

START

2/3/19

1) WHEN JUSSIE SMOLLET WAS ATTACKED, HE WAS IN THE MIDST OF DISPLAYING THE UTMOST IN COURAGE AND VALOR. AFTER BEING THREATENED BY UNKNOWN COWARDS, HE WAS OFFERED ROUND-THE-CLOCK-PROTECTION. BUT HE DECLINED. HE STILL HAD FAITH IN HIS FELLOWMAN. AND THE FAITH TO KNOW THAT HE'D SURVIVE ANYTHING THAT CAME HIS WAY.

BEING AN OUTSPOKEN ACTIVIST ON SEVERAL CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES, HE'D BEEN USED TO DEALING WITH THOSE WHO EITHER COULDN'T UNDERSTAND, OR REFUSED TO HEAR THE PLEA FOR COMPASSION, UNDERSTANDING & EQUALITY FOR ALL OF THE PEOPLE. BECAUSE OF THAT HE WAS STaunchLY AGAINST BEING MOVED BY HATE OR FEAR. ACTUALLY, THE HATE COMES FROM FEAR. FEAR OF LOSING SOME PERCEIVED STATURE THAT PLACES THE HATER ABOVE SOMEONE AS LOWLY AS A "NIGGER" OR A "FAGGOT". OR MAYBE FEAR THAT JUSSIE WAS CATERING TO - AND THEREFORE NURTURING & ENLIGHTENING - A SEGMENT OF SOCIETY THAT THESE PARTICULAR HATERS WANT TO REMAIN MARGINALIZED, SIDELINED AND AT THE BOTTOM OF SOCIETY.

JUSSIE WAS FOLLOWING THE EXAMPLES OF GREAT LEADERS OF THE PAST WHO SET WONDERFUL EXAMPLES OF REMAINING STEADFASTLY DEDICATED TO THEIR CAUSE, BEING GUILTY ONLY OF BREAKING "LAWS" THAT WERE IMMORAL, IN THEMSELVES, AND ^{NEVER} CAVING TO FEAR OF BODILY HARM, OR THAT MORE INSIDIOUS KIND: FEAR OF WHAT PEOPLE WILL SAY, OR THINK.

IM CHIMING IN LATE ON THE SITUATION. HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE HAVE ALREADY ATTESTED TO HIS MAJUS KINDNESS, CHARITY OF SPIRIT, AND DEDICATION TO ACTIVISM. HE'S BEEN A TIRELESS ADVOCATE & CHAMPION FOR MANY YEARS, AND HE IS STILL SO YOUNG. AS LIGHT DISPELS DARKNESS, LOVE DISPLACES HATE. WE MUST BE CAREFUL NOT TO FALL INTO THE TRICK-BAG OF KNEE-JERK REACTIONS. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT SOMEONE HOPES THIS WILL START SOME KIND OF "RACE WAR". OR AT LEAST TERRORIZE PEOPLE AND FOMENT TENSION & HOSTILITY.

THE "CLUES" WERE TOO SIMPLE: A MAGA HAT? OH, COME ON! THAT PROBABLY TELLS US THAT THE ASSAILANTS WEREN'T WHITE. BUT, NO MATTER. THE MESSAGE WAS SENT -

INMATE WAIVERS

- ☐ INMATE WAIVES RIGHT TO 72 HOURS PREPARATION TIME
- ☐ INMATE WAIVES OR DECLINES INTERVIEW WITH ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER
- ☐ NO WITNESSES REQUESTED BY INMATE

INMATE SIGNATURE

X

CDC NUMBER
E95993

DATE

9/28/16

WITNESS REQUESTED FOR ICC HEARING

WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER	WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER
WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER	WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER

DECISION

- ☐ RELEASE TO UNIT/FACILITY
- ☐ RETAIN PENDING ICC REVIEW
- ☐ DOUBLE CELL
- ☒ SINGLE CELL PENDING ICC (Per ICC)

REASON FOR DECISION:

I/m has a Px SHU Tx Due to Px RVR.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER'S PRINTED NAME

TITLE


ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE

REVIEW DATE

TIME

J. STEWART

Capt.



9/28/16

1:45

You were identified with a disability of:

- ☐ Hearing ☐ Vision ☐ Speech ☐ Learning Disability ☐ TABE under 4.0 / no TABE ☐ Developmental Disability ☐ CCCMS ☒ EOP
- ☐ Foreign Language Speaking

Method

- ☒ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD reiterated in his own words, what was explained
- ☒ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD provided appropriate, substantive responses to questions asked
- ☒ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD asked appropriate questions regarding the information provided
- ☐ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD did not appear to understand the communication, even though the primary method of communication was used
- ☐ Other

Assistance Provided

- ☐ Use of Full Page Magnifier
- ☒ Read aloud Documents to YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD
- ☐ Sign Language Interpreter
- ☐ Lip Reading (spoke facing the inmate)
- ☐ Written Notes

AND RECEIVED. INTOLERANCE INTENDS TO BE AN OPPONENT ~~INTENDED~~ OF PROGRESS. I STILL BELIEVE THAT THE BEST CAN COME OUT OF THIS SITUATION IF IT IS PROMULGATED IN THE RIGHT WAY.

