


Michael Manjeet Singh, T-22165  
LAC(C-1-111)  
PO Box 4610  
LANCASTER, CA 93539

ALL NEW  
I'M BACK! (I Hope!)  
6-11-17  
Sunday

Between The Bars,  
Hello To All In The Real World,

I'm back yall, Sorry it took me  
a while, but READ THE NEXT EIGHT (8)  
PAGES = PROOF OF BLATANT CORRUPTION  
IN Calif. Dept. of Corrections & Rehab (CDCR)!  
The last three (3) PRISONS, including  
CORCORAN, SALINAS & LANCASTER ARE bursting  
at the seams & fraught with: Abuse, Waste,  
Retaliation & Corruption! See the following  
pages - AS I've been in & out of Administrative  
Segregation (ASU) - <sup>ALSO</sup> ~~AKA~~ KNOWN AS SOLITARY!  
I've been too busy being set up by crooked  
prison guards & fighting & BEATING THOSE  
CHARGES! ONE GOOD THING - IS →  
This picture I was able to take/send  
to my Mom for Mother's Day & brighten  
her day!

I don't know who said it, but one of my  
All-time favorite quotes goes something  
like this: The Power of The People is GREATER THAN The People IN Power!  
& "The degree of civilization in a society can be judged by entering it's prisons"  
by Fyodor DOSTOYEVSKY. All that's left = Get Gov. BROWN to MAKE these crooked  
guards (who've Re-named themselves - Correctional Officers [funny because they never correction ANY-  
thing] to wear 'body-CAMS', so the public CAN see exactly how they EARN \$100,000  
A YEAR (highest paid guards - in the world)! Holla at me! I'll reply to all! 😊  
(Been going thru 9/11 discrimination - since 9/11) \* Respectfully, Michael Manjeet Singh







PRISON LAW OFFICE  
General Delivery, San Quentin, CA 94964  
Telephone (510) 280-2621 • Fax (510) 280-2704  
www.prisonlaw.com

Director:

Managing Attorney:

Staff Attorneys:

## LEGAL MAIL - CONFIDENTIAL

CDCR =

May 31, 2017

\* CORRUPTION \*

Michael Singh, T22165  
LAC  
PO Box 8457  
Lancaster, CA 93536

Dear Mr. Singh:

We received your recent letters and we are so sorry to hear about what happened to you. We are very concerned about your experiences.

On May 9, 2017, we presented a long and detailed report to CDCR about staff misconduct and violence at SVSP. The report we submitted to CDCR was based on 125 interviews that the Prison Law Office conducted with prisoners at SVSP. It focused on instances of staff misconduct alleged to have occurred between August 2016 and March 2017. We raised concerns about excessive force, harassment and verbal abuse by officers, failure to stop violence between prisoners, and interference with prisoners' access to programs and disability accommodations. We used prisoners' names in the report only where we had explicit permission to do so.

In particular, the report documented:

- Twenty-eight allegations that custody officers disclose prisoners' commitment offenses to other prisoners, including, in some cases, with express instructions to assault or otherwise confront the prisoner in question.
- Thirty-three allegations that officers interfere with the appeals process or retaliate against prisoners who file staff complaints, including specific allegations that two captains threatened or discouraged prisoners from submitting appeals.
- Ten allegations that officers facilitate assaults on prisoners, including five highly consistent reports about the same officer on D yard.
- Eighteen allegations that officers fail to respond to prisoners' serious safety concerns, ignore requests for emergency medical assistance, and force prisoners to sign "marriage chronos" with individuals who have attacked or threatened to attack them, despite ongoing serious safety concerns.

Board of Directors

President • Vice President • Treasurer  
[Redacted Names]



- Eighteen allegations that officers fail to respond in a timely manner to violence between prisoners, including by allowing prisoners to assault one another for several minutes before intervening.
- Thirty-three allegations that officers intimidate, harass, and threaten prisoners, including on basis of race, sexual orientation, disability, and mental health needs.
- Twenty allegations that officers use excessive force against prisoners, including several allegations involving captains, lieutenants, and sergeants. In several cases, CDCR medical records corroborate the prisoners' alleged injuries.
- Seventeen allegations that when officers do respond to fighting on the yard, they do so excessively, including through indiscriminate use of grenades.
- Fourteen allegations that officers actively prevent individuals from attending medical ducats, participating in their work assignments, or otherwise accessing programs and services at SVSP .

The allegations documented in our report implicated every yard of the prison and involved 100 officers, including captains, lieutenants, and seargeant. After releasing our report to CDCR, we visited the prison with executive staff from CDCR Headquarters and met with the leadership of the prison to express our concerns. We have requested that CDCR consider a number of measures to improve conditions and culture at SVSP.

In the meantime, we remain concerned about conditions at SVSP, and we are continuing to monitor conditions there. We plan to continue advocating for better conditions at SVSP if we do not see positive changes there.

Please keep us updated on your situation, including if you face any new or ongoing problems with staff. We ask that you include the dates of new incidents and names of those involved. If you experience new or ongoing problems with staff members, we also encourage you to file a 602 (if you feel safe doing so). You can send us copies of any 602s you file, along with any responses you receive.

