Date: 9/6/2019 3:39:37 AM

My Missing Post About Abuse @ Green Bay Correctional Institution's Seg Institution

Created 5 Sept. 2019

Recently Charlie DeTar returned a post that I promised some people would be posted here, explaing that "the names of other incarcerated individuals or correctional officers need to be withheld." So, the exposé will not be posted here.

I don't agree with Charlie's concerns, for reasons I'll explain, but I don't run the blog. You all may read the full exposé on facebook.com/Prometheus Writes, or, if it's not yet posted there, anyone may obtain a pdf of it from jonhenry@me.com.

As for prisoners' names and details, I provide these because they either gave me permission (most did) or their behavior needs to be exposed so they can be shamed into deterrence. For example, I gave the names of inmates who were being denied psychiatric care, guys who wanted to be identified; also, I gave the names of guys who smeared shit & spent their days insulting or threatening their neighbors -- nobody has a privacy right to smear their shit & not be exposed!

And, this is America! We expose corrupt, abusive state actors (including lowly correctional officers) who lie, sexually degrade, beat or otherwise abuse those they have been trusted with authority over -- we even treat our Presidents rough! (Trump may be abnormally thick skinned, but look at before & after photos of Obama, Clinton, Bush Jr.) Our First Amendment protects writings about officials, "officers," such as excise/tax "officers" (a group of government agents that pre-US Americans frequently castigated, resulting in King George III destroying presses, which led to our founders declaring absolute protection of the "Press" in our FIRST Amendment!) and certainly abusive correctional officers!

What anti-Amerucan lunatic actually believes guards or any prison employees have a hint of a "privacy interest" regarding what they do while working for taxpayers?! How did such a notion enter your mind Charlie, or anyone at BTB? Did prisoncrats tell you all that lie, or threaten litigation (as I'd expect some would, if you're doing a good job exposing the truth about prisons, which I fear your platform is not...) to intimidate you from posting meaty exposés?

You, Charlie, should be aware that in G'esa Khalafi v. Lebbeus Brown, Western District of Wisconsin U.S. District Court Case # 16-CV-847-slc, a prisoner who was punished for posting (on BTB) an article about a sick prison psychologist WON his suit. The court, Judge Crocker, rule that it violated Khalfi's First Amendment rights to punish him for posting the article. You can look up the court's rulings on www.pacer.gov Your (BTB's) First Amendment rights are just as strong, if not stronger than a prisoner's -- so you've nothing to fear, other than a big payday, from posting staffs' names in our exposés.

I've filed two suits myself, both approved by the court & proceeding to be resolved on their merits, about prison staff harassing/punishing me due "in part" to my posting articles on this blog that details staffs' misconduct/abuse. They are: E.D. Wis. Dist. Cpt. Cases Lindell v. Pollard, et alia, #19-cv-255; Lindell v. Kind, et alia, #19-cv-702, and; Lindell v. Pollard, et alia, #19-cv-704. And I' m biding my time to file another about being punish because I gave Khalafi an affidavit for his suit, which prompted staff to punish me for my postings on BTB.

What has being tepid done, Charlie, ever, to change the world? What has BTB done to change the world since its inception? How has BTB changed since its creation to fulfill its founding mission? Please consider these questions & really understand what you got yourself into.

I hope you all slap your balls (or ovaries!) on the table and get more all in, as at least some of us writers have been doing.

With respect, SOLIDARITY! Nate