PEOPLE WHO COMMIT PUBLIC ATROCITIES WANT TO BE CELEBRATED. EVEN IF IT IS FOR A HORRIBLE CRIME, I HEREBY PROPOSE THEY LOSE THEIR NAME - IMMEDIATELY & PERMANENTLY. I THINK THAT AS SOON AS SOMEONE WHO'S DONE ONE OF THESE PUBLIC HATE CRIMES IS CAUGHT & TAKEN INTO CUSTODY THEY SHOULD BE REFERRED TO BY A NUMBER. OR BETTER YET, THEY SHOULD BE REFERRED TO BY THE CRIME THEY COMMITTED - BUT WITHOUT THEIR NAMES. FOR EXAMPLE: WE'D SAY "THAT GUY WHO ATTACKED JUSSIE SMOLLET". OR WE'D SAY "THOSE TWO INDIVIDUALS THAT SHOT HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AT COLUMBINE".

THIS WAY THESE GUY DON'T GET TO BE FAMOUS. THEY DON'T GET TO DEVELOP A FOLLOWING. THEY DON'T GET TO BE AN INFAMOUS HERO-CRUSADER FOR THEIR CAUSE. WE WILL GRANT THEIR LEGAL RIGHTS. BUT WE WON'T HELP THEM WITH THEIR PLAN TO HARM SOCIETY. THAT'S WHAT IT IS THEY INTEND. THEY WANT TO WOUND OR CRIPPLE OUR ABILITY TO FUNCTION TOGETHER, IN HARMONY AND PEACE.

IMAGINE FOR A MOMENT, IF WHENEVER WE SAW ONE OF THESE MASS KILLERS OR PUBLIC ASSAULTERS' FACE WE NEVER HEARD THEIR NAME, JUST A NUMBER OR A REFERENCE TO THE CRIME, I'LL BET THAT THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH THESE KINDS OF THINGS OCCUR WOULD LEVEL OFF & THEN DECLINE. INSTEAD OF BECOMING EVER MORE COMMON, IN OUR TERRORIZED, STRESSED-OUT SOCIETY.

JUSSIE SMOLLET IS JUST 1 MAN. THIS WAS NOT A MASS SHOOTING, OR EVEN A DEATH. BUT HE IS A SYMBOL OF PROGRESS, DIVERSITY, TOLERANCE & INCLUSION. AN ATTACK ON HIM IS AN ATTACK ON ALL OPEN-MINDED, FORWARD THINKING PEOPLE.

SPREAD THE WORD ABOUT TAKING THEIR NAME FROM THEM. AND AT LEAST ~~DON'T~~ REFUSE TO SAY THE NAMES OUT LOUD OF ANYONE WHO ASSAULTS SOCIETY. STAY TRUE TO YOUR VALUES, KEEP AN OPEN HEART, AS WELL AS AN OPEN MIND,

AND GET INVOLVED

PEACE AFTER REVOLUTION

ONE LOVE, !

THIS IS OUR WORLD !

LIVE WELL !

- ☐ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD asked appropriate questions regarding the information provided
- ☐ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD did not appear to understand the communication, even though the primary method of communication was used
- ☐ Other

Assistance Provided

- ☐ Use of Full Page Magnifier
- ☒ Read aloud Documents to YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD
- ☐ Sign Language Interpreter
- ☐ Lip Reading (spoke facing the inmate)
- ☐ Written Notes
- ☐ Language Interpreter
- ☒ Simple English spoken slowly and clearly
- ☐ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD was wearing his/her hearing aid(s)
- ☐ YOUNG, MICHAEL LLOYD stated he did not need any assistance for Effective Communication
- ☐ Gave additional time
- ☐ Rephrased sentence
- ☐ Other

Provider

Name: D. Hobart Title: Lieutenant

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (PART B)

The following to be completed during the administrative review by Captain or higher on the first working day following placement

STAFF ASSISTANT (SA)

IS THIS INMATE:

- LITERATE? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- FLUENT IN ENGLISH? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- ABLE TO COMPREHEND ISSUES? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- FREE OF MHSDS NEEDS? ☐ YES ☒ NO
- DECLINED FIRST STAFF ASSISTANT ASSIGNED? ☐ YES

Any "NO" requires SA assignment

J. Stratton
STAFF ASSISTANT'S NAME

☐ NOT ASSIGNED

Sgt.
TITLE

INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE (IE)

- ASU IS FOR DISCIPLINARY REASONS ☒ YES ☐ NO
- EVIDENCE COLLECTION BY IE IS UNNECESSARY ☒ YES ☐ NO
- INMATE DECLINED ANY IE ☐ YES ☒ NO
- DECLINED FIRST IE ASSIGNED ☐ YES

Any "NO" may require IE assignment

INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE'S NAME

☒ NOT ASSIGNED

TITLE