If you tell us about any incidents at SVSP, please also let us know if it is OK for us to use your name when reporting any incidents to CDCR. It is best when we can use names, but reporting anonymous information is also helpful.

If you hear about other people experiencing problems with staff or witnessing staff misconduct, please encourage them to write to us (whether or not they are Armstrong class members).

We hope you are doing okay.

Sincerely,



Laura Graham

Litigation Assistant under Margot Mendelson

Enclosures: SASE



Not guilty!

~~1/28~~

22816

A  
1 of 1

Inmate Appeals Office

INMATE COPY

MODIFICATION ORDER

September 8, 2016

AW 3C

RE: SINGH, T22165  
A 002 1134001LP  
CSPC-7-16-03816  
DISCIPLINARY

Please be informed that as a result of a Level II Decision, the above referenced appeal has been GRANTED IN PART. Please complete this modification order to comply with the decision.

DUE DATE: 11/07/2016

REISSUE AND REHEAR RVR



Per Second Level appeal decision, the Chief Disciplinary Officer (CDO) of Facility 3C shall order CDCR 115, Rules Violation Report (RVR), Log# 002816 dated May 2, 2016 for the specific act of Threatening Staff to be reissued/reheard. The Senior Hearing Officer (SHO) shall ensure the Reporting Employee (RE) shall comply with CCR, Title 15, Section 3015(e)(C)(4) which states the reporting employee shall attend the disciplinary hearing or be available for questioning via speakerphone if requested by the inmate.

The modification was completed in the following manner:

→	RVR was reissued/reheard by SUSP. SHO -
	It was found WIT (will) & RVR dismissed
	(see Attached)

(You must attach a copy of any documents proving compliance, such as CDC Form 128-G, Classification Chrono; CDC Form 128-C, Medical Chrono; CDC Form 115, Rules Violation Report, etc.)

Certified as completed by:

Name (Signed)		Title	AW/CDO	Location	COR
Name (Printed)		Date	11/7/16		





Not Guilty!

C1-111

4629131

exB 1 of 2

## DISCIPLINARY HEARING RESULTS

Institution Name: California State Prison, Los Angeles County

Facility: LAC-Facility C

Log Number: 000000002629131

Inmate Name: SINGH, MICHAEL M.

CDC #: T22165

Bed Number: LAC-C - C 001 1 - 128001L

TABE Score: 12.9

Mental Health LOC:  
CCCMS

DDP Designation: NCF

### DUE PROCESS

Rule Violation #: 3021

Specific Act: Falsification of document not affecting term

Level: Serious

Offense Division: Division E

Offense Occurrence: 1st Occurrence

Violation Date: 04/07/2017

Violation Time: 11:45:00

Hearing Date: 05/18/2017

Hearing Time: 11:00:00

#### Actions Taken

Date	Time	Type/Reason	Staff	Elapsed Days
04/12/2017	13:00:42	RVR Entered (in Draft form)	M. Solo	5
04/17/2017	12:13:35	RVR Ready for Review by Supv.	M. Solo	10
04/18/2017	06:34:17	RVR Approved by Supervisor	M. Hernandez	11
04/19/2017	13:43:18	RVR Classified	A. Gaffney	12
04/20/2017	12:05:00	Inmate Copy Served Initial Rules Violation Report	C. Bell	13
04/20/2017	14:00:00	Notice of Pending Charges Sent to Rclds.	C. Bell	13
04/27/2017	10:00:00	Inmate Copy Served Other	C. Bell	20

All Time Constraints Met?: Yes

SHO/HO DDP Certified?: Yes

#### Due Process Additional Information:

### HEARING

Subject elected not to participate in the adjudication process by refusing to attend the hearing. An Informational Chrono was generated documenting the refusal to attend the hearing.



2629131  
ex B-2 of 2

### PLEA AND STATEMENT

**PLEA/STATEMENT:** The above circumstances were read aloud to subject and elected to plea: **Not Guilty**

☐ Subject declined to make a statement

☒ Subject made a statement

#### Comments:

All the forms they said on this report I did not receive.

NOT guilty!

### FINDINGS

Subject was found: **Not Guilty** based on a preponderance of evidence.

Lesser Included Charge:

Level:

Offense Division:

Offense Occurrence:

#### Comments:

Based on the above facts, the SHO believes the preponderance of evidence is not clearly present to support the charge; therefore a finding of not guilty is appropriate.

### EVIDENCE

The following evidence was used to support the findings:

#### Comments:

1.) Evidence does not substantiate the charge in that there were multiple discrepancies in the report, all documents noted on the report were not consistent with the documents submitted in the report as evidence therefore the SHO elected to find the inmate not guilty of the charge in the interest of justice.

Based on the above facts, the SHO believes the preponderance of evidence is clearly present to support the charge; therefore a finding of guilt is appropriate.

