# Between The Bars

8.11.17

To Be, or not to be... (how does the rest of this Shakespearean sonnet go?). The following 23 page wake bears witness that BEING a test pilot to help prisoners, was a challenge for me to amend history and recover from my glee of insanity. Thank you all for this redeeming opportunity.

Very early in this SPO adventure I understood that I'd be digging up bones from my inverted flag campaign (cir. 1995-7), which prompted an agent provocateur type merchant of chaos to deliver explosives to my home while I was out earning wages. Explosives which exiled me to penal colonies twenty years now! Who was there in the house with you Debbie, when that idiot Quinn brought in that dogfood bag of explosives — and why weren't you <u>also</u> arrested for possession? Has no one else ever wondered that?

The following is what fabian paladins mistake for Antagonistic Belligerence, as if a test pilot prefers fair weather and good roads.



1/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL**
CDCR 602 (REV. 08/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

**Side 1**

| IAB USE ONLY | Institution/Parole Region: | Log #: | Category: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | MCSP-A | 15-01950 | 11 |
| | FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |

You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) decision, action, condition, policy or regulation that has a material adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other prescribed method of departmental review/remedy available. See California Code of Regulations, Title 15, (CCR) Section 3084.1. You must send this appeal and any supporting documents to the Appeals Coordinator (AC) within 30 calendar days of the event that lead to the filing of this appeal. If additional space is needed, only one CDCR Form 602-A will be accepted. Refer to CCR 3084 for further guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeal process.

**Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.** **WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.**

| Name (Last, First): | CDC Number: | Unit/Cell Number: | Assignment: |
|---|---|---|---|
| Goehler, William | K-77832 | A1/124 | Land Scaping T-12-9 |

**State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, job removal, etc.):** RLUIPA
Denial of First and Fourteenth Amendment Rights U.S. Constit.

**A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A):** Appellant has been forbidden from receiving Scientology CD lectures per CDCR 22 dated 6/30/14 Response. On 8/13/14 Appellant began requesting RRC to Approve BRIDGE PUBLICATIONS, the sole publisher of Scientology - cont. pg. 2

**B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of the CDCR 602-A):** Approve BRIDGE PUBLICATIONS as Religious Vendor permitting 1/x to purchase CD lectures. Secondly, permit at least three times per week on DMS for Scientology Services. Thirdly: hold the mismanagement of Religious Affairs accountable

**Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.**

☒ Yes, I have attached supporting documents.

List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property Inventory; CDC 128-G, Classification Chrono):

CDCR 602-A — 18 pages Supporting documents *
CDCR 602-G — CDCR 22's / Memo's / letters
* Dated between 4-30-14 to 6-22-15

☐ No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason :

Inmate/Parolee Signature: W Goehler (K-77832) Date Submitted: 7/11/15

☐ **By placing my initials in this box, I waive my right to receive an interview.**

2015 NOV 18 AM 9:42
2015 JUL 15 AM 9:42
U.S. MAIL

**C. First Level - Staff Use Only** Staff – Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached? ☒ Yes ☐ No

This appeal has been:
☐ Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.
☐ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: Date: Date: Date:
☐ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date:
☒ Accepted at the First Level of Review. ext 10/07/15
Assigned to: Cent. Svcs.-FACA-(CPM) Title: AW Date Assigned: 7/27/15 Date Due: 8/26/15

First Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Interviewer's name, title, interview date, location, and complete the section below.

Date of Interview: Interview Location:

Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other:

See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Level response, complete Section D.

Interviewer: E[redacted] Title: CPM Signature: [redacted] Date completed: 9/20/15

Reviewer: [redacted] Title: AW Signature: [redacted]

Date received by AC: 10/07/15

**AC Use Only**
**Date mailed/delivered to appellant** 10/07/15

2/23

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL FORM ATTACHMENT**
CDCR 602-A (08/09)

Side 1

| IAB USE ONLY | Institution/Parole Region: | Log #: | Category: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | MCSP-A | 15-01950 | 11 |
| | FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

**Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.** **WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.**

| Name (Last, First): | CDC Number: | Unit/Cell Number: | Assignment: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOEHLER, William | K-77832 | AL/124 | LANDSCAPING |

**A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue):** material. Appellant has maintained CDCR22 communication line endeavoring to establish Scientology and obtain Approval to purchase religious CD's beginning from Appellants initial letter of intent dated 13 May14, consistently through to the last CDCR22 dated 18 June15, all the while receiving verbal assurances from both Iman Yoneus and CPM Escobar that my issues would be heard at the next RRC, always "next month". Appellant has encountered this ambiguous assurance for a full year now, requiring this formal Appeal per (Person, 471 F.3d 732 - 7th Cir 2006) - to effect change in policy. Appellant has written several letters/requests to the Chaplain/Review Committee, to receive more chapel time for those involved in Scientology in order to view DVD lectures/lessons that require indoor space consistent with other prisoners on A-Fac. who get religious grounds space to be used at any time. Appellant requests additional chapel time to be set on actual DMS (See Balawajder, 217 S.W.3d 20 Tex App - Houston [1st Dist 2005]): Exaggerated response based on any security problems - thus violating First Amendment and subverts appellants appreciation of the value of good behavior/program participation in furtherance of rehabilitation; attempts to ban appellants from the same allotted time as the other religious groups is a violation of RLUIPA rights and is not a legitimate penological interest.

Inmate/Parolee Signature: (K-77832) Date Submitted: 7/11/15

STAFF USE ONLY

JUL 15 AM 9:43

**B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested):** for denying Equal Protection, to the same allotted time in the chapel classroom for religious study/viewing DVD's for Scientology members, as the other religious groups get; and grant appellants Punitive Damages of $10,000, and Compensatory Damages of $1,000 for the violations listed above thus far.

Inmate/Parolee Signature: (K-77832) Date Submitted: 7/11/15

3/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL**
CDCR 602 (REV. 08/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

Side 2

**D. If you are dissatisfied with the First Level response**, explain the reason below, attach supporting documents and submit to the Appeals Coordinator for processing within 30 calendar days of receipt of response. If you need more space, use Section D of the CDCR 602-A.

