

Reply: mdcd

Success!

Pg. 1 of 3

**Memorandum**

Date : February 5, 2018

To : GOEHLER, WILLIAM R. K77832  
Mule Creek State Prison

Subject: **SECOND LEVEL APPEAL RESPONSE**  
**LOG NO.: MCSP-A-17-04046**

ISSUE: The appellant is submitting this appeal relative to placing documents into his Electronic Records Management System (ERMS) Central File. Appellant contends the documents show "rehabilitation service and official effort of Scientology to acknowledge appellants effort of service."

INTERVIEWED BY: The appellant was interviewed by [REDACTED] Correctional Case Records Manager at the Second Level Review on January 23, 2018.

REGULATIONS: The rules governing this issue are:

CCR 3001            Subject to Regulations  
CCR 3084.1        Right to Appeal  
Initial/Subsequent/Rescission Hearings  
Penal Code 3042 (f)

A review of your commitment offense reveals that you are convicted from Yuba County, Case number C10210 for a total indeterminate term of 25 years to Life. You have a consecutive term from Sutter County, Case number CRF992420 for a total indeterminate term of 27 years to Life. Total Term imposed 52 years to Life sentenced pursuant to Penal Code 667 (b)-(i).

On May 24, 2016 you were identified as being entitled to a Board of Parole Hearing, Consultation. The hearing was to be scheduled to a date "No Later Than" (NLT) February 15, 2018. During the period of time between May 24, 2016 and February 15, 2018, documents relevant to your case, such as support letters, opposition letters, and documents that provide testimonials will be received in Records and placed in your file.

It is noted at the First Level Review that two of the documents appellant requested be placed in the file, had already been scanned in the file, however the request to have the remaining documents (testimonials) placed in your file was denied.

Pursuant to Initials/Subsequent/Rescission Hearing procedures, Documents in Support of Release: *Any supporting documents that are not classified as "Confidential" by the Classification and Parole Representative will be scanned into ERMS under BPH>Parole Proceedings>Letters of Support (testimonials).*

Based on the date of the testimonials it is determined that they should have been placed in your file prior to your Consultation hearing. Pursuant to Penal Code 3042(f), *nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting the type or content of information the judge or any other person may forward to the board for consideration under any other law*

A review of Disability and Effective Communication (DEC) system reveals the appellant is not an active participant in the Mental Health Services Delivery System (MHSDS), noting General Population (GP) with a documented Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) score of 12.9

Review at the Second Level (SLR) of the appeal and the attached supporting documentation finds the appellant has satisfactorily supported his appeal issue.

DECISION: The appeal is granted.

The appellant is advised this issue may be submitted to the Third Level of Review if desired.

  
JOE A. LIZARRAGA  
Warden  
Mule Creek State Prison

Attachments

cc: Central File; Appeal File

Erne 2017 SPO-T

Rec'd your March 13<sup>th</sup> on 3/27. Sorry for worrying you. Of course I am 'OK' — no matter what! Every day is an ordeal for me though,

ordeal [judgement/division] 1: ... dangerous or painful tests believed to be under supernatural control. 2: a severe trial or experience.

but where would I be without them? Every once in awhile it takes a bull in the china-shop to steer things up, you know — just to see what we're made of. Stupid bulls! 😊 — I gotta love 'em though, just the same.

As you see by the Feb. 5 Memo, it took some work for me to get due credit for cultivating goodness in my zone of influence. This route of affecting change in the course of Track Records is much more rewarding than my earlier inverted flag crusades, I must say. I doubt it will bear much influence upon the parole board demigods in 2022, but I never had that in mind during this ennobling enterprise anyway.

I expect it may rather be worthy of consideration for a Pardon by our future Governor — especially when VM<sup>2</sup> actually take charge of delivering rehabilitation services per my original postulate. (Could someone please look into Gov. Brown's recent Pardon's and send me the specifics involved).

In re TV in the chapel is only equipped for DVD use. The chaplains do have computers in their offices though, so maybe if there was a pro-comm-line relationship between COS-VM<sup>2</sup> and MCSP chaplains <sup>1/c</sup>, such a viewing of the new Scientology TV on Direct-TV network(?) could be orchestrated — as a professional courtesy.

Regarding me calling again, I'll try again soon. But the phone system here requires a pre-paid account on your phone line, you may check with at [www.gtl](http://www.gtl) (Global Tel Ling).

Oh, and I agree — it is always very pleasing when Yashua reaches in to me.

Blessings to you all !! 😊