### DISPOSITION

Sanction Type	Quantity	Mitigated	Interest of Justice	DDP	MH LOC	MH-A	Start Date	End Date
Credit Loss			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Confined to Quarters Days			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Confined to Quarters Weekends			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Disciplinary Detention			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Privilege Group C			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Loss of Pay								
Canteen Privileges			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		





2087425  
NOT guilty! (C143)

## DISCIPLINARY HEARING RESULTS

Institution Name: Salinas Valley State Prison

Facility: SVSP-Facility A

Log Number: 000000002087425

Inmate Name: SINGH, MICHAEL M.

CDC #: T22165

Bed Number: SVSP-A - A 002 1 - 134001L

TABE Score: 12.9

Mental Health LOC:  
CCCMS

DDP Designation: NCF

### DUE PROCESS

Rule Violation #: 3005(d)(1)

Specific Act: Threatening to kill a public official

Level: Serious

Offense Division: Division B

Offense Occurrence: 1st Occurrence

Violation Date: 01/10/2017

Violation Time: 08:30:00

Hearing Date: 03/31/2017

Hearing Time: 18:46:00

### Actions Taken

Date	Time	Type/Reason	Staff	Elapsed Days
01/23/2017	17:03:11	RVR Ready for Review by Supv.	[REDACTED]	13
01/23/2017	17:13:19	RVR Approved by Supervisor	[REDACTED]	13
01/24/2017	14:33:07	RVR Classified	[REDACTED]	14
01/24/2017	18:37:49	Notice of Pending Charges Sent to Rcds.	[REDACTED]	14
01/24/2017	20:30:35	MH Assessment Requested	[REDACTED]	14
01/24/2017	20:30:48	Inmate Copy Served Initial Rules Violation Report	[REDACTED]	14
01/24/2017	20:31:24	Hearing Postponement Revoked by Inmate	[REDACTED]	14
02/01/2017	15:37:25	Inmate Copy Served Incident Report	[REDACTED]	22
02/02/2017	21:04:38	Inmate Copy Served Incident Report	[REDACTED]	23
02/02/2017	21:05:11	IE Assigned	[REDACTED]	23
02/21/2017	17:57:23	IE Report Prepared	[REDACTED]	42



ex C 2 of 3

## Witnesses requested at Hearing

☐ Reporting Employee☐ Staff Assistant☐ Investigative Employee☒ Other☐ Inmate☐ None

## Non-Inmate Witness(es)

Name	Rank	Type	Granted?
[REDACTED]	LIEUTENANT	Witness	Yes

## Questions Asked

Q1) Do you remember on January 30, 2017 you had <sup>me</sup> pulled out.

A1) Yes.

Q2) Do you remember telling me that the 115 never should <sup>have been</sup> ~~be~~ written because there was source was not reliable.

A2) What I said, I don't deem the source reliable.

Q3) Did you tell <sup>me</sup> to call you at my 115 hearing to clear things up?

A3) I said I felt the source was not reliable.

## Inmate Witness(es)

CDC#	Name	Bed	Granted?

## Questions Asked

## Witness Additional Information:

## PLEA AND STATEMENT

PLEA/STATEMENT: The above circumstances were read aloud to subject and elected to plea: Not Guilty☐ Subject declined to make a statement☒ Subject made a statement

## Comments:

I have never threatened staff.

NOT guilty!

## FINDINGS

Subject was found: Not Guilty based on a preponderance of evidence.

Lesser Included Charge:

Level:

Offense Division:

Offense Occurrence:

## Comments:

## EVIDENCE

The following evidence was used to support the findings:

## Comments:

Testimony from Correctional Lieutenant [REDACTED] deems the confidential source unreliable.



NOT guilty!

Comments:

Referred to Classification Committee N/A

For ☐ SHU Term Assessment ☐ Program Review ☐ Un-Assignment ☐ Substance Abuse Treatment

Disposition Additional Information:

ENEMY CONCERNS

- ☐ Not Applicable
- ☒ Subject states he/she does not have Enemy or Safety Concerns.
- ☐ One or more of the inmates involved has stated there is lingering animosity towards one another. Therefore, the SHO has entered non-confidential separation alerts for the following inmates:

SECURITY THREAT GROUP

Security Threat Group Nexus?: ☐ No

Security Threat Group Nexus Additional Information:

FINAL SECTION

Additional Information:

CREDIT RESTORATION

- ☐ Subject was advised of his/her right to restoration of credits under CCR 3327, 3328, and 3329.
- ☐ Subject was advised Credit Forfeiture for a Division 'A', 'B' or 'C' offense will not be restored.
- ☐ Subject was advised he/she would not be able to file for restoration of credits under CCR 3327(a)(4).
- ☒ At the conclusion of the hearing Subject was advised of the findings, disposition, and his/her right to appeal per CCR 3084.1.

Hearing Official

TITLE:  
Lieutenant

DATE:  
04/01/2017

FINDINGS (BY CDO)

Subject was found: **Not Guilty** based on a preponderance of evidence.

Lesser Included Charge:

Level:

Offense Division:

Offense Occurrence:

CDO Summary: **Affirming The Hearing Results**

Comments: