

" I HOPE SOMEONE

GETS

MY MESSAGE ... "

IN

THE UNIVERSE !!!

THE WHITE JUSTICE

BLACK HIGH-TECH-LYNCHING VICTIM

A PRAYER FOR JUSTICE!!!

POST-CONVICTION:

I still pray for JUST. MERCY from the  
Appellate Court.

^ LOOKING GOOD!!!

It shall CONCUR what with giving  
The Political DA the forbidden Second Kick  
at The BLACK CAT; with Four Additional  
Accusers Testifying — The blatantly (sic)  
[BLATANTLY !!!] prejudiced White Judge  
Transformed Himself into the case  
pro-Active Prosecutor's !!!

Amen!

09-26-2018



WHITE JUSTICE

BLACK HIGH-TECH-LYNCHING VICTIM

CBS.COM/THE TALK

09-24-2018 MON. 1:PM.CDT

HOT TOPIC:

DR. BILL COSBY'S SET CRIMINAL SENTENCING  
09-26-2018 TUES.

The malicious three White Women co-host,  
one by one vehemently wanted this one  
time convicted Elder Black man (82-yrs  
old) to be condemned to Death!

✓ HERE COME THA JUDGE "!!!

The White Judge concurred in sentencing  
Dr. Cosby to 3 to 10 (AT Age 82!!) years!  
Indeed a HIGH-TECH LYNCHING!!

I pray you all are ready for the "O.J."  
type adverse reaction to his purported  
unjust death!!!

AS A SIDE BAR: The U.S. Supreme  
Court wisely BANNED The Death Penalty  
for RAPE!! #FREETHENNOCENT!!

09-26-2018



WHITE JUSTICE

BLACK HIGH-TECH-LYNCHING VICTIM

MS. GLORIA ALLRED

MIS-LEADER

RACIST LYNCH MOB

GET READY FOR THE AFTER-VERDICT  
CIRCA FEB. 2019 "COME DOWN" WHEN THE  
OTHER FOLKS, WHOM DISSENT [ESP. 2016  
TRUMPED!!!] TEARS OF EMPATHY FOR  
THIS OLD Feeble 82 years old - gentle-  
men: DR. BILL COSBY - FALL LIKE  
THE BIBLICAL 40-DAYS "Hard Rain"!!!

SIGN OF THE TIMES!!

Wendyshow.com-09-26-2016, The  
Wendy Bag, 83 yrs. old mom gave her  
HELL! AMEN!

ABC.COM/THEVIEW 09-26-2018,  
SUNNY HOSTIN'S Social group; some of  
them is ALREADY feeling That "Hard Rain"  
FALL!!!

AMEN

0926-2018



# Heather Ann Thompson Thinks the Justice System Is Unfair

Interview by Ana Marie Cox

**You just won a Pulitzer Prize for your history of the 1971 Attica prison uprising — and the cover-up of the atrocities that happened when the state took the prison back. How did you first become interested in civil rights issues?** I grew up in Detroit in the '70s, and everything was happening at once: The drug war was in full swing, the city of Detroit had been under black leadership for a decade, the recession had taken an incredible toll. I was growing up in the epicenter of America, a white girl in a mostly black city trying to understand all of that politically. **I have to ask: Are you from Detroit?** Yes.

**You point out not only that the war on crime was a bipartisan effort — it started with L.B.J. but grew under Nixon — but also that it wasn't really in response to a crime uptick, as many Americans thought at the time. It was pure rhetoric. It was a policy choice, not a crime imperative. Attica helps us understand the emotional fury of the punitive spirit that takes over the country in the '80s and '90s. The civil rights movement comes North, and all of a sudden, Johnson starts to sound like Bull Connor, right? It was legitimate when it was the South, but now it's just a bunch of disorder carried out by thugs. I got déjà vu reading the book because some of the language sounded so familiar to what we hear today. That rhetoric is so powerful. And the politics behind it matter. It isn't just self-serving rhetoric: It's about rhetoric that lulls voters into feeling that they're doing something to better the nation when in fact nothing is being done to address the real social**



**Age:** 53  
**Occupation:** Author and historian  
**Hometown:** Detroit

Thompson is the author of "Blood in the Water: The Attica Prison Uprising of 1971 and Its Legacy." She is the recipient of the 2017 Pulitzer Prize in History.

**Her Top 5 Uprisings Of the 20th Century**  
1. Wounded Knee, 1973  
2. Attica, 1971  
3. Detroit, 1967  
4. Watts, 1965  
5. Philadelphia, 1964

problem. What narrative do you give people to make sense of what had happened in the world around them? I was so struck by how the Attica protesters were trying to call attention to terrible prison conditions, but the spin made it seem as if they were the problem.

**What kind of spin?** When you tell the nation that hippies are violent, the anti-war protesters are violent, prisoners are violent, civil rights is really about thuggery instead of genuine rights, then, all of a sudden, you look at Kent State, you look at the Chicago convention of '68, you look at Attica and you completely miss the fact that all the violence was state violence.

**How have people responded to the book?** I thought, if anything, the cover-up would be what got attention. You know what everybody focuses on? The conditions. And yet things are much worse today than they were in 1971. We have people serving decades in solitary confinement. We have more life sentences than we've ever had. We have more children in solitary confinement. And the overcrowding is worse than it was during Attica.

**If there are some truths that you could make clear to Americans about civil or criminal justice reform, what would they be?** There is very little relationship between who is locked up and the concept of justice. Americans don't understand that people in prison are often there because of where the policing was. What's more: White people need to start telling the truth about the way this justice system works. Because every white person — particularly middle- and upper-class white people — knows that they are not as worried about their children becoming trapped in the system unjustly. **Was there anything that surprised you during your reporting?** Sometimes I hear from people who have served time who say that prison was a place where they could finally get help, and that has been hard for me to process. I realized that one reason that's the case with a lot of people is because it's an institution and, for some people, they actually have health care for the first time, or housing for the first time. That's what's so powerfully sad about this whole story: It isn't that we don't know how to help people, but that we continue to do it through a prison, as opposed to other institutions. It could be so much better. ♦



WHITE JUSTICE  
BLACK HIGH-TECH LYNCHING VICTIMS:

HELL!!!

Since the "BIRTH OF THE NATION"  
ALL BLACK BOYS and MEN have BEEN  
MISLABELED:

"VIOLENT SEXUAL PREDATORS"!!!

MR. EMMETT TILL

MR. MICHAEL JACKSON

MR. NATE PARKER

NOW ADDED  
09-25-2018 DR. WILLIAM "BILL" COSBY, Ph.D

Ad Nauseam!!

#STRANGEFRUIT/AD Nauseam!!!

09-26-2018



WHITE JUSTICE

BLACK HIGH-TECH LYNCHED VICTIM

SUPER-MODEL BEVERLY JOHNSON

ON NBC.COM/EVENING NEWS TUES. 09-25-2018 5:30 P.M. CDT.

MS. Beverly Johnson with a Calm Countenance exclaimed that Dr. Bill Cosby CONVICTION and Sentencing of 3 to 10 years, with \$25,000, and Classified a Violent Sexual Predator !!! is a Step Forward", # MeToo"

Still! I pray she'll allow MS. Oprah to Take her down to The MONTGOMERY, CALIF. MEMORIAL MUSEUM TO BLACK LYNCHING VICTIMS, CBS.COM NEWS! 60 MINUTES 8-12-2018 6:10 P.M. CDT.

#SHE HAS BEEN (O.J.) WHITE TOO LONG!!

XOXO

#STRANGEFruit/LADYDAY



WHITE JUSTICE  
BLACH HIGH-TECH LYNCHING VICTIMS?

NOTICE: Know that it was only after  
DR. BILL COSBY, Ph.D. commenced to SPEAK-  
OUT-TRUTH ON RACE MATTERS:

HE WAS ADDED TO THE HIGH-TECH-  
LYNCHED:

DR. MLK, JR.

MINISTER MALCOLM X

EMMENT TILL

JOHN BROWN

NOW

09-25-2018 DR. BILL COSBY, Ph.D.,

Ad Nauseam!!!

09-26-2018



WHITE JUSTICE

BLACK HIGH-TECH LYNCHED VICTIM

MS. Andrea Constand

You Brought Down Bill

COSBY — Anchor Kate Snow...

NBC.COM/EVENING NEWS.

09-25-2018 TUES. 5:30 PM CDT!

BS !!!!!!

She and her Rabid LYNCH Mob —  
STRUNG-UP another INNOCENT  
Black Man!!! "STRANGE FRUIT"!!

BS !!!!!!

She kept his "Dirty cash"!!

BS !!!!!!

She is Advancing The Gay  
MOVEMENT!!

09-26-2018



The Washington Post

WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 2012



PHOTOS BY ALEXANDRA GARCIA/THE WASHINGTON POST

Kirk Odom, shown with wife Harriet in March, is set to have his conviction overturned because of erroneous forensics testimony at his trial.

## Prosecutors: D.C. man is innocent

Kirk Odom, convicted of 1981 rape, served 20 years in prison

BY SPENCER S. HSU

Federal prosecutors agreed Tuesday that a Washington man imprisoned for 20 years for rape is innocent and they acknowledged scientific errors in his case after DNA evidence proved that another man committed the crime.

Kirk L. Odom will become the second District man in two months and the third in three years to have his conviction for rape or murder overturned because of erroneous hair matches claimed in court by FBI forensic experts.

Odom's case was featured in a series of articles in April in which The Washington Post reported that Justice Department officials have known for years that flawed forensic work might have led to the convictions of potentially innocent people.

Odom, 49, served his sentence and was released from prison in 2003. He was convicted of raping, sodomizing and robbing a 27-year-old woman before dawn in her Capitol Hill apartment in 1981. However, court-ordered DNA testing revealed in January that the hair fragment in his case

could not have come from Odom.

Further DNA testing of stains on a pillowcase and robe indicated that only another man, not Odom, could have committed the crime.

"More than 30 years after Mr. Odom's conviction, DNA testing reveals that he suffered a terrible injustice," U.S. Attorney Ronald C. Machen Jr. wrote in a two-page filing in D.C. Superior Court.

"The United States expresses its profound regret for the harm suffered by Mr. Odom, and requests that this Court immediately vacate Mr. Odom's convictions and dismiss the indictments against him with prejudice," Machen wrote.

Odom, who was identified in court as the attacker by the victim, was thrilled at the news.

"Oh my goodness, the storm is over, yes yes!" Odom said from the office of his attorney, Sandra K. Levick, chief of special litigation for the District Public Defender Service.

"There's no more dark clouds, and the sun is beginning to shine very bright," said Odom, who lives in Southeast Washington with his wife, Harriet, a medical counselor.

Asked if he would say anything to police or prosecutors, or to the victim, Odom responded, "There's nothing much to say except, 'God bless you.'"

The Post generally does not



"The sun is beginning to shine very bright," Kirk Odom said.

name victims of sexual assaults without their permission.

The man whose DNA matched the stains is a convicted sex offender. He will not be charged, because the statute of limitations has expired on the crime, Machen said.

In a written statement, Machen endorsed eliminating the statute of limitations on sex crimes.

"Though we can never give him back the years that he lost, we can give Mr. Odom back his unfairly tarnished reputation," Machen wrote. "Three decades ago, law enforcement got it wrong: Mr. Odom did not commit this crime. ... It is never too late to secure justice — even if that means correcting a grave injustice from decades earlier."

Odom would become the 293rd person cleared by post-conviction DNA testing in the United States, after the judge rules on what is now a joint

motion between the prosecution and defense.

Odom would be released from lifelong parole and no longer would have to register as a sex offender. He also would be allowed to seek financial compensation for damages sustained during his 20-year incarceration. Prosecutors also said they would agree to seal his arrest and conviction record.

In May, a Superior Court judge dismissed the murder conviction against Santae A. Tribble, 51, after DNA tests disproved testimony at his trial from an FBI hair expert linking him to the 1978 killing of a District taxi driver. In December 2009, Donald E. Gates was exonerated of a 1981 rape and murder in Rock Creek Park — again after DNA tests ruled out a hair match claimed by the FBI.

"We salute Mr. Odom for having the courage and fortitude to withstand more than 31 years convicted of terrible crimes for which he was absolutely innocent," Levick said. "We salute the United States Attorney's Office for joining us today to remedy this tragic injustice. And we salute the Department of Justice and the FBI for agreeing to a review of all cases involving hair evidence of the kind used to convict Mr. Odom, Mr. Tribble and Mr. Gates."

hsus@washpost.com



# Flawed forensics spur case reviews

The Washington Post

Justice Dept., FBI to reexamine thousands of criminal convictions

WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 2012

BY SPENCER S. HSU

The Justice Department and FBI have launched a review of thousands of criminal cases to determine whether any defendants were wrongly convicted or deserve a new trial because of flawed forensic evidence, officials said Tuesday.

The undertaking is the largest post-conviction review ever done by the FBI. It will include cases conducted by all FBI Laboratory hair and fiber examiners since at least 1985 and may reach earlier if records are available, people familiar with the process said. Such FBI examinations have taken place in federal and local cases across the country, often in violent crimes, such as rape, murder and robbery.

The review comes after The Washington Post reported in April that Justice Department officials had known for years that flawed forensic work might have led to the convictions of potentially innocent people but had not performed a thorough review of the cases. In addition, prosecutors failed to notify defendants or their attorneys even in many cases they knew were troubled.

On Tuesday, the Justice Department announced that it would conduct the more expansive review.

"The Department and the FBI are in the process of identifying historical cases for review where a microscopic hair examination conducted by the FBI was among the evidence in a case that resulted in a conviction," spokeswoman Nanda Chitre said in a statement. "We have dedicated considerable time and resources to addressing these issues, with the goal of reaching final determinations in the coming months."

# Post-conviction review is largest ever done by FBI

FBI spokeswoman Ann Todd deferred comment to the Justice Department.

In its April report, The Post identified two District men convicted largely on the testimony of FBI hair analysts who wrongly placed them at crime scenes. Santae A. Tribble, now 51, was convicted of killing a taxi driver in 1978, and Kirk L. Odom, now 49, was convicted of a sexual assault in 1981. Since The Post report, Tribble's conviction was vacated, and on Tuesday, prosecutors moved to overturn Odom's conviction and declare him innocent. Their cases had never been previously reviewed by the Justice Department.

Chitre said the new review would include help from the Innocence Project, a New York-based advocacy group for people seeking exoneration through DNA testing. It also would include the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers.

Steven D. Benjamin, a Richmond lawyer who is incoming president of the association, called the review "an important collaboration" and a departure from one-sided government reviews that left defendants in the dark.

"Mistakes were made. What is important now is our working together to correct those mistakes," Benjamin said, adding that his organization would "fully assist in finding and notifying all those who may have been affected."

The review also comes as the National Academy of Sciences is urging the White House and Congress to remove crime labs from police and prosecutors' control, or at least to strengthen the science and standards underpinning the nation's forensic science system.

The last time the FBI abandoned a forensic practice was in 2005, when it ended efforts to trace bullets to a specific manufacturer's batch through analyzing their chemical composition after its methodology was scientifically debunked. The bureau released files in an estimated

2,500 bullet-lead cases only after "60 Minutes" and The Post reported the problem in 2007.

Michael R. Bromwich, a former Justice Department official



ALEXANDRA GARCIA/THE WASHINGTON POST

Santae A. Tribble's murder conviction was vacated this year.

who investigated the FBI Laboratory in the mid-1990s as inspector general and, more recently, the city of Houston's crime lab, said the review is important as the nation's crime labs come under scrutiny.

"These recent developments remind us of the profound questions about the validity of many forensic techniques that have been used over the course of many decades and underscore the need for continuing attention at every level to ensuring the scientific validity and accuracy of the forensic science that is used every day in our criminal justice system," Bromwich said.

The Post reported in April that hair and fiber analysis was subjective and lacked grounding in solid research and that the FBI lab lacked protocols to ensure that agent testimony was scientifically accurate. But bureau managers kept their reviews limited to one agent, even as they learned that many examiners' "matches" were often wrong and that numerous examiners overstated the significance of matches, using bogus statistics or exaggerated claims.

Details of how the new FBI review will be conducted remain unclear. The exact number of cases that will be reviewed is unknown. The FBI is starting with all reports made by hair and fiber examiners, which is expected to far exceed 10,000 case reports. From those, the focus

will be on a smaller number of hair examinations that resulted in positive findings and a conviction.

It also is unclear whether the review will focus only on exaggerated testimony by FBI examiners or also on scientifically unfounded statements made by others trained by the FBI, or made by prosecutors. Also unclear is at what point government officials will notify defense attorneys or the Innocence Project.

In past reviews, the department kept results secret and gave findings only to prosecutors, who then determined whether to turn them over to the defense.

## 4 people cited for protest in Capitol

Madison — Police cited four people Thursday for holding signs in the state Capitol without having permits.

The citations were the latest example of the Capitol Police cracking down on protesters. All four were cited for unlawfully displaying signs and one of the four was also cited for resisting an officer, according to Capitol Police.

## What about wolf's suffering?

Out-of-state wildlife biologist Jim Beers worries about the "horrible, cruel and painful deaths" suffered by dogs from wolf encounters (Your Views, Aug. 20).

It is the hunter who puts his dogs into jeopardy. What about the pain and suffering of a trapped wolf trying to escape by gnawing its leg off? Wolves are God's creatures, too. A trap for an animal is like an improvised explosive device to a soldier in Afghanistan.

Jan H. Christensen  
Brown Deer





# BLACK HIGH-TECH LYNCHING VICTIM!

DR. BILL COSBY

WENT TO THE PEN! AKA JAILHOUSE!

DONALD J. TRUMPED

WENT TO THE WHITE HOUSE

BRETT KAVANAUGH

IS SET TO GO ONTO

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT.

see below p.p 2-4



## Kavanaugh's accuser says she would testify under right terms

WASHINGTON (AP) — Christine Blasey Ford may testify against Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh after all, her attorney said, breathing new life into the prospect of a dramatic Senate showdown next week over Ford's accusation that he assaulted her when they were in high school. The preference would be for Ford to testify next Thursday, and she doesn't want Kavanaugh in the same room, her attorney told Judiciary Committee staff in a 30-minute call that also touched on security concerns and other issues, according to a Senate aide who wasn't authorized to discuss the matter and spoke on the condition of anonymity. Ford is willing to tell her story to the Judiciary Committee, whose senators will vote on Kavanaugh's confirmation — but only if agreement can be reached on "terms that are fair and which ensure her safety," the attorney said in an email earlier Thursday. In the call, she said Ford needs time to secure her family, prepare her testimony and travel to Washington. No decisions were reached, the aide said.

The discussion revived the possibility that the panel would hold an electrifying campaign season hearing at which both Ford and President Donald Trump's Supreme Court nominee could give their versions of what did or didn't happen at a party in the 1980s. Kavanaugh, now a judge on the powerful U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, has repeatedly denied her allegation.

The accusation has jarred the 53-year-old conservative jurist's prospects for winning confirmation, which until Ford's emergence last week had seemed all but certain. It has also bloomed into a broader clash over whether women alleging abuse are taken seriously by men and how both political parties address such claims with the advent of the #MeToo movement — a theme that could echo in this November's elections for control of Congress.

Judiciary Chairman Chuck Grassley, R-Iowa, has scheduled a hearing for Monday morning, and he and Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, R-Ky., have indicated it would be Ford's only chance to make her case. Republicans are anxious to move ahead to a vote by the committee, where they hold an 11-10 majority, and then by the full Senate, which they control, 51-49. Taylor Foy, spokesman for Republicans on the panel, said after the call that Grassley "will consult with his colleagues on the committee. He remains committed to providing a fair forum for both Dr. Ford and Judge Kavanaugh."

Attorney Debra Katz said anew that Ford, 51, a psychology professor in California, has received death threats and for safety reasons has relocated her family.

"She wishes to testify, provided that we can agree on terms that are fair and which ensure her safety," Katz wrote in the email, which was obtained by The Associated Press after first being reported by The New York Times. In the call later Thursday, Katz asked the committee to subpoena Mark Judge, whom Ford has named as the other teen in the room at the time. Judge has told the committee he does not recall the incident and does not want to speak publicly.

Should Ford testify, especially in public, it would pit the words of two distinguished professionals against each other as television close-ups capture every emotion. Assessing them would be not just the committee's 21 senators — only four of whom are women, all Democrats — but also millions of viewing voters.

Underscoring the sensitivity of all-male GOP senators grilling a woman who's alleged abuse, Republicans are considering reaching out to female attorneys who might question Ford, according to a person familiar with the situation but who wasn't authorized to discuss it publicly and spoke on condition of anonymity.

If Ford opts not to participate, Republicans could well dispense with the hearing to avoid giving Democrats a forum for peppering Kavanaugh with embarrassing questions.

They would argue that they'd offered Ford several options for describing her accusation, but that she'd snubbed them.

Kavanaugh, who's been eager to testify, said he was ready to appear Monday.

"I will be there," he wrote Grassley in a letter. "I continue to want a hearing as soon as possible, so that I can clear my name." Kavanaugh was spotted at the White House on Thursday.

Trump, who has been careful in recent days not to criticize Ford as he defends his nominee, told Fox News host Sean Hannity Thursday that he feels the nomination has been delayed long enough.

"I think it's a very sad situation," he said, asking, "Why didn't somebody call the FBI 36 years ago?... What's going on?" He said Kavanaugh's accuser should "have her say and let's see how it all works out, but I don't think you can delay it any longer. They've delayed it a week already."

At a Las Vegas rally not long after, Trump praised Kavanaugh as "one of the finest human beings you will ever have the privilege of knowing or meeting" and called his reputation "impeccable."

Ford has contended that at a house party in Washington's Maryland suburbs, a drunken Kavanaugh tried undressing her and stifling her cries on a bed before she fled.

Grassley has said that in the interest of making Ford comfortable, he'd be willing to let Ford testify in public or private. He even offered to send committee aides to her California home to take testimony.

As the week has proceeded, Republicans have seemed to regain momentum toward approving Kavanaugh though his prospects have remained uncertain.

Even moderate Sen. Susan Collins, R-Maine, said it would be "unfair" to Kavanaugh if Ford decides to not appear, and others were urging leaders to proceed quickly to a vote. Still, the bare 51-49 Republican majority means they can lose just one vote and still approve him if all Democrats vote no. Vice President Mike Pence would break a tie. Democrats have tried using the issue to demonstrate that Republicans treat women unfairly, their eyes on upcoming elections in which suburban, anti-Trump female voters could be pivotal in many races. Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand, D-N.Y., a possible 2020 presidential candidate, said Republicans were "bullying" Ford by giving her a Monday deadline to testify.

Ford went public with her accusation over the weekend in a Washington Post interview and said Monday through her lawyer that she was ready to testify. But Tuesday, she began insisting on an FBI investigation of her allegations and said other witnesses should also participate in a hearing. Those conditions had cast strong doubts on her willingness to appear, and it became unclear whether Republicans would even hold the hearing.

The email said Ford's "strong preference" remains that the committee allow a thorough investigation of her claim before she appears. But that wording fell short of a nonnegotiable demand.

Republicans have resisted all Democratic efforts to slow and perhaps block Kavanaugh's confirmation. A substantial delay could push confirmation past the November elections, when Democrats have a shot at winning Senate control, plus allow more time for unforeseen problems to crop up.

## For Kavanaugh, path forward could be like Clarence Thomas'

WASHINGTON (AP) — When Clarence Thomas arrived at the Supreme Court in 1991 after a bruising confirmation hearing in which his former employee Anita Hill accused him of sexual harassment, fellow justice Byron White said something that stuck with him.

"It doesn't matter how you got here. All that matters now is what you do here," Thomas recounted in his 2007 memoir, "My Grandfather's Son."

That view could be tested again if lawmakers confirm Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh, who's facing allegations by California college professor Christine Blasey Ford that he sexually assaulted her when they were in high school. Kavanaugh, who like Thomas has denied the allegation against him, is scheduled to appear before lawmakers at a hearing Monday, with the outcome of his nomination uncertain.

2054



If Kavanaugh does become a justice, court watchers will be looking to see whether his smooth-turned-tumultuous confirmation affects him on the bench and whether having two justices who faced allegations about their treatment of women alters the public's perception of the court, particularly on future rulings about abortion and gender discrimination.

Thomas' high-profile public showdown with Hill came to define his confirmation process, which he has called a "nightmare" and which Hill has called a "bane which I have worked hard to transform into a blessing." Those who have studied or know Thomas say his confirmation didn't change the kind of justice he has become. He is now 70 and the most conservative member of the court. But some observers suggest that — rightly or wrongly — it affected his public and private reception, particularly in his early years as a justice.

Ralph A. Rossum, professor of government at Claremont McKenna College and the author of a 2013 book about Thomas' legal philosophy, said Thomas' "long and withering" confirmation didn't make him any less willing to write unpopular opinions or interpret the Constitution as he sees it. "What it didn't do is influence him on the bench," said Rossum, who pointed to a dissent Thomas wrote shortly after joining the court, a case where only he and Justice Antonin Scalia would have ruled against an inmate beaten in prison.

But Rossum said Thomas' confirmation experience did seemingly make him "more gun-shy to be in public."

"I think he was always a private man, and it made him even more of a private man," Rossum said.

Law schools, generally only too happy to have justices speak at their events, also seemed to shun Thomas initially, Rossum said. It took a year and a half after he joined the court for him to give his first public speech.

Since then, he has sometimes drawn protests when he makes appearances, but those are largely prompted by his conservative judicial philosophy. Hill's allegations do resurface periodically, such as in 2010, when Thomas' wife put them back in the news by leaving a telephone message for Hill suggesting she consider apologizing. Or, in the last year, as stories about the #MeToo movement have referenced Thomas.

Former Missouri Sen. John Danforth, a longtime friend of Thomas' who guided his confirmation, wrote in a 1994 book about the confirmation process that Thomas "has thought of the charge of sexual harassment as 'a stain that won't come off.'"

But Thomas wasn't changed by "the ordeal" he went through, Danforth said in a telephone interview.

"He's just the same, and he's really a happy person, too," he said.

Danforth said Thomas "rose from the dead" after being confirmed.

At a swearing-in ceremony at the White House ahead of joining the court, Thomas talked about moving on.

"Today, now, it is a time to move forward, a time to look for what is good in others, what is good in our country," he said.

But not everyone believes that Thomas was able to move on so quickly. The hearings made him a recognizable face where before, as a judge, he enjoyed walking anonymously to lunch with his clerks, legal analyst Jeffrey Toobin wrote in his book "The Nine."

After his confirmation, Thomas stopped driving his black Corvette to work because the car was too recognizable, Toobin has written. And for years, Thomas purportedly kept in his desk a list of the roll-call vote on his confirmation. As for Thomas' colleagues, they were cordial but not overly welcoming, Toobin wrote.

Thomas, for his part, has denied that his reception was anything less than friendly, writing that all his colleagues treated him with the "utmost kindness and consideration."

But the confirmation process tainted how he felt about becoming a justice at the time.

Thomas declined an invitation from the White House to watch as senators voted on his confirmation, and he was taking a hot bath when his wife told him he'd been confirmed 52-48.

His response, according to his memoir: "Whoop-dee damn-doo."

#### **Ex-Trump lawyer Cohen boasts of aiding Mueller investigation**

WASHINGTON (AP) — President Donald Trump's former personal lawyer says he is providing "critical information" as part of special counsel Robert Mueller's investigation into Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. election and possible coordination between Russia and the Trump campaign. Michael Cohen, who pleaded guilty to campaign finance and other charges last month, said Thursday he is providing the information to prosecutors without a cooperation agreement.

Trump's longtime fixer-turned-foe could be a vital witness for prosecutors as they investigate whether Trump's campaign coordinated with Russians. For more than a decade, Cohen was Trump's personal lawyer, and he was a key power player in the Trump Organization and a fixture in Trump's political life.

Cohen pleaded guilty in August to eight federal charges and said Trump directed him to arrange payments before the 2016 election to buy the silence of porn actress Stormy Daniels and a former Playboy model who had both alleged they had affairs with Trump. It was the first time any Trump associate implicated Trump himself in a crime, though whether — or when — a president can be prosecuted remains a matter of legal dispute.

On Thursday night, Cohen tweeted: "Good for @MichaelCohen212 for providing critical information to the #MuellerInvestigation without a cooperation agreement. No one should question his integrity, veracity or loyalty to his family and country over @POTUS @realDonaldTrump."

The tweet was deleted almost immediately and was later reposted by his attorney, Lanny Davis, who said he wrote the tweet for Cohen and asked him to tweet it because he has a "much larger following." Davis said he was delayed posting the tweet on his own account, so Cohen tweeted it first.

ABC News reported earlier Thursday that Cohen has met several times — for several hours — with investigators from the special counsel's office.

The television network, citing sources familiar with the matter, said he was questioned about Trump's dealings with Russia, including whether members of the Trump campaign worked with Russians to try to influence the outcome of the election. Davis had asserted last month that his client could tell the special counsel that Trump had prior knowledge of a June 2016 meeting at Trump Tower with a Russian lawyer, Trump's son-in-law and Trump's eldest son, who had been told in emails that it was part of a Russian government effort to help his father's campaign. But Davis later walked back the assertions, saying he could not independently confirm the claims that Cohen witnessed Trump's eldest son, Donald Trump Jr., telling his father about the Trump Tower meeting beforehand.

In the last two weeks, the special counsel secured the cooperation of Trump's former campaign chairman, Paul Manafort; signaled that he has obtained all the information he needs from former national security adviser Michael Flynn — who was also a government cooperator; and dispensed with the case of the campaign aide who triggered the Russia probe.

The president has continued a very public battle against the Mueller investigation, repeatedly calling it a politically motivated and "rigged witch hunt." He has said he is going to declassify secret documents in the Russia investigation, an extraordinary move that he says will show that the investigation was tainted from the start by bias in the Justice Department and FBI.

#### **UK hits back after EU trashes May's Brexit plan**

LONDON (AP) — The British government on Friday accused the European Union of slamming the handbrake on Brexit negotiations, after the bloc said Prime Minister Theresa May's blueprint was unworkable.

European Council President Donald Tusk said bluntly at a meeting in Salzburg, Austria on Thursday that parts of May's plan simply "will not work," while French President Emmanuel Macron called pro-Brexit U.K.



politicians "liars" who had misled the country about the costs of leaving the 28-nation bloc. A rattled May insisted that her plan was the only one on the table — and that Britain was prepared to walk away from the EU without a deal if it was rejected.

The rebuff sparked British headlines saying May had been "humiliated," and a strong response from the U.K. government.

Brexit Secretary Dominic Raab accused the EU of rejecting Britain's proposals without offering "credible alternatives" and said the bloc had "yanked up the handbrake" on negotiations.

"For the negotiations to go forward they're going to have to take their hand off the handbrake," he said.

May's Downing St. office said the prime minister would make a televised statement on the Brexit talks on Friday afternoon. There was no indication of what she planned to say. The rocky summit dashed British hopes of a breakthrough in stalled divorce talks, with just six months to go until Britain leaves the bloc on March 29.

The judgment of British newspapers was brutal. The broadly pro-EU Guardian said May had been "humiliated." The conservative Times of London said:

"Humiliation for May as EU rejects Brexit plan."

The Brexit-supporting tabloid Sun branded bloc leaders "EU dirty rats," accusing "Euro mobsters" Tusk and Macron of "ambushing" May.

Despite all the heated British rhetoric, the EU's position is not new. May's "Chequers plan" — named for the prime minister's country retreat where it was hammered out in July — aims to keep the U.K. in the EU single market for goods, but not services, in order to ensure free trade with the bloc and an open border between the U.K.'s Northern Ireland and EU member Ireland.

EU officials have been cool on the plan from the start, saying Britain can't "cherry-pick" elements of membership in the bloc without accepting all the costs and responsibilities. Yet British politicians and diplomats were taken aback by Tusk's blunt dismissal of the Chequers plan on Thursday — and by his light-hearted Instagram post showing Tusk and May looking at a dessert tray and the words: "A piece of cake, perhaps? Sorry, no cherries."

Anti-Brexit campaigner Gina Miller said British officials shouldn't have been surprised.

Miller said the EU had "made it very clear where they stand and the U.K. has been so focused on its own infighting that they actually have not been listening."

"How can (May) have been so badly advised? It stinks of incompetence, the whole thing, when the moment of reality is only four weeks away," Miller told the BBC.

Tusk said Thursday that an EU summit on Oct. 18 and 19 would be the moment of truth,

when an agreement on divorce terms and the outlines of future trade would be sealed, or would fail.

The biggest single obstacle to a deal is the need to maintain an open Irish border. Failing to do so could disrupt the lives of people and business on both sides, and undermine Northern Ireland's hard-won peace.

Britain and the EU have agreed on the need for a legally binding backstop to guarantee there is no return to customs posts and other border checks. But Britain rejects the EU's proposed solution, which would keep Northern Ireland inside the bloc's customs union while the rest of the U.K. leaves. May said that would "divide the United Kingdom into two customs territories." She said Britain "will be bringing forward our own proposals shortly" about how to break the impasse.

Dealing with the EU is only part of May's problem. Her Chequers plan also faces opposition from pro-Brexit members of her own Conservative Party, including former Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson, who say it would keep Britain tethered to the bloc, unable to strike new trade deals around the world.

The Salzburg summit has given them new energy. When the Conservatives meet for their annual conference on Sept. 30, they plan to push for May to ditch the Chequers plan, or face a challenge to her leadership.

Pro-EU politicians don't like the Chequers plan either, saying it will cut the U.K.'s vast services sector out of the single market.

Conservative lawmaker Stephen Crabb said that May — assailed from all sides — should keep her nerve.

"The first rule is, don't panic," he told the BBC. "One of the outcomes the EU leaders wanted from yesterday was for Britain to go away, push the panic button and re-think, but the prime minister needs to stick to her guns."

#### **'He's been there': Trump stumps for vulnerable Sen. Heller**

LAS VEGAS (AP) — His own political fortunes intrinsically linked to his party holding control of Congress, President Donald Trump on Thursday offered full-throated support for the most vulnerable incumbent Republican senator, while unleashing a torrent of grievances against Democrats and the news media and claiming they are sabotaging his administration. Trump, appearing at a boisterous rally in Las Vegas, defended his embattled Supreme Court justice nominee, touted the booming stock market, cited progress in talks with North Korea and pledged to build his long-promised border wall, while also making the pitch for Nevada to re-elect Sen. Dean Heller. The president noted that he and Heller — who once said he "vehemently" opposed Trump — did not always get along.

"We started out, we weren't friends. I didn't like him, he didn't like me!" said Trump to

laughs. "But as we fought and fought and fought, believe it or not we started to respect each other, then we started to like each other, then we started to love each other."

"Ever since I won the election, he's been there for us," said Trump, who urged Heller's re-election because the Republican majority in the Senate is so slim, 51-49, that the GOP would lose its advantage if "someone had a cold." The president also bestowed one of his signature nicknames on Heller's opponent, Democratic Rep. Jacky Rosen, dubbing her "Wacky Jacky."

Heller returned the praise: "Mr. President, I think you just turned Nevada red today," he said. Trump narrowly lost Nevada to Hillary Clinton in 2016 despite his deep ties to Las Vegas — he has a golden-hued hotel just off the famed Strip — and repeatedly campaigning in the state.

Trump in particular focused his pitch for Heller on the need to confirm more conservative judges, in particular his Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh, whose seat on the bench had been thrown into question by allegations that he sexually assaulted a young woman while in high school more than 30 years ago.

Kavanaugh has denied the allegations.

While negotiations continued over whether his accuser, Dr. Christine Blasey Ford, would testify next week, Trump, who has taken pains not to criticize Ford in recent days, appeared to break from that strategy in a pre-rally interview with Fox News host Sean Hannity on the convention center floor.

"I think it's a very sad situation," said Trump, asking: "Why didn't somebody call the FBI 36 years ago? ... What's going on?" While he said Ford should "have her say," he made clear he was done waiting: "I don't think you can delay it any longer. They've delayed it a week already."

Trump remained on message at the rally. He did not utter a critical word about Ford, but defended Kavanaugh, saying he was "a great intellect" and "a great gentleman with an impeccable reputation."

"We have to let it play out but I have to tell you, he is a fine, fine person," Trump said of the Senate confirmation process. "I think everything is going to be just fine."

There was one local topic Trump avoided. The Las Vegas rally was held three miles from the Mandalay Bay hotel where a gunman opened fire just over a year ago, killing 58 people and leaving 851 injured. Trump made no mention of the shooting, though he assured Heller would vote in favor of the Second Amendment.

The rest of the rally was red meat for the crowd, which repeatedly roared its approval for the president but did not quite fill the room at the Las Vegas Convention Center. As usual, Trump went after the media and many who attended the rally followed his lead. One man stood behind the president's

2054

09-26-18



traveling press corps, repeatedly yelling the word "traitors" at the journalists.

At one point reading from a list of his administration's accomplishments, Trump spent much of the rally focused on what advisers believe is his — and his party's — best issue, the strong economy. He took credit for the stock market's gains and the nation's low unemployment rate and bragged about boosting the military, while accusing Democrats of doing their best to foster division and stall the growth.

"They are lousy politicians and their policies are terrible," said Trump, in only his second rally as president in a state he lost two years ago, "but they are good at sticking together and resisting, that's what they do. You see the signs 'Resist, Resist.'"

With the chances of Republicans keeping control of the House of Representatives looking increasingly dismal, the White House has fixated on keeping the Senate as a bulwark against any Democratic effort to impeach and then remove Trump from office. Though the Senate midterm map favors Republicans, a few states, including Tennessee and perhaps Texas, could slip away from the GOP.

But no Republican-held seat is considered more endangered than the one in Nevada. The only Republican running for re-election in a state Hillary Clinton carried in 2016, Heller has been locked in a tight race in an increasingly blue-leaning state.

Though he fervently tried to wrap his arms around the president Thursday, Heller's relationship with Trump has been tumultuous. Weeks before the 2016 election, Heller infamously said that he was "100 percent against Clinton, 99 percent against Trump," a remark the president has not forgotten.

Heller drew the president's ire a year ago when he held up Republican efforts to repeal former President Barack Obama's signature health care law. But Trump saved Heller from a costly and damaging primary battle earlier this year by persuading a very conservative primary challenger, Danny Tarkanian, to drop out of the Senate race and instead seek a House seat.

Heller is now in a close race with Rosen, a first-term congresswoman who stands to benefit from a wave of Democratic and female activism fueled by opposition to Trump. And the senator, at times, has struggled to strike a balancing act of praising the president, who remains popular among Republicans, while distancing himself from Trump's scandals and provocative positions.

"Eighty percent of what this president has done has been very, very good, very positive," Heller told reporters last week. "The other 20 percent ... he has a reality show. I get it. It's a reality show."

**China 'outraged' by US sanctions over Russian weapons buy**

BEIJING (AP) — China said Friday it was "outraged" over U.S. economic sanctions against a Chinese military agency and its director over the purchase of Russian fighter jets and surface-to-air missile equipment, and demanded the U.S. cancel the measure.

Foreign Ministry spokesman Geng Shuang said Beijing has lodged stern complaints with Washington over the action, which triggers a ban on entering the U.S., forbids conducting transactions with the U.S. financial system and forces the blocking of all property and interests in property within U.S. jurisdiction. "China is strongly outraged by this unreasonable action by the U.S.," Geng told reporters at a daily news briefing. "We strongly urge the U.S. to immediately correct its mistakes and revoke the so-called sanctions. Otherwise, it must take all the consequences," Geng said, without giving details.

China's purchase of the weapons from Rosoboronexport, Russia's main arms exporter, violated a 2017 law, the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act, intended to punish the government of President Vladimir Putin for interfering in U.S. elections and other activities.

U.S. State Department spokeswoman Heather Nauert said in a statement that China's Equipment Development Department and director Li Shangfu made a "significant transaction" involving the purchase of Su-35 combat aircraft in 2017 and S-400 surface-to-air missile system-related equipment in 2018. The sanctions were enacted "to further impose costs on the Russian government in response to its malign activities," Nauert said in the statement. The U.S. will continue to "urge all countries to curtail relationships with Russia's defense and intelligence sectors, both of which are linked to malign activities worldwide," she added.

#### **Early voting begins as midterms season enters final phase**

MINNEAPOLIS (AP) — Much of the political world is consumed with a battle over a Supreme Court nominee, an expanding international trade war and President Donald Trump's social media posts. Yet here in Minnesota, the first votes of the 2018 midterm elections are being cast.

Voting machines are set up inside city buildings. A series of get-out-the-vote rallies is scheduled. And each party is spending millions of dollars to push its supporters to the polls.

While Election Day 2018 is technically Nov. 6, Minnesota law allows in-person voting to begin Friday — a full 46 days early — making it the first battleground state to begin casting actual votes in the broader fight for control of Congress.

Voters in every corner of the nation will soon follow.

South Dakota also opens early voting on Friday, and four more states follow in the next six days, including key states including

New Jersey and Missouri. California, Montana and Arizona are among seven others that allow early voting in the subsequent two weeks.

It may feel early, but make no mistake: The final phase of the 2018 midterm season has begun.

"It's like Election Day every day," said Jake Schneider, spokesman for Minnesota Republican Senate candidate Karin Housley. "It really changes the dynamic of an election. It really does. And it's exciting."

The commencement of voting in key states underscores the heightened significance of virtually every major development — political or otherwise — on the state and national stage in the coming days. Economic indicators, the president's tweets, new revelations in the special counsel investigation and even the weather begin to matter much more as voters decide whether to go to the polls.

It's been an inauspicious beginning to the voting season for Trump and his Republican Party, which continue to struggle under the weight of near-constant self-imposed crises and chaos.

The president escalated a trade war with China in recent days, triggering new waves of concern among farmers and major employers across Minnesota and beyond. And the GOP's continued embrace of Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh, despite an allegation of decades-old sexual misconduct, threatens to further alienate suburban women, a key constituency this fall that has already largely turned away from Trump.

Political parties and their allies are ramping up voter outreach programs in several states to mark the beginning of the early voting phase.

The Democratic allies, Priorities USA Action and the Senate Majority PAC, for example, are launching a multimillion-dollar digital ad campaign next week as part of a voter mobilization program across five states that targets African-Americans, young Hispanics and other young people.

One of the new ads, shared with The Associated Press, highlights the rise of white supremacists in the Trump era. In another, a young black man says, "I'm willing to do whatever it takes to vote this year."

As is the case in many midterm battlegrounds, outside groups for several weeks have been dumping money into Minnesota, which features at least four competitive House elections, two U.S. Senate contests and a governor's race.

Each of the political parties deployed paid staff and volunteers on the ground several months ago to identify supporters and persuade them to vote.

Democrats are focused on turning out "communities of color" in Minnesota, particularly in the areas around Minneapolis that feature large Somali and Southeast Asian populations, according to Ramsey Reid, the

3074



# Activist makes plea for 'different justice system'

By **ASHLEY LUTHERN**  
aluthern@journal-sentinel.com

Justice activist and attorney Bryan Stevenson had a big request for those sitting in an auditorium Wednesday at Milwaukee Area Technical College.

"I want to ask you to change the world," he said. "I want us to create a different America. I want us to create a different justice system. I want us to do something big because we need something big."

Stevenson, author of the bestseller "Just Mercy: A Story of Justice and Redemption," has dedicated his life to addressing inequalities in the justice system. He founded the nonprofit Equal Justice Initiative, which works to eliminate racial and class bias in justice and represents inmates on death row and people it believes have been wrongly convicted.

Last month, Google's philanthropic branch announced it would give \$1 million to the Equal Justice Initiative's efforts to create civil rights landmarks, including memo-

rials at lynching sites across the country.

Speaking in Milwaukee before a near-capacity crowd of about 1,700 people, Stevenson outlined four strategies he said could lead to widespread change: Be proximate, or close, to the people and places affected most by inequality; change widespread narratives, such as those surrounding crime and race; remain hopeful; and be willing to do uncomfortable



Stevenson

things.

