

In what I hope will be my last comments on Coronavirus for awhile... look, this is still a very new disease, no one knows much about it, and it seems to me that medical researchers may be missing a valuable opportunity here. What I mean is, I'm caged in a building of about 200 people, ~~of~~ ^{over} 95% of whom were definitely infected with this virus all within the same 2 to 3 week period. I say 95% because about 80% actually tested positive, while most of the rest also clearly got it but simply refused to test and confirm it. Then there's a tiny handful of outliers who somehow continue to test negative, so they may be naturally resistant, immune, or more likely, they were just unknowingly infected some time in the past 6-8 months. Anyway, wouldn't you think any virologist or epidemiologist would love to get hold of what must be a very unique sample population, one with not only a nearly 100% infection rate but where everyone was infected at the same time and then the entire cohort was kept together in one tiny communal space? ^{Further, all the same people are even} ~~and for months afterwards~~ kept together for months after, and all of them continue to behave with the same obliviousness that caused the mass universal infection in the first place. Surely no study like this could ever be designed, for ethical constraints, but here we are — a large and untainted pool of reckless ~~fools~~ ^{fools} occurring quite organically, stable and just waiting for someone to put our collective stupidity to some kind of meaningful use.

I think it must be rare in the real world to find 50, 100, or even 150 infected people who, instead of being separated, isolated, and protected from further contamination, were all herded together into a single small, unventilated space at the peak of their illnesses, forced to breathe in ~~a~~ perpetual cloud of unfiltered corona-air and touch all the virus-covered common surfaces all day, every day, for weeks. That really MUST be rare, right? Even unheard of? But here we have it, and perhaps a good scientist could study such a remarkable, pitiful population to find clues to questions about reinfection, contagiousness timeframes, antibody creation and durability, autoimmune complications, and perhaps most importantly, how some of our 3 or 4 per-
sistent non-positive testers can be so impervious despite existing in the same close quarters and behaving with the same moronic carelessness.

We'll all be infected here again soon, for all the same retarded reasons, so... keep us in mind, science