIN) DELIBERATELY MIS INTERPRETATED TO READ THAT FREER WANTED FREERS CASE *OF #2003 AP 853 FROM THE WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT 4, TO REPLACE FREERS CASE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN # 08-cv 135 bbc! IS A BALD FACE LIE! WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HARASSING LITIGATION AGAINST FREER? * *this is totally untrue!*

COLOR OF AUTHORITY;

ONLY DUE TO THE COLOR OF (BOTH CRABB AND CRABBS COURTS EMPLOYEES) AUTHORITY, AND DUE TO CRABB BEING CLOTHED WITH AUTHORITY, AND UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW, WAS CRABB ABLE TO EXECUTE THESE DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AGAINST FREER.

ABUSE AND MISUSE OF JUDICIAL POWERS, SINCE THESE ACTS WERE CONDUCTED WELL OUTSIDE AND BEYOND THE OFFICIAL BOUNDS OF CRABB AND CRABBS COURT AND THEIR LAWFUL OFFICIAL AUTHORITY.

CRABB AND CRABBS COURT PURPORTED TO ACT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THEIR OFFICIAL DUTIES.

FURTHER; WHAT FREER HAS STATED IN PAST CORRESPONDENCE AND IN FREERS BRIEFS WRITS PLEAS MOTIONS AND RULES ETC, WOULD NOT HAVE OCCURRED, BUT FOR THE FACT THAT THESE PERSONS (LISTED) COMMITTING THEM WERE / WAS AN OFFICIAL THEN AND THERE, EXERCISING HIS / HER OFFICIAL POWERS OUTSIDE THE BOUNDS OF (THERE) LAWFUL AUTHORITY; SEE 42U.S.C.A. §1983.

FREER REQUEST AN INVESTIGATION ~~XXXX~~ UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW MEANING ONLY THE APPEARANCE OR SEMBLANCE AND WITHOUT THE TRUE AND REAL SUBSTANCE OF LEGAL RIGHT, BUT A REAL LAW FIRM REPRESENTING FREER AND AN ACTUAL TRUE INVESTIGATION.

WHAT THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN HAS COMMITTED AND CONDUCTED AGAINST FREER ARE CONSIDERED BY THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ARE CONSIDERED FORBIDDEN GOVERNMENT BEHAVIOR/ PRACTICES.

FURTHER, FREER TRIED (IN VAIN) TO TERMINATE THE LITIGATION VERY EARLY ON IN THE LITIGATION, BY REQUESTING THAT FINAL JUDGMENT BE ENTERED SINCE FREER COULD SEE THAT THE LITIGATION WAS NOT BEING CONDUCTED IN GOOD FAITH, BUT IN FACT WAS CONDUCTED IN BAD FAITH, AND ALSO WITH DECEPTIVE PRACTICES (DECEPTION), ALL THIS IS ON RECORD IN FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS. FREERS CASE OF #08-cv 135 bbc OF FREER V THURMER TOTALS WELL OVER 4 TO 5 INCHES OF WHAT FREER BELIEVES IS CALLED HARASSING LITIGATION.

FURTHER; CRABB AND CRABBS COURTS RULINGS WERE TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE AND SHOWED A TOTAL DISREGARD FOR BOTH DUE PROCESS AND ^ATOTAL DISREGARD FOR EQUAL PROTECTION, AS WELL AS FREERS U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS.

BARBARA B CRABBS CONDUCT IS BOTH UNSETTLING AND DISTURBING TO FREER SINCE CRABB AND CRABBS COURT KNOWINGLY ATTEMPTED TO USE FREERS WRIT OF ERROR (FREER V THURMER 2008 U.S. DIST LEXIS 47840) TO BENEFIT AND PROTECT THE STATE FROM

CIVIL LIABILITIES, AND CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, AND ALSO MAY BE CONSIDERED FABRICATED EVIDENCE.

THIS COURT RENDERED A UNFAIR AND UNAUTHORIZED / IRREGULAR PROCEDURES AS TO RENDER A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL PROCEEDING IMPOSSIBLE.

THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN IN CASE # 08-cv 135 bbc PERPETRATED THEIR COURT WITH THE DELIBERATE INTENT AT FRAUD.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

(FURTHER ADDING FUEL TO THE FIRE) ONCE FREER WAS DENIED ANY RELIEF IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN IN CASE # 08-cv 135 bbc, FREER WAS ENTITLED TO A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT, (AS CASE # 10-1207) THIS COURT ONCE AGAIN REFUSED TO APPOINT COUNSEL TO DEFEND FREER NOR INVESTIGATE FREERS ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIMS THAT FREER ALLEGES, AND DID PERPETUATE FURTHER THE CONTROVERSY AS TO WHAT WAS

FREER REALLY APPEALING TO THE 7TH CIRCUIT? it was About the CON-stitutionality of A statute And NOT my CRIMINAL CASE!