In the interest of Justice, the additional Attachments (Addend "C"; Addend "D-1 through D-23"), pertinent to this case at bar - which the Appellant was prohibited from retrieving to clarify issues at FLR interview during Appellants work hours - are necessary in order to pursue USC 1983 civil litigation re RLUIPA/Equal Protection - Desperate Treatment claims (see: 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40834 Sessing v. Beard; 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9132 Grisham v. Pritchard; 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94064 Irvin v. Yates), as well as to debunk the systemically frustrating errors of FLR Findings.

Firstly: Appellant submits the REGULATIONS governing this issue are: in addition to the noted CCR 3001, 3084 (cont)

Inmate/Parolee Signature: William Gaeller K-77832 Date Submitted: 11-1-15

**E. Second Level - Staff Use Only** Staff – Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached? ☑ Yes ☐ No

This appeal has been:

☐ By-passed at Second Level of Review. Go to Section G.
☐ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____
☐ Cancelled (See attached letter)
☑ Accepted at the Second Level of Review

Assigned to: M. Elorza Title: CC II Date Assigned: 11-4-15 Date Due: 12-17-15

Second Level Responder: Complete a Second Level response. If an interview at the Second Level is necessary, include interviewer's name and title, interview date and location, and complete the section below.

Date of interview: N/A Interview Location: completed @ FLR

Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☒ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____

See attached letter. If dissatisfied with Second Level response, complete Section F below.

Interviewer: [redacted] Title: N/A Signature: [redacted] Date completed: 11-19-15

Reviewer: [redacted] (Print Name) Title: CPW Signature: [redacted]

Date received by AC: 11/25/15

AC Use Only
Date mailed/delivered to appellant 11/25/15

**F. If you are dissatisfied with the Second Level response,** explain reason below; attach supporting documents and submit by mail for Third Level Review. It must be received within 30 calendar days of receipt of prior response. Mail to: Chief, Inmate Appeals Branch, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283-0001. If you need more space, use Section F of the CDCR 602-A.

Recognizing SAFE STREETS ACT provisions - 42 USC § 3789d(c)(2000) to Federal Funding Recipients, please take heed: Pursuant to CCR15 § 3084.9(i)(1)(3)(A)(B)(6) Appeal Coordinator misconduct failing to acknowledge Appellant claim of Retribution, not meeting 3084.5(b)(4) Standard, includes failing to weigh 3084.7(a)(1)(2)(d)(1)(A), Exempting Escobar/Younus from conducting FLR, who were Principle Characters in all CDCR 22 Forms at issue; and attempting to nullify 3084.(h) inclusions to "Substantiate Claims". Aside from that, to again debun

Inmate/Parolee Signature: William Gaeller K-77832 Date Submitted: 12-3-15

**G. Third Level - Staff Use Only**

This appeal has been:

☐ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____
☐ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date: _____
☐ Accepted at the Third Level of Review. Your appeal issue is ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____
See attached Third Level response.

Third Level Use Only
Date mailed/delivered to appellant ___/___/___

**H. Request to Withdraw Appeal:** I request that this appeal be withdrawn from further review because; State reason. (If withdrawal is conditional, list conditions.)

_____ Inmate/Parolee Signature: _____ Date: _____

Print Staff Name: _____ Title: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____

**D. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section D only (Dissatisfied with First Level response):** (not 3054.2), also include CCR 3190(b)(5)(4) Religious Property; 3210(c)(d) Religious Program; DOM Art.6 §101060.1 Religious Policy/Scheduling/Use of Chapel — along with MESP TRM Attachment A-B-C: Approved Rel. Property/Approved Rel. Purchase/Approved Rel. Vendors. and now also includes CCR 3084.1(d): Appeal Reprisal (see CDCR 22-8/16/15). Secondly: Please have the record reflect that Appellant has never made any claim as to "Christian (sic) Scientology", as alluded to in FLR. Scientology happen be a non-denominational/multi-faith religion known simply as Scientology. Thirdly: The obscure FLR findings re: "...which Scientology material in particular... has been addressed", and what exactly "I/M Goehler has been told by Chap. Younus...", are neither clarified nor documented anywhere in the Many CDCR 22s Requests (see: 8/13/14 & 8/21/14, 6/11/15 and 12/5/14 Memo) requesting such clarification — initially made an issue per CDCR 22-6/20/14, noting R+R "Policy" restricting religious CD's to Approved Religious Vendors currently posted upon R+R list. note — Following FLR Misinformed Decision granting Rel. Purchase "permitting R+R Policy — is followed", Appellant addressed this on CDCR 22-10/15/15, which notes staff response 10/20/15, that nothing has changed with R+R Policy. Fourthly: To argue FLR "Since May 2014 — reasonable accomodations"; Appellant submits the numerous CDCR 22s show that is actually not a fact, evidenced by Chap. Younus ambiguous replies to CDCR 22s (4/30/14 & 5/14/14) while endeavoring to establish official time — eventually granted by Chap. Safanov on CDCR 22-11/24/15 and again 12/8/14 following Chap Younus stonewalling. Also include the DMS failures noted CDCR 22-4/1/15, 7/29/15 and the alerts to A.W. Davis on CDCR 22-6/18/15. Collectively, this 16 mo. paper trail noting uncooperative frustrations in Religious Affairs, culminated in the cancellation of Scientology Services (CDCR 22-8/16/15) usurping "Chap. Safanov" authority, CDCR 22-7/29/15) who granted 8 hr/wk chapel access — as is granted Islam — so as seemingly retributive hrs/wk during Islam services, apparently in the interest of Impact LTAG in Man (see CDCR 22 3/16/15) — in violation of DOM re Scheduling Chapel Use. Comparative DMS attached to show no other groups have been so penalized, thus equating to Desperate Treatment. XXX

Inmate/Parolee Signature: William Goehler K77832 Date Submitted: 11-15-15

**F. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section F only (Dissatisfied with Second Level response):** the sestemic Respondant errors of fact: 1) Re. 602 Addend 5 (vide A-5) clearly shows Request for RRC (Religious Review Comm) per 3210(d), addressed to CRM Escobar and/or Chaplain Younus (vide: A-6, A-9, A-10) shows a callous disregard of U.S.C. Free Exercise of Religion & Press. 2) Re. Addend 3 (vide D-2) and subsequent 25pp "Comparative DMS" (vide D-16(A)-(X)) clearly demonstrates an Arbitrary Disparity of "alloted time", tortuously not so reflected on the revised Religious Schedule (vide C-1). 3) Re. SLR claim August reschedule; Appellant addressed this (vide Addend C) stipulating a conflict (vide D-14, C-1) Religious Schedules appointing Chaplain Safanov consistant Supervisor Mondays 1230-1530. 4) Re. "Five Inmate participants" statement, cf. Scientology sign-in sheets (vide D-16(P)(Q)) disputes that frivolous claim! Again, Appellant stipulates: DOM 3 101060.4.1 and CCR 3210(c) were violated, permitting non-religious LTAG usurpation (vide Addend C) of prior 8 month Scientology group on Mondays (vide A-7, A-8, A-12, A-15, C-1, D-5, D-16), appears retaliatory with material adverse effect 8/16/15 cancelation following CDCR 602 7/14/15. 5) Re. SLR Conclusion: a- "Altered duct list allegation is not substantiated by RVR", Tue "sponsor" addressed above. c- Unsupervised Sunday — is not issue with unsupervised Muslims on Saturdays (vide D-14, D-16(F)(G)), documenting stark contrast to FLR stipulation to Supervision standards. Nor is "Supervision" an issue re I/M Clerks alone in the chapel (vide Addend C, A-13, A-17, D-3, D-8, D-9 & D-10). Appellant has demonstrated material adverse effect in this matter, and has endeavored to mediate at SLR per 3084.7(f) (vide E-1) unsuccessfully. Appellate also addressed Arbitrary Disparity in the current chapel schedule (vide C-1) still unacknowledged (vide E-2, E-3), thus preserving issues for litigation, sua jure.

Inmate/Parolee Signature: William Goehler K77832 Date Submitted: 12-3-15

5/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE GROUP APPEAL**
CDCR 602-G (08/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
Page No. _____ of _____

| IAB USE ONLY | Institution/Parole Region: | Log #: | Category: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |

This is a group appeal signature attachment sheet. Attach it to your group CDCR 602. You are to legibly print your name, number, assignment and housing, then sign and date the form. By signing, you are agreeing to the issue and action requested; and you acknowledge that this appeal counts towards the allowable number of appeals in the period in which it is filed.

**PRIMARY APPELLANT** **WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.**

| Name (Last, First): | CDC Number: | Assignment: | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Goehler, William | K-77837 | LANDSCAPING | A1/124 | W Goehler | 7/11/15 |

**A. Summarize the specific issue that you are appealing as identified in the attached CDCR 602:** RELIGIOUS VENDOR APPROVAL FOR BRIDGE PUBLICATIONS and REQUESTED CHAPEL TIME ON DMS THREE TIMES PER WEEK.

**B. Summarize the action requested:** GRANT APPROVAL FOR BRIDGE PUBLICATIONS/RELIGIOUS VENDOR FOR SCIENTOLOGY RELIGION and GRANT ADDITIONAL TIME IN CHAPEL CLASSROOM ON DMS.

**NOTE:** I, the undersigned, agree that the facts presented in this appeal are true. I agree with the issue presented and I am requesting the action indicated. In the event the Primary Appellant transfers or elects to withdraw from the appeal, I understand that I may become the primary appellant for purposes of processing the group appeal.

| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| D-92496 | [redacted] | Porter | A1-248 | [redacted] | 7/11/15 |
| J-98680 | [redacted] | clerk | A1-134 | [redacted] | 7-13-15 |
| K-97628 | [redacted] | EOP | A5146 | [redacted] | 7-13-15 |
| H-41147 | [redacted] | Kitchen | A-1-242 | [redacted] | 7/13/15 |
| G14060 | [redacted] | 2/W Porter | A4-216L | [redacted] | 7-13-15 |
| C90304 | [redacted] | Kitchen | A1-212 | [redacted] | 7/13/15 |
| D72137 | [redacted] | VEP EOP | A-5233 | [redacted] | 7/13/15 |
| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |
| CDC Number | Name | Assignment | Unit/Cell # | Signature | Date |

STAFF USE ONLY

6/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
OFFICE OF APPEALS
P. O. BOX 942883
SACRAMENTO, CA 94283-0001

# THIRD LEVEL APPEAL DECISION

Date: MAR 02 2016

In re: William Goehler, K77832
Mule Creek State Prison
P.O. Box 409099
Ione, CA 95640

**Group Appeal**

TLR Case No.: 1506599 Local Log No.: MCSP-15-01950

This matter was reviewed on behalf of the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) by Appeals Examiner R. Briggs, Captain. All submitted documentation and supporting arguments of the parties have been considered.

**I APPELLANT'S ARGUMENT:** It is the appellant's position that he has been forbidden from receiving Scientology lectures on Compact Disc pursuant to a June 30, 2014, CDCR Form 22, Inmate Request for Interview, Item, or Service response. The appellant claimed that on August 13, 2014, he began requesting that Receiving and Release (R&R) approve Bridge Publications, the sole publisher of Scientology material. The appellant claimed that he has been attempting to establish Scientology and obtain approval to purchase religious CDs since May 13, 2014, and has been consistently told that his issues would be reviewed at the next Religious Review Committee (RRC), but this has not happened. The appellant claim that he has written several letters/requests to the Chaplain/RRC to receive more chapel time for those involved in Scientology is order to view DVD lectures/lessons that require indoor space consistent with other prisoners on Facility "A" who get religious ground space to be used anytime. the appellant claimed that any attempts to ban him from the same allotted time as the other religious groups is a violation of the RLUPIA and is not a legitimate penelogical interest.

In remedy, the appellant requests that Bridge Publications be approved as a religious vendor, that at least three Scientology services be scheduled on the Daily Movement Sheet, that the management of religious affairs be held accountable for denying equal protection and that he be awarded $10,000 in punitive damages along with $1,000 in compensatory damages.

**II SECOND LEVEL'S DECISION:** The reviewer found that a thorough review and consideration of the appellant's appeal and respective issues have been completed. The reviewer noted that CDCR Operations Manual, Section (DOM) 101060.6.1 states, "Reasonable time for religious services, in keeping with institution security and other normal and necessary operations and activities within the institution, shall be allowed. Insofar as possible, other institution's activities shall not be planned which will conflict with or disrupt scheduled religious services."

DOM 101060.8 states, "Chapel facilities are designated for daily religious uses and programs. Use of the chapels for other than religious activities shall require the approval of the Warden. Whenever feasible, multi-faith chapels or individual chapels for faith grouped represented by a substantial number of inmates shall be provided at each facility. Where only one chapel is available, a schedule for the use of the chapel shall be prepared by the staff chaplains and approved by the Warden or designee. Where chapels are not available, the Warden shall designate a suitable area for religious services and approve the scheduling of services in such temporary facilities."

Mule Creek State Prison (MCSP)'s supplemental DOM 101060.1 states in relevant part, "The Chaplains shall coordinate with each other and the CPM in the development of a schedule for Chapel Sanctuary services and for Chapel Classroom group study times. The Chapel Sanctuary services and for Chapel Classroom group study times. The Chapel Sanctuary and Chapel Classroom schedules shall be developed with the primary goal of providing one (1) primary service per week for each approved faith group. When possible, and within the institutions safety and security considerations, time in the Chapel Classroom may be scheduled for one (1) hour, one (1) or more times during the Sunday through Saturday week. All schedule changes and additions are subject to review and approval by the CPM and AWCS."

7/23

At the present time, the primary Scientology service for Facility "A" is scheduled for Tuesdays from 1430 to 1530 hours in the Chapel Classroom. The appellant has provided no compelling evidence to support his claim that the current Chapel schedule is contrary to any law, regulation, policy or procedure.

The appellant claims that he received a response to his October 15, 2015, CDCR Form 22, from R&R that indicated that he could not obtain CDs from Bridge Publication is misguided. R&R staff were contacted regarding their response and they indicated that the response was based on the appellant asking if Bridge Publishing had been added as an approved religious vendor and if religious lectures/books on (CD) has been added to the religious property matrix. The answer to both of these questions was no. R&R staff confirmed that if the appellant received a CD from Bridge Publications that was factory recorded and sealed and was within the quantity limit for his privilege group, it would be allowed. Pursuant to DOM 54030.19.5, "DVDs" shall not be permitted.

The reviewer noted that Scientology services were cancelled on August 16, 2015, due to irregularities in the ducat list that included many inmates that had not signed up for the service and the fact that the services were scheduled when there was no supervision of the chapel. The primary religious service for the Scientology inmates had been changed to Tuesdays so that the sponsor could provide the necessary supervision. Ducat list had been received on which the dates and times of the service had been altered after the chaplain has signed the document. It should be noted that requests for monetary compensation are outside the scope of the inmate appeals process.

In view of the above, the Second Level of Review (SLR) partially granted the appeal in that the appellant may obtain CDs from Bridge Publication provided that they are factory recorded and sealed are within the quantity limit for the appellant's privilege group.

**III THIRD LEVEL DECISION:** Appeal is denied.

**A. FINDINGS:** The Third Level of Review (TLR) reviewed the issues of the appellant's appeal and reaffirms the institution's examination and conclusions as addressed within the SLR. The documentation and arguments are persuasive that the appellant has failed to support his appeal issues with sufficient evidence or facts to warrant a modification of the SLR. The SLR provided the appellant with the specific rules and regulations, which govern this matter. After considering the evidence and arguments herein, it has been determined the MCSP appropriately addressed the appellant's request. There shall be no relief afforded to the appellant at the TLR.

The appellant has added new issues and requests to his appeal. The additional requested action is not addressed herein as it is not appropriate to expand the appeal beyond the initial problem and the initially requested action (CDC Form 602, Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form, Sections A and B).

**B. BASIS FOR THE DECISION:**
California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section: 3001, 3084, 3084.1, 3084.5, 3210, 3212, 3220, 3270
DOM: 101060.1, 101060.6.1, 101060.8

**C. ORDER:** No changes or modifications are required by the Institution.

This decision exhausts the administrative remedy available to the appellant within CDCR. If dissatisfied, the appellant may forward this issue to the California Victims Compensation and Government Claims Board, Government Claims Unit, P.O. Box 3035, Sacramento, CA 95812-3035, for further review.

RBriggs
R. BRIGGS, Appeals Examiner
Office of Appeals

for
M. YOUNG, Chief
Office of Appeals

cc: Warden, MCSP
Appeals Coordinator, MCSP

8/23 MCSP-A #15-01950

CDCR 602 INMATE APPEAL 7/11/15: RLUIPA - Denial of 1st & 14th Amendment Rights U.S. Constit
Group Appeal: Goehler, #K77832; Buck, #D-92496; Bush, #J-98680; et al

## Catalog of Supporting Documents

E-1) CDCR22 11/12/15: re Mediation conference req.
E-2) CDCR22 12/4/15: re Approved Chapel Schedule (Rev. 9/1/15) Arbitrary Disparity / request to remedy - reschedule.
E-3) Split Tier Program Schedule
Addend-C) CDCR22 8/16/15: re Sgt Peterson re-schedule Scn Services

C-1) Approved Chapel Schedule - Revised Sept. 1, 2015
A-1) 13 May 2014, Letter of Intent to Rev. Iman (ULC Ministry Letterhead)
A-2) CDCR22 4/30/14: re Interested in Attending Religious Services of Scn. not yet available at MCSP
A-3) CDCR22 5/14/14: re Interested in Attending Scn. Religious Services
A-4) CDCR22 6/22/14: re R+R restriction on Bridge Pub. CD's
A-5) CDCR22 8/13/14: re Religious Review Committee req.
A-6) CDCR22 8/21/14: re per CCR15, §3210 (d) Req. RRC approve Bridge
A-7) CDCR22 11/24/14: re Reserve Chapel Time - Safonov
A-8) CDCR22 12/2/15: re Iman stonewalling 11/24/14 - CPM Approval
A-9) 12/5/14 Goehler memo To RRC re DOM 101060.1 "Policy: The Dept - provide for"
A-10) CDCR22 12/23/15: re Confirm memo / req. Authorization for Religious Locker
A-11) 1/8/15 Goehler alert To: A-Fac Staff - Scn. Grp meets (ULC Ministry Letterhead)
A-12) 2/1/15 Goehler memo To: Chaplains Meeting Re. Scn. Vendor (ULC Ministry Letterhead)
A-13) 3/20/15 Goehler memo To: A. Fac. Admin. Re Chapel Classroom (ULC Ministry Letterhead)
A-14) 4/3/15 MAC Memo re. Chapel Classroom
A-15) CDCR22 4/7/15: re DMS frustrations / req. Chapel Schedule revision to list Scn.
A-16) CDCR22 6/4/15: re Vendor Approval Req. Bridge
A-17) CDCR22 6/18/15: re Chap. Younus absence during program hrs.
A-18) 6/22/15 Memo Ben Walker re: Additional Classroom time
D-1) CDCR22 10/15/15: To R+R re Bridge Vendor / CD's approval req.
D-2) CDCR22 8/16/15: re. Sgt. Peterson restriction - review All Religions alloted time per DMS
D-3) CDCR22 6/18/15: re Chap. Younus absence during program hrs. - To Ass. Warden Davis
D-4) CDCR22 7/6/15: re Conference Table Younus removed
D-5) CDCR22 7/20/15: re Younus req. Scn. sign-in sheets / usurp Chap. Max supervision
D-6) 8/3/15 e-mail To Max
D-7) Ramadan Memo
D-8) 3/20/15 Memo To A-Fac. Admin. Re Chapel Classroom
D-9) 4/3/15 MAC memo re Chapel Classroom
D-10) 5/31/11 Memo To All Custody Staff re Chapel Supervision
D-11) CDCR22 4/7/15: re DMS frustrations / req. Chapel Schedule revision to list Scn.
D-12) 4/6/15 DMS - noting no Scn. Activity List unlock (outside guest appearance)
D-13) Courtesy 1/m consultation re Religious Schedule preference
D-14) Falsification 9/30/13 Chapel Schedule
D-15) LTAC Schedule 9/11/13
D-16) 11/24/14 Chapel Sign-In sheet SCIENTOLOGY
D-16 (A)-(X)) DMS: A- 12/29/14 B- 8/7/15 C- 8/8/15 D- 8/13/15 E- 8/14/15 F- 8/15/15 G- 8/22/15 H- 8/22/15 I- 8/23/15 J- 8/23/
K- 8/24/15 L- 8/25/15 M- 8/26/15 N- 8/27/15 O- 8/29/15 P- 8/31/15 SIGN-IN Q- 8/10/15 SIGN-IN
R- DMS Req. (Addend 8/10/15) S- 8/16/15 DMS T- 8/17/15 U- 8/24/15 V- 9/22/15 W- 10/20/15 X- 10/27/15

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL**
CDCR 602 (REV. 08/09)

9/23

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

**Side 1**

| IAB USE ONLY | Institution/Parole Region: | Log #: | Category: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | MCSP-A | 16-01787 | 7b |
| | FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |

You may appeal any California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) decision, action, condition, policy or regulation that has a material adverse effect upon your welfare and for which there is no other prescribed method of departmental review/remedy available. See California Code of Regulations, Title 15, Section (CCR) 3084.1. You must send this appeal and any supporting documents to the Appeals Coordinator (AC) within 30 calendar days of the event that lead to the filing of this appeal. If additional space is needed, only one CDCR Form 602-A will be accepted. Refer to CCR 3084 for further guidance with the appeal process. No reprisals will be taken for using the appeal process.

**Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.** **WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.**

| Name (Last, First): | CDC Number: | Unit/Cell Number: | Assignment: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOEHLER, WILLIAM | K-77832 | AI-124 | LAND SCAPING |

**State briefly the subject of your appeal (Example: damaged TV, job removal, etc.):** GROUP APPEAL - STAFF COMPLAINT: Systemic & ongoing malfeasance of Religious Dept. Officials.

**A. Explain your issue (If you need more space, use Section A of the CDCR 602-A):** On 5-24-16 Chaplain Younus implied that my co-operation with Scientology's BRIDGE PUBLICATION, sending our Scientology Group study material to CRM Escobar's office, could be considered a breach of security and overfamiliarization which could result in my emergency

**B. Action requested (If you need more space, use Section B of the CDCR 602-A):** In accord with the SAFE STREETS ACT - 42 USC § 3789 d (c)(2000); RLUIPA - 42 USC § 2000 cc-1 (b), 2, 3 (c)(g); 1st & 14th Amend. U.S Const.; CCR 15 § 3084.1 (a)(d); 3086, 3210 (a)(d), 3212 3391 (c); DOM Article 6 and Article 22: Investigate these alegations thoroughly

**Supporting Documents: Refer to CCR 3084.3.**

☑ Yes, I have attached supporting documents. CDCR 1858 Rights & Responsibility

List supporting documents attached (e.g., CDC 1083, Inmate Property Inventory; CDC 128-G, Classification Chrono):

CDCR 22 To: Younus 1-8-16/5-30-16
CDCR 22 To: Escobar 5-28-16
CDCR 22 To: c/o Tillary 5-28-16
CDCR 22 To: Media Center 4-18-16
APPEAL 15-01950 catalog of documentation
DECLARATION(S) "A" through "

☐ No, I have not attached any supporting documents. Reason :

**Inmate/Parolee Signature:** _____ **Date Submitted:** _____

☐ **By placing my initials in this box, I waive my right to receive an interview.**

STAFF USE ONLY

**C. First Level - Staff Use Only** **Staff – Check One: Is CDCR 602-A Attached?** ☐ **Yes** ☐ **No**

This appeal has been:

☐ Bypassed at the First Level of Review. Go to Section E.
☐ Rejected (See attached letter for instruction) Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____
☐ Cancelled (See attached letter) Date: _____
☐ Accepted at the First Level of Review.

Assigned to: _____ Title: _____ Date Assigned: _____ Date Due: _____

First Level Responder: Complete a First Level response. Include Interviewer's name, title, interview date, location, and complete the section below.

Date of Interview: _____ Interview Location: _____

Your appeal issue is: ☐ Granted ☐ Granted in Part ☐ Denied ☐ Other: _____

See attached letter. If dissatisfied with First Level response, complete Section D.

