

It is about time someone has had the testicular, or intestinal, fortitude to file a civil action against the MCI-Norfolk Food Services Director, Bill Bates, a/k/a "The Green Chickenhead" ("GCH"). The DOC's Food Services Policy, 103 DOC 760 clearly dictates that GCH is to follow the dietitian approved menus and recipes. These menus and recipes with the associated work sheets specify the quality and brands of food to be purchased and used ensuring a Heart Healthy Diet. But the GCH defies this policy, which was promulgated and has the force of law, on a daily basis. The GCH has replaced the approved bologna with a brand that contains transfat. He has replaced the approved turkey with a processed jelly type of turkey log or loaf. The list of these unhealthy substitutions is too long to list. The GCH has recently purchased a substitution chicken most of which had to be thrown away because it was actually green with mold. But this discovery was not before this chicken was served to inmates, and, a chicken head and neck was found in a man's chicken stew meal. If the recipes are followed to the letter, a man can be fed for about \$2.50 per day. \$2.50 per man, per day! So you may ask where all this money that the GCH saves is going? Well the GCH uses the money for "overtime meals", and to feed his staff and friends. Overtime meals are intended to feed staff who are working overtime. But it has become the norm to make and feed weekend staff. Nonetheless, when the overtime meals started, staff who were working overtime were allowed to obtain the same meal that was served to an inmate. Today BBQ chicken (minus mold and necks) were being made for staff. The GCH was questioned by someone at the headquarters why he was purchasing pork when pork is not served to the inmate population. The GCH's response was that he was serving it to staff from money he saved by replacing inmate items, and was told to stop. The GCH did stop, purchasing pork. Last week staff were served egg-rolls, fried rice, chips and fruit juice. The inmate population is expected to follow the most basic rules- why not the GCH? To view a copy of this suit, either conact Norfolk Superior Court and request a (free copy) of the docket entry sheet, then request a copy of the complaint (BRALEY v. BATES, CIVIL ACTION #16-0599) or, goto WWW.BLAHBLAH/document.com

MCI Norfolk

** PLEASE REVIEW ATTACHED COMPLAINT **

The Norfolk Chronicles

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

NORFOLK, SS

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
of the TRIAL COURT

井ノ口

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLAINT

WILLIAM BATES, FOOD SERVICES
DIRECTOR, MASSACHUSETTS
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION at
NORFOLK,
Defendant.

JURISDICTION

This is an action seeking equitable relief pursuant to M.G.L.c., 237A. The Superior Court has original Jurisdiction over this matter.

VENUE

Whereas, the defendant has his place of business located in Norfolk Massachusetts, the Superior Court for Norfolk County is the appropriate venue for this action.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, [REDACTED], is a prisoner currently incarcerated at the Massachusetts Correctional Institutional Institution in Norfolk, MASSachusetts(MCI-N).

2. Defendant, William Bates, is employed by the Massachusetts Department of Correction(DOC) as the Food Service Director for MCI-N located in Norfolk, Massachusetts.

FACTS

3. The DOC has promulgated a policy for the serving of food to inmates incarcerated in Massachusetts Correctional Institutions, (103 DOC 760) FOOD SERVICES POLICY.

4. The Food Service Policy requires that inmates be provided with nutritionally adequate meals that are of appropriate quantity through the use of a seasonally adjusted cyclical menu, (103 DOC 769.01(1)).

5. The Institutional Food Service Director shall oversee the daily preparation and delivery of all meals and assure compliance with the department policies, (103 DOC 760.04(1)).

6. The Food Service Policy requires nutritionally adequate meals, properly prepared and served in accordance with the departmental cycle menus and corresponding recipes(emphasis added), (103 DOC 760.04(2)).

7. That each institution shall ensure that inmates are provided nutritionally adequate meals by recording any substitutions or additions in food actually served, ensuring that the substitution is in accordance with approved Departmental Substitution Guidelines, (103 DOC 760.05(2)) MENU REQUIREMENTS.

8. According to Christopher Gendreau, the State Food Service Director for the DOC, as of *FEB-2016* there are no Approved Departmental Substitution Guidelines for the Standard DOC Menu.

9. On March 19, 2016, the dinner meal "White meat Chicken and Gravy" was substituted with a processed beef patty and a slice of cheese.

