

No more excuses No more enabling
bad behavior by making excuses
for people or allowing them to make
excuses for self.

Just today I was talking to a individual. She said she was PTSD. Basically everyone has to respect her condition or else she gives them the boot to get out her life. All this work for the people who don't know her. For me I am not going to baby you because I been knowing her for many years. This is a copy out to get people to act the way she want them to and cater to her wants.

I begin to explain to this young lady. I have been physically and emotional abused, majority of my life down her or earth. I been molested three times and rape. Has spent majority of life ~~been~~ suicidal. Trauma is all I ever known. I use to do things to get attention because I didn't get much from my mom as I would like; so I would runaway for a little while. But the dark would me back home. As I became adult I put these childish things away. We are dealing with people who live in society and prison that are immature, don't know their

worth and don't have no sense of direction. Currently I am Juvenile Delinquency course what we are seeing with our youth is The emotional component of the social bond that signifies that these individuals care about what others think. So they get into gangs, do drugs or whatever criminal activities that make them feel like they are part of the peer pressure to go inside with the bad crowd or do it alone because I am a messed up individual any nobody cares about me so why should I care about anyone else. Especially the blacks or white or this type of people. I don't think they even ~~exist~~ ~~exist~~ deserve to even be in the world. (Bates/Swan pp. 109)

This theoretical perspective is considered by entire course of human life (from childhood, adolescence and adulthood to Old age) as social constructions that reflect the broader structural conditions of society. Taxonomy Classification of individuals according to their pattern of offending. (Bates/Swan pp. 109)

~~short term~~ Short term changes in social roles within long term trajectories such as dropping out of school, divorce and desistance from delinquency. (Bates/Swan pp. 109)

Our society can change around with our youth.

According to Travis Hirschi he explain it in the best way that it can be put. Hirschi's version of social control theory is often referred to as Social bonds. This theory concentrates on ~~and~~ indirect controls of behavior or stimuli of the strains surrounding an individual (as differential association theory and strain theory suggest). Instead, social control theory assumes that ~~delinquent~~ delinquent activity is a given, and it is the absence of delinquency that needs to be explained.

In fact, not only are we capable, but we are willing creators and participants in delinquency. That needs to be explained. In fact, not only are we capable, but we are willing creators and participants in delinquency. The reason we do not engage in ~~delinquent~~ delinquency crime is because we have social bonds to conformity that keep us from engaging in socially unacceptable activities.

This social bond comprises four parts - attachment, commitment, involvement and belief. The first one is attachment is the emotional component of the bond. This component suggests that

We do not engage in delinquency because we care about what conforming others think about us. Hirschi argued that if we are strongly attached to others we will contemplate their reaction to our behavior before we engage in it. In other words, we will not engage in delinquency if we think those we are attached to will be disappointed in us. This is the element of the social bond that may have us saying "What would mom think?" or "Mom would be mad if she found out." Hirschi believed that our most important attachment was probably to our parents (and by extension other family members), but he also thought that attachments to friends (even if they were not always conforming themselves) and teachers would keep us from deviating. Commitment is the rational component of the bond. This component suggests that individuals will be less likely to engage in delinquency when they have a strong commitment to conventional society. This strong conventional society will cause them to weigh the costs and benefits of delinquent behavior.

Those who have more to lose will not misbehave. Hirschi believed that conventional activities were most likely ones' education and other school activities for juveniles and for those who had successfully completed high school, work and occupational attainment. Hirschi actually believe that juveniles who entered adulthood too soon (e.g., who became young parents or worked while in high school) were more likely to become delinquent not less likely.

Involvement is the component of the bond that suggest the more time one spends engaged in ~~conflicting~~ conforming activities, the less time one has available to deviate. Hirschi characterized this bond as "idle hands are the devil's workshop." In other words, Hirschi argued that juveniles who spent their time in conventional activities, such as sports, homework, or band practice, have, literally, less time for delinquent activities. The difference between commitment and involvement (since we have mentioned school and after school activities or both) is that commitment is the bond that focuses on not wanting to lose the benefits of the conventional activity.

one is engaging in (eg. you don't want to ~~benefits of~~ be benched on the football team because you were caught drinking). While involvement ~~refers~~ refers specifically to the time you engage in a conventional activity (eg. if you are at football practice, you cannot be shoplifting at the same time).

Finally, belief is the component of the bond that suggests the stronger one ~~is~~ awareness, understanding, and agreement with the rules and norms of society, the less likely one will be to deviate. Given that social control is a normative theory (it assumes societal agreement and understanding about the norms and rules of society), an individual with weakened norms not thought to be completely unaware of the norms and rules; however, such an individual is less accepting of the moral validity of the law is why we have mass shootings and copy cat. Because they are feeling some type of way about life, themselves and others. Which is not excuse to harm somebody or the people.