Interviewer: _____ (Print Name) Title: _____ Signature: _____ Date completed: _____

Reviewer: _____ (Print Name) Title: _____ Signature: _____

Date received by AC: _____

**AC Use Only**
**Date mailed/delivered to appellant** ___ / ___ / ___

10/23

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE APPEAL FORM ATTACHMENT**
CDCR 602-A (08/09)

Side 1

| IAB USE ONLY | Institution/Parole Region: | Log #: | Category: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

**Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.** **WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.**

| Name (Last, First): | CDC Number: | Unit/Cell Number: | Assignment: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOEHLER WILLIAM | K-77832 | A1-124 | LANDSCAPING |

**A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue):** transfer. This implied Threat follows the revocation of our Scientology Volunteer Minister gate pass for both Ben Walker and Joe Riley, six months earlier (Nov.-Dec 2015). Between Then and Now Chaplain Younus has implied threats of transfer on two other occasions as a means of (playful?) intimidation discouraging Appellant(s) from continuing CDCR 22 documentation, further exacerbated by negligently leaving the Scientology locker open and material unsecured following what Younus claimed was the fault of a security search, or more recently the malicious destruction of Scientology CD's Chaplain Younus claims is the fault of R&R Staff. As a result of the above, I've determined it prudent to resign myself from any Tuesday Chapel interaction with Chaplain Younus present, and document these most recent incidents on CDCR 22 forms. I have yet to receive any reply on These frustrations are typical standard procedure by the Religious Dept. disregarding actual Policy - as was made clear in the group appeal (MCSP 15-01450) documenting efforts to co-operate from 4-30-14 to 12-4-15, as well as is substantiated by the attached DECLARATIONS of the Prisoner population. CCR 15 § 3210(a)(d), and DOM Article 6 indicates there is law to: "provide for the Religious and Spiritual welfare of all interested inmates", yet by the evidence of the number of CDCR 602 Appeals, and the fact MCSP has abolished the RRC right of redress, as well as by these attached DECLARATIONS, it is apparent that such is not the case here and now.

Inmate/Parolee Signature: W. Goehler Date Submitted: 6.14.16

STAFF USE ONLY

**B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested):** including, but not limited to: Interviewing each and everyone of the group appeal signers, as well as each of the DECLARANTS; Consult the Personnel File of Chaplain Younus and CRM Escobar to establish Aggravating Factors to consider; Determine who initiated - and by what 'Cause' - were Scientology Volunteer Ministers discouraged by revoking their gate pass; Hold to account and resolve the willful and malicious destruction of donated Scientology CD's; Post current Religious Schedule upon chapel window per DOM, and also upon MCSP Institutional Information Channel; Establish and maintain a full-time Chaplain presence on A-Facility Chapel per DOM; Process and deliver Religious Study Material delivered to CRM Escobar, in a timely manner; Remedy any Abuse by Authority by addressing systemic Unprofessional Conduct; Rotate Chaplains post "In-Charge" of each Facility

Inmate/Parolee Signature: W. Goehler Date Submitted: 6-14-16

11/23

DECLARATION OF BUCK AARON D-92496

I Buck Aaron, do hereby declare the following:

1. That I reside on A-Yard at Mule Creek State Prison in in cell 248U.

2. That on several occasions I have had contact with Imam Muhammad, that was aggressive and hostile in nature.

3. O n all of my interviews with Imam Muhammad over my Kosher Inmate Religious Dietary Card were hostile in nature, to him making claims, this was his chaple and no groups were going to be held in his Chapel unless he wanted them there and;

4. that if those groups went against his religious beliefs he would do every thing he could to stop them.

5. If need be I will testify to theses issues in a Court of law.

6. Buck Aaron, declare under penalty of perjury, that that this declaration is true and correct and was executed December of 11, 2015 at Mule Creek State Prison A-Yard.

Respectfully Submitted

[redacted]

Buck [redacted] D-92496

"A"

# Declaration of Baykins, Cross K01846

I, Cross Baykins K01846, do hereby declare the following:

1. That I do reside on fac. A at mule creek state prison A-1/148 low

2. That on several occasions I have had contact with Imam Younus that was both aggressive and disrespectfully hostile in nature.

3. On all my interviews with Imam Younus over my issues deding with my religious card and other religious concerns and during each interview with him he was rude, disrespectful argumentive and hostile that he could speak to me how he wanted cause it is his chapel to operate how he chooses.

4. That all of my CDCR-22 forms were ignored and that any 602's I wrote against him would not be answered or tolerated.

5. If I need I will testify to these issues rather at an 602 review or in court of law.

6. I, Baykins K01846 declare under penalty of perjury that this declaration is true and correct and was executed on 12-23-15 at MCSP A-fac.

"B"

# DECLARATION
~~AFFIDAVIT~~

I Alonzo Joseph K62045/A-3-142, Hereby Decla The following information To Be True and corre As I know it To Be, I swear under The penalt of perjury The information is True.

I am a wiccan Believer, And I Had severa issue's, That needed To Be Address with A-A ility chaplain younus, About obtaining Things for my service, it was very Difficult To Tal To Him, so on 12-1-2015, I sent chaplain youn a g-22 Request, it was never Responded To, in another inmate from my wiccan circle Bro ought me my g-22 Request I sent chaplai younus. it wasn't until I constantly Kept ge ing At chaplain younus, That He finally Starte getting The Things I need for my wiccan ser ice, it shouldn't never Be This Hard To get The Things we Are entitle To for service.

Date; February 17, 2016

Alonzo Joseph

"C"

# DECLARATION

My first iteraction with the Muslim Chaplain here on A yard at Mule Creek was a very frustrating one. I am a Druid and I was speaking to him about our diet and release times. I kept getting the run around from him about the diet as he kept saying it was the kitchen's issue and the kitchen kept saying it was his. After greiving the issue it was finaly settled by the Muslim Chaplain.

Concerning our release times for Druid services, his first response to me was that their was no way anything could be done. He was un-willing to listen to what I had to say. After leaving frustrated and giving it a week I went back and found him in a more receptive mood and we got the times worked out.

The Muslim Chaplain is a fairly difficult man to work with as his first reaction is a closed ear no. It takes persistance and in some cases, paperwork filed, to get him to work with you.

James [redacted] 3/8/16

"D"

On or around January 2015 I began attending a Scientology study group in the A-yard Chapel. At first it intrigued me th it was only a group of inmates. We had a rocky time dealing w/those who issue the time to occupy the area but eventually that evened out when we be getting more support. I learned a lot about the technology of learning & understanding. The technology was very easy to understand how to apply to my & to actually apply.

Eventually there was a ton of push-back from the admini here. We were treated as if we were trying to do something other than we were supposed to. Our allotted time was taken by the Imam, given to others, t head of the yard's religious programs refused to treat us the same as other religio & made it extremely difficult to receive supplies. It was necessary to constantly argue for our materials & dodge the machinations constantly invented, designed to discourage or just plain dissipate us. All throughout this it honestly seemed as had little to zero support from anyone outside. I personally feel its a losi battle for inmates to argue for something that the administration here wants so much for us not to have. I've become past discouraged to angry & I have n attended the group in some months & don't plan to so long as I'm here. Th was a good resource for us that is constantly spoiled by push back & lack of sup by the outside.