"If you go where there is this despair and this dysfunction and you are proximate, you will find you have the power to change the world, and more than that, you will be changed," he said.

Stevenson also called for more honest discussion about race.

"Our history is that for decades we reinforced this narrative of racial difference," he

said. "For decades, we built on this idea of white supremacy."

That history is reflected in present-day racial inequalities that exist in Wisconsin and the entire country, he said.

A University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee study in 2013 found Wisconsin has the highest black incarceration rate in the nation. One study last year found unemployment among African-Americans in Wisconsin was the highest in the country. Another determined the state's gap in graduation rates between black and white students was the largest in the country.

Stevenson commended Wisconsin for not using capital punishment — the death penalty was abolished here more than 160 years ago — but said more factors should be considered when gauging a community's commitment to justice.

"I can't judge you by how you treat the rich, the powerful and the privileged," Stevenson said. "I have to judge you by how you treat the poor and the incarcerated."

03-10-16



# THE BADASS PUSSY RIOT

## PUSSY RIOT POISONING

NBC.COM NEWS - 09-18-2018 5:30pm  
CDT.

Comrade Peter Verzilov, was poisoning  
by the Russian Government.

WWW, THE WEEK / THE WORLD AT A GLANCE  
Sept. 28, 2018, RQ NEWS.

I pray for your Victory!!  
Amen.

09-26-2018



## A WORD FOR MY SISTERS AND BROTHERS ON THE INSIDE

*(The following are excerpts from a talk I gave to members of a congregation in Chicago. I thought it might be helpful for you to hear what those of us on the outside are saying about your situation in prison. Your comments are welcome. The talk was about doing service as a volunteer.)*

Why do we bother? Why do we put forth the effort? Why do we extend a hand to someone? Why do we consider the needs of others? Why do we care to care? Why do we stretch and bend to make a connection? What good is it that we believe we are doing? Rarely do we ask ourselves these questions; instead we sign up, get involved, collect the clothes, give out the food, nod our heads appropriately giving of our time and contributing to the community. We feel good, we feel complete, we feel connected, and we have a story to share at a dinner party. For those of us in the middle class, service is often displayed in overtures of gestures that place us for short periods of time directly in front of someone who normally would make us uncomfortable. We come to serve *them*, to offer *them*, to change *them*, to show *them*, to give *them*. When service is provided out of guilt, supremacy and ignorance it is dangerous. Yet when service becomes an opening for a mutual relationship in which there is no predictable outcome of exchange, no hierarchy of experience, no conditional validation! When no limitations are placed on the heart a relationship of integrity can begin for however long or short it may be.

I worked as a volunteer chaplain at Stateville Correctional Center. Most chaplains have a little cloth bag of literature and walk on the concrete floor from cell house to cell house where thousand of men are housed 23 of 24 hours a day. This is a prison with a dozen training centers for carpentry, auto body, plumbing and electrical work that have been closed and empty for years. This is a prison filled with addicts in need of treatment and 20 year olds with 30 year mandatory drug sentences.

One day, while walking in the general population I said to an older man "I'm chaplain Karen, is there anything on your heart that you want to talk about?" The older man walked over to the open bars of his cell and said "I don't have any complaints really" I asked why that is? He said I am not going to die anytime soon" This was the week that dozens of men had been released from a death sentence by Gov. Ryan. They had moved from Pontiac to Stateville with a new life sentence. "I have to adjust everything now. When you are getting ready to be executed you wake up in the morning one way, now I feel like I have to figure out what to do with the rest of my life." We talked more about the pros and cons of death row vs. a life hobbies, the temperament of God, repentance, movies, art, and the tricks his brain played on him that he claimed prompted him to kill others. When we parted, he said, "Thanks for not giving me all that preachy crap, it is just too shallow for us guys who have been in prison for years" I said I agreed, What did I learn about service that day? I learned that to serve in a prison is to confront our own fears, "that could have been me". I learned that to serve in a prison is to walk on unyielding concrete while remaining flexible. I learned that to serve in a prison is to reach beyond the safety yellow line to hold hands to pray. I learned that to serve in a prison is to be repulsed by your own love for a murderer. I learned that to serve in a prison is to reach for ambiguity to keep a balance. What has life taught you to serve in a prison as a volunteer? I am certain it is more than you think.

Rev. Karen Hutt

*(Your comments regarding this talk are welcomed. What do you want people on the outside need to know when they volunteer in a prison?)*



PC  
AND/OR  
CULTURAL  
WORD GAME  
AND  
APOLOGIA !!!

A VIEWER'S VIEW POINT ON the ABC.  
com) THE VIEW, THUR. 09-13-2018, 10:  
A.M CDT; with Contrite guest- Mr.  
Norm Cosby, Actor, Comedian and  
HUMAN!!!

TELL WHAT THEY SAY!!!

Like a Zillion Other U.S. Citizens  
appeared to Apologize for purported  
Comments offending the "METOO MOVE-  
MENT" and "DOWN SYNDROME" persons!

He was made to CONFESS, and  
AND FLAGellate and HUMILIATE HIMSELF!  
SELF-CENSOR! and TO BITE HIS TONGUE  
[i.e. SILENCE HIM!!!] - , and GROVEL at  
Red Chairman MAO and COMMUNIST  
Audience and/or "Committee ZEET!!!!



As a Legal Slave [13<sup>th</sup> Amend.]  
I so personally deeply felt his Public  
Shame and my own outrage!!!

SEE Below, p. 3

SO WHAT NOW:

What with the 'GAY RIGHTS MOVE-  
MENT!!!' These 'ELIST' PC POLICE "shall  
make 'MIND SLAVE' (57 (1984) OF  
ALL AMERICAN CITIZENS!!!

PITY THE FOOL!!!

STOP!!!

PLEASE weigh that with each  
Penitent perhaps/may make Five  
ANGRY Resisters [esp. IN Rush  
Limbaugh's 'RED NECK COUNTRY!!!']

#SAVE NORM MCROSBY

BY A FEMINIST



SOME TIMES I JUST CAN'T  
HELP BELIEVING THAT THIS  
WHOLE WIDE WORLD IS JUST  
ONE BIG PRISON YARD

SOME OF US ARE  
PRISONERS

AND

SOME OF US ARE  
GUARDS

THE BALLAD OF GEORGE JACKSON  
by: Bob DYLAN

3 of 3 09-16-2018  
7:30 A.M, CDT