10. On March 26, 2016, the dinner meal "Chicken Leg" was substituted with a processed chicken parts patty and the "Oven browned Potatoes" were substituted with white rice.

11. On April 3, 2016, the dinner meal "Meatballs and Tomato Sauce, Peas and Carrots" was substituted with chicken bologna and a slice of cheese.

12. On April 4, 2016, the lunch meal that called for "Minestrone Soup" was not prepared in accordance with the DOC Minestrone Soup Recipe. The soup served was missing chopped onions and sauted kidney beans.

13. On April 5, 2016, the breakfast meal "Pancakes" were substituted with a premade waffle.

14. On April 6, 2016, the breakfast meal "Wheatflakes" were substituted with grits.

15. On April 6, 2016, the lunch meal "Cottage Fries and Peas and Carrots" were substituted with a premade salad mixture.

16. On April 7, 2016, the lunch meal ""Minestrone Soup" recipe was not followed. The soup was missing kidney beans, Wheat Bread was substituted with a hamburger roll, white rice was substituted with boiled chopped potatoes.

17. On April 7, 2016, the dinner meal "Sliced Turkey and mashed potatoes with gravy" was substituted with chopped turkey,

peas and corn in a gravy mixture over rice.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

18. The actions of the defendant described at ¶¶ 9 through 17 inclusive, constitutes a violation of the provisions of 103 DOC 760.04(1), Institutional Food Service Director's Duties and Responsibilities.

COUNT II

19. The actions of the defendant described herein at ¶¶ 9 through 17 inclusive, constitutes a violation of the provisions of 103 DOC 760.04(2), Institutional Food Service Director's Duties and Responsibilities.

COUNT III

20. The actions of the defendant described herein at ¶¶ 9 through 17 inclusive, constitute a violation of the provisions of 103 DOC 760.05(2), Menu Requirements.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court grant him the following relief:

A) Issue a declaratory Judgement that the defendant has violated 103 DOC 760.04(1)(2) and 103 DOC 760.05(2) by substituting food items on the standard menu without there being Approved Departmental Substitution Guidelines in which to make the

substitutions in accord with, and for not following the DOC cycle menu recipes;

B) permanently enjoin the defendant from continuing to violate 103 DOC 760.04(1)(2) and 103 DOC 760.05(2) in the manner complained of; and,

C) order any other relief the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,
by the Plaintiff,

 Plaintiff, pro se

DATE: 4/19/16

VERIFICATION

I, I, do hereby verify, under the pain and penalty of perjury, that I have read the following complaint in its entirety and, that all averments contained therein are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, understanding and belief.

 Plaintiff, pro se

Q: How many licensed electricians does it take to change a light-bulb[at MCI-NORFOLK] ?

A: One- seriously.

At Norfolk Bobby Reece, a/k/a/ "Reece the Fleece" personally had all the screws on lighting changed from "Allan-screws" to security screws. Not much difference- both require a special tool to open. However an inmate earning \$3.00-\$4.00 a day can open and change as he is allowed an Allan Wrench in his tool box. But with security screws it requires an electrician earning upwards of \$50.00 an hour to open, and with 1,500 plus inmates that is alot of hours, lightbulbs and money. Fleece has a colorful history at Norfolk. Fleece is known to bully and threaten inmate workers with loss of jobs(or worse) if the inmate worker performs emergency work at the Administration's request. In other words the inmate is instructed to claim they are unable to perform work and request that Fleece be called in(for overtime). These actions by Fleece have resulted in skilled inmates quitting niche jobs to avoid Fleece's wrath. Fleece has also been suspended for, well, making "mistakes" on his timecard(s) resulting in overtime. These are the times he was caught. Fleece's antics have involved stealing foods, spending lazy afternoons watching tv or trolling the internet. This has resulted in the confiscation of a tv originally purchased for inmate programs which Fleece reported as broken, and keys to sensitive areas like property and the kitchen being taken away from him. The deputy has even threatened to transfer Fleece to another prison. Well Bobby, just remember that as you make your way down Route 2 to waste tax payers money at another prison- we will be thinking of you.

MCI Norfolk On "Blast!"

The Norfolk Chronicles