I still use lots of the tech I learned. A lot of it I use eve -day.

T-40812 A3 244
B. [redacted]

"E"

Declartion of Nina McQueen #E-93133

Al Nina McQueen do hereby declare the following: That Al reside on A-yard at M.C.S.P in Bld. #2 cell 131 up.

On several occasions Al've had to deal with Alman Younus and his transphobic ways while trying to attent Support Groups in "A" yard Chapel.

He has done everything possible to derail the transgender support groups. example: We are denied our group time if he isn't "in the mood" to run it. He has put restrictions on our group that does not apply to other group. He has been beyond aggressive and hostile in nature.

Alf need be Al will testify to these issues in a Court of law.

Al Nina McQueen, declare under penalty of perjury, that this declaration is true and correct and was executed 12-17-15 at M.C.S.P (A) FAC.

Nina [redacted]

NINA [redacted] "F"

# DECLARATION

I, KEVIN STRACK, B-74931, declare of My own free with This STATEMENT of facts REGARDING My PERSONAL ENCOUNTERS WITH IMAM YOUNUS, who is ACTING Muslim Chaplan AT Mule CREEK STATE PRISON.

I, A JEWISH INMATE, have GIVEN UP ON finding A WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH Chaplan YOUNUS AFTER TRYING TO GET THE JEWISH INMATES PUT ON UNLOCK LIST TO BE CALLED OUT for VISITING RABBIS AND ETO TIME off FROM WORK FOR HOLIDAY EVENTS. AND COULD NOT GET IMAM YOUNUS TO DO THIS. He WOULD ONLY USE SIGN UP LIST FROM JEWISH WEEKLY MEETING, (which only CONTAINED ONE JEWISH INMATES NAME) THAT WAS USED BY Buddhist INMATES. When I COULD NOT WORK WITH IMAM YOUNUS I WROTE TO his SUPERVISOR Chaplain BAPTISTA who AT FIRST AGREED WITH ME, BUT WHEN NEXT UNLOCK LIST CAME OUT IT AGAIN WAS The Buddhist LIST WITH TWO NEW NAMES ADDED TO IT. I INFORMED IMAM YOUNUS THAT I had CONTACTED Chaplain BAPTISTA AND he had AGREED THAT ALL Kosher INMATES SHOULD BE PUT ON UNLOCK LIST. IMAM YOUNUS GOT EXTREMELY UPSET AND SAID he WOULD RUN "A" YARD AS he SAW FIT." I AGAIN WROTE TO Chaplain BAPTISTA AND TOLD him WHAT The IMAM HAD TO SAY.

Chaplain BAPTISTA CALLED THE IMAM AND SET UP A MEETING WITH THE IMAM AND Myself. IN THE MEETING IMAM YOUNUS, WHO KNEW I WAS GOING IN FRONT of The BOARD of PRISON HEARINGS AND ANY 115 or 128 WOULD CAUSE ME A THREE YEAR DENIAL

"G"

ACCUSED ME of TRYING TO MANIPULATE STAFF AND THREATENED TO CALL MY COUNSELOR AND THE LAW OFFICE TO GIVE ME A WRITE-UP. AFTER THAT I LEFT THE MEETING AND HAVE HAD NOTHING MORE TO DO WITH CHAPLAIN YOUNUS.

DURING CHANUKAH INMATES of THE JEWISH FAITH HAD TO MAKE A LIST AND HAVE YARD SERGEANT SIGN IT SO JEWISH INMATES COULD GET OUT TO LIGHT CHANUKAH CANDLES, BECAUSE CHAPLAIN BAPTISTA DIDN'T WANT TO STEP ON ANY TOES (STAFF) SO ONLY USED OLD LIST, WHICH CONTAINED THREE JEWISH INMATES, THE REST BUDDHIST INMATES NAMES.

I WILL TESTIFY TO THE ABOVE IN A COURT of LAW.

I, KEVIN STRACK, DECLARE UNDER PENALTY of PERJURY THAT THIS DECLARATION IS TRUE + CORRECT AND WAS EXECUTED ON DECEMBER 26, 2015 AT MULE CREEK STATE PRISON, IONE.

[redacted]

KEVIN [redacted]

Declaration

I John [redacted] hereby declare the following...

1. I AM an inmate of facility "A" in M.C.S.P Ione, CA.

2. On Numerous occasions I Met with Mohamed Younus the Muslim IMAM and facility chaplin to Attempt to Resolve on going Issues concerning the desecration of the odinic/Wotan sacred land Area, the confiscation and distruction of our religious Artifacts, Alter, books, etc. on the above occasions Younus either claim ignorence to the situation(s) or became hostile to the point at which I ended the Meeting and proceeded with the appeal sys

3. ALL CDCR 22 Forms have been unconstiti

H

20/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE**
CDCR 22 (10/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

## SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

| NAME (Print): (LAST NAME) (FIRST NAME) | | CDC NUMBER: | SIGNATURE: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOEHLER WILLIAM | | K-77832 | W Goehler |
| HOUSING/BED NUMBER: | ASSIGNMENT: | HOURS FROM_____ TO_____ | TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.): |
| A1-124 | PM YARD PREW | | SCIENTOLOGY GROUP |

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

I WAS INFORMED BY IMAM YOUNES ON 5/24/16 THAT YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT A POSSIBLE BREACH OF SECURITY FOR ME TO HAVE AN INVOICE OF BRIDGE PUBLICATIONS PRODUCTS AND FORMER KNOWLEDGE OF RELIGIOUS MATERIAL BEING SENT TO YOUR OFFICE FOR OUR GROUP. MR YOUNES SUGGESTED THAT MY COMMUNICATION WITH JOE RILEY AT BRIDGE PUB MAY BE CONSTRUED AS OVERFAMILIARIZATION AND MAY RESULT IN MY TRANSFER. WHAT IS THE ACTUAL POLICY ON MY COMMUNICATION WITH — AND BRIDGE PUB SHIPMENT OF SCIENTOLOGY STUDY MATERIAL?