FREER, ONCE AGAIN WANTS STATED ON THE RECORD THAT FREER WAS NOT CHALLENGING FREERS JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION OF 2002, NOR WAS FREER CHALLENGING FREERS CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT AS THE RECORDS STATE IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN.

ALL THIS DELIBERATE AND INTENTIONAL MIS-INTERPRETATION OF FREERS
CORRESPONDENCE, TO SECURE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL VICTORY AGAINST FREER.

SINCE FREER WAS ONLY CONTESTING THE U.S. CONSTITUTIONALITY OF A STATE OF
WISCONSIN STATUTE UNDER FEDERAL CIVIL JUDICIAL PROCEDURE AND RULES; R. ^{5.1} ~~4.5.1~~ AND
RULE 44(b). (STATE STATUTE §977.05). FROM THE WISCONSIN COURT OF APPEALS, DISTRICT 4
NOVEMBER 14TH 2006 ^{AP} (2262)

FURTHER; FREER COMPLAINED ABOUT THE HARASSING LITIGATION TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT FRANK H EASTERBROOK WHO PLAYED WORD GAMES ON FREERS
CORRESPONDENCE TO EASTERBROOKS COURT, AND REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE FREERS
ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIMS.

FREER STATED TO EASTERBROOK THAT ALLOWING JUDGES TO EVALUATE JUDGES FOR
MISCONDUCT WAS LIKE THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE.

NO TRUE OVER-
SIGHT PROTECTIONS EXIST FOR PRO-SE LITIGANTS

FREER STATED TO EASTERBROOK THAT THERE ARE NO TRUE OVERSIGHT PROTECTIONS FOR
PRO-SE INDIGENT LITIGANTS IN THE WISCONSIN COURTS ANYMORE.

THE COURTS (AND THE DEPT. OF JUSTICE), KNEW (HAD KNOWLEDGE) OF ALL THIS. SINCE
FREER WAS OBLIGATED TO SEND A COPY OF FREERS, BRIEFS, WRITS, PLEAS, MOTIONS, AND
CORRESPONDENCE TO THE WISCONSIN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, AND IS
MANDATORY. THIS THEN IMPLICATES THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, (COLLUSION) SINCE
FREER WAS REPEATEDLY COMPLAINING AS STATED ABOVE, ON THESE MATTERS. ALSO
FREER STATED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN; STOP MIS-

INTERPRETATING, FREERS BRIEFS, WRITS, PLEAS, MOTIONS, AND CORRESPONDENCE TO YOUR COURT, ONCE AGAIN THIS CORRESPONDENCE WENT UNANSWERED.

FREER REQUESTS THIS COURT TO ORDER THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN, TO SURRENDER ALL OF FREERS CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS OF #3:08 cv 135 bbc (FREER V THURMER 2008) TO BOTH THE COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS AND A COPY TO FREER FOR PROOF READING.

FREER FURTHER REQUESTS THIS COURT TO ALLOW A LAW FIRM (PRO-BONO) FROM LORD BISSELL AND BROOK IN CHICAGO ILL, (AS SECOND CHAIR?)

THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN DID KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY FALSIFIED, CONCEALED, MATERIAL FACTS, THRU FALSE WRITINGS/STATEMENTS, AND THE COURT MANUFACTURED FALSE WRITINGS WHICH ARE CONSIDERED, MIS-REPRESENTATION, THE COURT MANUFACTURED FALSE WRITINGS AND STATEMENTS WHICH ARE CONSIDERED FORBIDDEN GOVERNMENT BEHAVIOR/ PRACTICES. FREER BELIEVES THAT CRABB AND CRABBS COURT WAS INFLUENCED DUE TO POLITICAL RELATIONSHIPS AND NOT LAW.

THE TOTALITY OF CRABBS RULINGS AS A WHOLE NEED TO BE BALANCED IN CONSIDERING FREERS ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIMS BY;

1) THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE CONDUCT AFFECTED FREERS U.S. CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED RIGHTS. WHICH ARE PROTECTED INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS. AS THIS CASE WAS FOR THE BENEFIT OF PRO-SE LITIGANTS WHO ARE POOR, AND THE DECEPTIVE PRACTICES BY BARBARA B CRABB AND HER COURT.

2). THE POTENTIAL FOR THE MISCONDUCT TO DIRECTLY HARM OTHERS. 3). THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE MISCONDUCT IS INDICATIVE OF BIAS AND PREJUDICE ON THE PART OF THE JUDGE.

4). THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE JUDGE IS INDICATIVE OF THE JUDGES LACK OF RESPECT FOR THE PUBLIC AND THE JUDICIAL \ LEGAL SYSTEM.

