

Date: 10/14/2021 9:51:48 AM

" UPDATE DAY 12 OF HUNGER STRIKE"  
OVER 1ST AMENDMENT RETALIATION

Yes we are at day/12. And my vitals are a lot better today. My weight was 211 pounds so I gained one pound. Its all the water that I've been drinking. Which also did my bp good which came in at 120/78 bs 73 pulse 84. So everything is good.:-) And I feel better this morning. I know its because I've been drinking a lot of water. I've got to keep myself hydrated. A friend of mine wrote and said you can't coerce these people into doing what you want them to do. Well this hunger strike has nothing to do with getting this administration to do the right thing. No this isn't about coercion. Its about retaliation over my writings about this poor dead woman found in that FDOC rented hotel room during the 2021 fallen officer memorial ceremony and the corruption that our Regional Director Mr John Palmer rose out of. Because this is what the retaliation come from. And if we had a fair and effective grievance procedure as required by law, well this would have all been resolved through that process. But this administration allowed my DR chairman who found me guilty of this DR to then respond to a grievance that was against him and his erroneous ruling. So the man wouldn't listen to the evidence and the law at the DR hearing, and then again ignored it in the grievance log#2107-213-154. So they rendered my appeal ineffective. And then when it gets to the Secretary's representatives W. Millette ignores all the facts. And only addresses one issue in grievance appeal log# 21-6-24106 which states Your administrative appeal has been reviewed and evaluated. The response you received at the institutional level has been reviewed and is found to approximately address the concerns that you raised at the institutional level as well as the Central Office level. Chapter 33 does not prohibit a DR hearing team member from responding to a grievance related to the DR. Your administrative appeal is denied. signed by W. Millette 8/25/21 A DR chairman can't respond to an appeal grievance against him. Its absolutely improve to hear an appeal against your ruling. 33-601.306(1) A person SHALL NOT serve as the hearing officer or as a member of the disciplinary team, or participate in the deliberations when they are: (a) A witness or person who wrote the charge. (b) The investigating officer. or (c) The person charged with the review or the results of the disciplinary hearing. (C) applies here showing that if your in charge of reviewing the results of the disciplinary hearing that you "CANNOT BE INVOLVED WITH THE HEARING!!!" Well not only was he involved in this hearing he was the chairman who found me guilty. It was clearly improve. All I'm trying to do is correct this injustice. And have Secretary Mark Inch review this. If he applies the law he will see that this DR shouldn't have been written. This was retaliation. And UCI's records will show they've never suspended anyone else's visit's for a minor ten day DR. They did this to get back at me. So this isn't about coercion, its about correcting this injustice and having them applying the law and the rules that they should have applied. And bringing attention our grievance system which needs fixing to give us inmates an outlet to resolve issues. God bless you all.

In Peace and love Ronnie

Ronald W. Clark Jr. #812974

October 14 2021