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED **

☐ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO:_____ DATE MAILED: ___/___/___

☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE):

| RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF? |
|---|---|---|---|
| [redacted] | 5-25-16 | [redacted] | (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO |
| IF FORWARDED – TO WHOM: | | DATE DELIVERED/MAILED: | METHOD OF DELIVERY: |
| CRM [redacted] | | 5-25-16 | (CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL |

## SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

| RESPONDING STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

| SIGNATURE: | DATE SUBMITTED: |
|---|---|
| | |

## SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

| RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME): | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy.

21/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

# INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE

CDCR 22 (10/09)

## SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

| NAME (Print): (LAST NAME) | (FIRST NAME) | CDC NUMBER: | SIGNATURE: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GUSTLER | WILLIAM | K-77832 | [signature] |
| HOUSING/BED NUMBER: | ASSIGNMENT: | HOURS FROM_____ TO_____ | TOPIC (I.E.,MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.): |
| MESP 1-124 | PM Yard Crew | | Scientology Religion |

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

Okay, you WIN! I no longer feel comfortable in the Chapel following your 3rd (THIRD) THREAT of proposed allegations which will get me Transfered -- and since the 3rd involved my relationship with Bridge Publications shipping material to CRM Escobar for our group -- I'm no longer part of the group nor communicating with Bridge Pub. Also, c/o Tillary processes countless CD's at R&R without engraving them as was done to the donated CD' you said you "watched" Tillary engrave. How do you propose we remedy this willful and malicious destruction of State (Religious) Property? CCR 15 §3086(f)(4)(A)(B)(C)(D)

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED **

☑ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO:_____ DATE MAILED: ___/___/___

☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE):

| RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF? |
|---|---|---|---|
| [redacted] | 5/30/16 | [redacted] | (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO |
| IF FORWARDED – TO WHOM: | | DATE DELIVERED/MAILED: | METHOD OF DELIVERY: |
| [redacted] | | | (CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL |

## SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

| RESPONDING STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

| SIGNATURE: | DATE SUBMITTED: |
|---|---|
| | |

## SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

| RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME): | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy.

22/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
**INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE**
CDCR 22 (10/09)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

## SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

| NAME (Print): (LAST NAME) | (FIRST NAME) | CDC NUMBER: | SIGNATURE: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOSHLER | WILLIAM | K77832 | W Goshler |
| HOUSING/BED NUMBER: | ASSIGNMENT: | HOURS FROM_____ TO_____ | TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.): |
| A1-124 | PM YARD CREW | | R+R C/O TILLAPY re CD's |

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

On 5-24-16 Inmate Young delivered 2 Scientology CD Lectures donated to A-Ex. Chapel by Jim Bush on 5-24-16. Our first donation after resolving this same issue with R+R preventing Scientology CD's on 6-22-14 ultimately initiating Appeal # 5-01950. Mr. Young informed me he watched you engrave "A-CHAPEL" upon the CD itself, thus willfully and maliciously destroying State (Religious) Property.
Please let me know how we can remedy this. THANK YOU

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED **

☐ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO:_____ DATE MAILED: ___/___/___

☐ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE):

| RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF? |
|---|---|---|---|
| [redacted] | 5-25-16 | [redacted] | (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO |
| IF FORWARDED – TO WHOM: | | DATE DELIVERED/MAILED: | METHOD OF DELIVERY: |
| C/O [redacted] | | [redacted] | (CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL |

## SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

| RESPONDING STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

| SIGNATURE: | DATE SUBMITTED: |
|---|---|
| | |

## SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

| RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME): | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy.

23/23

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

# INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW, ITEM OR SERVICE

CDCR 22 (10/09)

## SECTION A: INMATE/PAROLEE REQUEST

| NAME (Print): (LAST NAME) | (FIRST NAME) | CDC NUMBER: | SIGNATURE: |
|---|---|---|---|
| GOSHER | WILLIAM | K77832 | [illegible] |
| HOUSING/BED NUMBER: | ASSIGNMENT: | HOURS FROM_____ TO_____ | TOPIC (I.E. MAIL, CONDITION OF CONFINEMENT/PAROLE, ETC.): |
| MCSP A1-124 | | | Scientology Services |

CLEARLY STATE THE SERVICE OR ITEM REQUESTED OR REASON FOR INTERVIEW:

PER CONVERSATIONS WITH CHAPLAIN FRIEDMAN AND CHAPLAIN SAFDIAN IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THE SCIENTOLOGY GROUP MAY MAINTAIN THE 1430-1530 CLASSROOM WHILE ALSO SCHEDULING CLASS TIME ON THE DMS FOR MON. 1230-1430 AND RETURNING PRIMARY SERVICE AND SUPERVISION OVER TO CHAPLAIN SAFDIAN.

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE IF THIS IS CORRECT AND INFORM ME HOW I MAY MAKE THIS POSTED UPON DMS AND THE APPROVED CHAPEL SCHEDULE. THANK YOU.

METHOD OF DELIVERY (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) **NO RECEIPT WILL BE PROVIDED IF REQUEST IS MAILED **

☐ SENT THROUGH MAIL: ADDRESSED TO:_____ DATE MAILED: ___/___/___

☑ DELIVERED TO STAFF (STAFF TO COMPLETE BOX BELOW AND GIVE GOLDENROD COPY TO INMATE/PAROLEE): 1-8-10 [redacted]

| RECEIVED BY: PRINT STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | FORWARDED TO ANOTHER STAFF? (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

| IF FORWARDED – TO WHOM: | DATE DELIVERED/MAILED: | METHOD OF DELIVERY: (CIRCLE ONE) IN PERSON BY US MAIL |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

## SECTION B: STAFF RESPONSE

| RESPONDING STAFF NAME: | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## SECTION C: REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW

PROVIDE REASON WHY YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF RESPONSE AND FORWARD TO RESPONDENT'S SUPERVISOR IN PERSON OR BY US MAIL. KEEP FINAL CANARY COPY.

| SIGNATURE: | DATE SUBMITTED: |
|---|---|
| | |

## SECTION D: SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW

| RECEIVED BY SUPERVISOR (NAME): | DATE: | SIGNATURE: | DATE RETURNED: |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

Distribution: Original - Return to Inmate/Parolee; Canary - Inmate/Parolee's 2nd Copy; Pink - Staff Members Copy; Goldenrod - Inmate/Parolee's 1st Copy.