5). FREER WANTS TO KNOW WHAT CODE OF ETHICS THERE ARE THEIR FOR COURT INTERPRETERS.

6). THE COURT INTERPRETERS, INTERPRETATIONS OF FREERS WRITS, PLEAS, BRIEFS, MOTIONS, WERE DELIBERATELY MIS-INTERPRETATED TO DECEIVE THE PUBLIC, HENCE FREERS USE OF DECEPTIVE PRACTICES BY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN IN CASE # 3:08 CV 135 BBC CIVIL DOCKET.

7). FREER USED 18 U.S.C. 1506 THEFT OR ALTERATION OF RECORD 9 SINCE FREERS WRITS, PLEAS, MOTIONS, AND CORRESPONDENCE WERE DEFINITELY ALTERED AND FALSIFIED.

8). FREER ALSO USED 18 U.S.C. 1519 DESTRUCTION, ALTERATION, OR FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS.

9). FREER ALSO USED 18 U.S.C. 1623 FALSE DECLARATION(S), BEFORE GRAND JURY OR COURT.

10). FREER WANTS ALSO STATED ON RECORD THAT THERE HAS BEEN A TOTAL BREAKDOWN OF / IN ACCOUNTABILITY, AND ALSO IN ETHICS.

11). THESE DECISIONS WERE POLITICAL AND NOT BASED ON LAW.

ALL THESE FACTORS CONSTITUTED A FAILURE OF OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM DUE TO ABOVE (FAILED) CHECKS AND BALANCES IN BOTH THE COURTS AND DEPT OF JUSTICE, I CALL IT THE

FOX GAURDING THE HEN HOUSE. THERE ARE NO REAL OVERSIGHT PROTECTIONS FOR PRO-SE-LITIGANTS (SUCH AS MYSELF). AND DUE TO THE COURTS (AND THE DEPT OF JUSTICE) HARASSING LITIGATION, FREER INVOLUNTARILY AND UNWILLINGLY SURRENDERED CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED RIGHTS AWAY. NO INVESTIGATION HAS EVER ENSUED BUT A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF PAPER WAS USED TO COVER UP THE TRUTH.

IS THERE ANYONE OUT THERE WHO WANTS TO TRY TO UNCOVER THE TRUTH?

CAN ANYONE OBTAIN THE ENTIRE
CIVIL DOCKET CONTENTS 3:08-CV-135-
bbc FROM FREER v THURMER 08-CV-135bbc
08 CV 135 bbc. IN THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN
DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN (MADISON WI)
MY BRIEFS, PLEAS, MOTIONS, WRITS
WERE ALL IGNORED BY BARBARA b-
CRABB A FEDERAL JUDGE WHY?
PLEASE REMEMBER, THIS CASE IS NOT

John Q Public

02 26 16

This is a continuation of my
Allegations and Complaints of
Abuses by Both our Courts
and in Collusion with the
Dept of Justice Employees.

I seek the Public's help and
internet access to Facebook -
WikiLeaks, Google, twitter and
Especially www.Liberation.FR.
Over and over again constantly
I seek a investigation by the
International Covenant For
Civil and Political Rights Court.

02 26 16

AND OTHER SOCIAL MEDIA SITES

FYI!

OUR GOVERNMENT HAS A FAKE
WIKILEAKS WEBSITE. THE WIKILEAKS
WEBSITE WARNS ITS VIEWERS THAT
THERE LOGO ON THIS FAKE WEBSITE
IS FUZZY AND DISTORTED BUT PEOPLE
WHO ARE WHISTLE BLOWERS WILL
INFORM OUR GOVERNMENT OF PROBLEMS
IN OUR PRIVATE (JOBS) AND GOVERNMENT
COMPANIES GO TO [WWW.WIKILEAKS](http://www.wikileaks.org) FOR
ALL THE DETAILS, SO BEWARE.

SECOND OUR GOVERNMENT

02 26 16

DONATES A LOT OF MONEY to
the INTERNATIONAL COVENANT
FOR CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS
COURT too LOOK the OTHER WAY
too IGNORE Complaints of
Civil Right Complaints by
U.S. CITIZENS. its CALLED
Hush money.

Only thru ENOUGH PRESSURE will
A INVESTIGATION will BE CONDUCTED
into these ABUSES.

I SEEK FURTHER SPONSORS AND
ENDORSEMENTS too this COURT

Constantly Post Repeatedly

THESE ABUSES ARE ALL WELL-DOCUMENTED including FRANK H. EASTERBROOK chief Justice For the 7th circuit in Chicago!

you think WATERGATE WAS big
wait til you SEE this!

My URL is: <http://between-the-bars.org/blogs/7297/>

YOU CAN WRITE ME AT

James Freer @ Waupun Correctional Institution PO Box 351
Waupun WI 53963-0351