

Michael Manjeet SINGH, T-22165
S.V.S.P. (ASU-148)
PO Box 1050
Soledad, CA 93960

11/20/22

Between The Bars
2885 Sanford Ave. SW (30428)
Grandville, MI 49418

Dear BTB,

On the Day I got sentenced to Life With Out Parole, I made a statement in open court. Initially, this was a DEATH PENALTY TRIAL.

- Have you ever been a juror?
- If you were a juror, could you, would you or should you find someone guilty - beyond a reasonable doubt - with the evidentiary issues in the transcript pages (attached)?
- If you haven't been through the (in)justice system, or actually been in court while it's happened, it is hard to believe...

Read the transcripts & see what you think...

Any reader can feel free to write me.

Thank you for your time. Happy Holidays!

Respectfully,
Michael Singh

encl: transcript pages: 1, 7-15
Sentencing day 6-12-2001

ENDORSED
FILED

ALAMEDA COUNTY

JUN 18 2001

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Lisa D. Tolbert, Deputy

COPY

T22165 gms

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHILIP SARKISIAN, JUDGE
DEPARTMENT 10
RENE C. DAVIDSON ALAMEDA COUNTY COURTHOUSE

--000--

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF)
CALIFORNIA,)
)
PLAINTIFFS,)
)
MICHAEL MANJEET SINGH,)
)
DEFENDANT.)

NO. H-24413

PROCEEDINGS ON SENTENCE
STATE PRISON

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JUNE 12, 2001

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PEOPLE:

STUART HING
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

WILLIAM R. LINEHAN
1297 B ST.
HAYWARD, CA 94541

REPORTED BY:

SHELLEY E. HINCH, CSR #4367

SHELLEY E. HINCH, CSR #4367

1 COURT AT A 995 AND LOST. THEN THEY CHALLENGED THAT
2 STATEMENT AGAIN FOR A THIRD TIME IN FRONT OF THIS COURT
3 AND LOST. AND I'M NOT SURE WHEN THEY CHALLENGED THE
4 STATEMENT BY WAY OF A WRIT IN FRONT OF YET ANOTHER COURT.
5 BUT THEY LOST AGAIN. SO THEY HAVE ALREADY LOST THIS
6 CHALLENGE FOUR TIMES. AND THE FACTS HAVEN'T CHANGED. THE
7 LAW HASN'T CHANGED, SO SINCE THEY ARE MAKING A MOTION FOR
8 THE FIFTH TIME I ASK THAT THE SAME RESULT OCCUR. THEY
9 SHOULD LOSE.

10 THE COURT: IS THE MATTER SUBMITTED?

11 MR. HING: YES.

12 THE COURT: MR. LINEHAN?

13 MR. LINEHAN: YES.

14 THE COURT: IN THE COURT'S VIEW THIS WAS NOT A
15 CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION. THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IS
16 DENIED.

17 IS THERE ANY OTHER LEGAL CAUSE WHY JUDGMENT
18 SHOULD NOT NOW BE PRONOUNCED?

19 MR. LINEHAN: MY CLIENT WISHES TO MAKE A
20 STATEMENT, YOUR HONOR.

21 THE COURT: OF COURSE. MR. SINGH?

22 THE DEFENDANT: THIS MORNING ON THE WAY HERE ON
23 THE RADIO I HEARD THAT GLEN "BUDDY" NICKERSON AFTER
24 FIGHTING FOR 15 YEARS WAS RELEASED. HE WAS CONVICTED OF A
25 DOUBLE HOMICIDE BUT THE QUOTE JUDGE MARILYN PATEL USED
26 STATED AS SUCH: EVIDENCE THAT "STRONGLY SUGGESTS THAT THE
27 TRIAL WHICH RESULTED IN A CONVICTION WAS MARKED BY
28 SUPPRESSION AND DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE AND PERJURY BY THE

1 STATE'S INVESTIGATORS."

2 IN THIS TRIAL WE HEARD A WITNESS WAS ASLEEP IN
3 THE PARKING LOT WHERE THE SHOOTINGS TOOK PLACE, WITNESS BY
4 THE NAME OF ROBERT KOLKMANN. HE WAS ASLEEP IN HIS CAR.
5 HE SAID QUOTE I RECALL SLEEPING, THEN BEING WOKE UP BY
6 POPPING SOUNDS. AT SOME POINT CONTEMPORANEOUS TO THE POPS
7 THE BLACK CAR LEFT. I SAW THREE TO FOUR OCCUPANTS FLEEING
8 FROM THE CRIME SCENE. I COULDN'T HAVE SAW PEOPLE FLEEING
9 AND SEE THE BLACK CAR RACING AWAY BEFORE THE POPS BECAUSE
10 I WAS ASLEEP.

11 THIS MAN SAW THREE TO FOUR PEOPLE. THE POLICE
12 NEVER SHOWED HIM A LINEUP, NEVER GAVE HIM A CHANCE. WHEN
13 HE CAME HERE AND PARTICIPATED DURING THE TRIAL AS A
14 WITNESS HE DID SOMETHING THAT THE TRANSCRIPTS DO NOT
15 CAPTURE. HE LOOKED AT ME AND THE EXPRESSION IN HIS EYES
16 WAS WOW, THIS WASN'T ONE OF THE THREE TO FOUR PEOPLE I SAW
17 FLEEING FROM THE CRIME.

18 LATER WE FIND OUT THAT THERE'S A FOOD 4 LESS
19 RECEIPT WHERE ROSHIMA AND MIKE-MIKE HAD GONE SHOPPING, THE
20 VIDEO SURVEILLANCE THAT COULD PROVE MY INNOCENCE WAS
21 DESTROYED. THE HOMICIDE DETECTIVE EDWARD MUNIZ OBTAINED A
22 VIDEOTAPE OF THE STORE. THIS WAS OBTAINED BECAUSE THE
23 NAME OF THE CLERK, VICTOR SEELY, WHO MANNED THE CASH
24 REGISTER WHERE ROSHIMA WENT TO PURCHASE THE BABY FOOD WAS
25 SHOWN THE LINEUP. AGAIN, HE COULD NOT PICK OUT. THIS IS
26 SOMEONE WHO CAME IN DIRECT CONTACT WITH ROSHIMA AND THIS
27 WAS THE PERSON WHO THAT DID NOT PICK ANYONE, HE COULD NOT
28 PICK ANYONE, SO WE HAD TO RELY ON THE VIDEOTAPE. IT WAS

1 DESTROYED. WHY WAS THE ONLY SECTION DESTROYED WAS FROM
2 MIDNIGHT TO 2:00 A.M., ABOUT THE CRUCIAL TIME IN QUESTION?

3 MICHELLE FOX HAS BEEN THE ISSUE IN THIS CASE.

4 SHE CAME IN HERE AND SHE COMMITTED PERJURY. HER HUSBAND
5 OWNS THE LAB. DURING PRETRIAL MOTIONS WHEN SHE TOLD THE
6 COURT SHE LIED SHE WAS ASKED BY MR. LINEHAN, MY ATTORNEY,
7 HOW DO WE KNOW THIS IS AN ISOLATED INCIDENT? SHE
8 RESPONDED YOU DON'T. IT LATER CAME OR ABOUT THE SAME TIME
9 THAT AGAIN HER HUSBAND OWNS THE LAB, A SEMEN SAMPLE WAS
10 TAKEN. IT WAS TESTED, AND NO MATTER WHAT IS SAID ABOUT IT
11 BEING TESTED AND THE IMPORTANCE OF IT OR NOT, THE SEMEN
12 SAMPLE YIELDED THE RESULTS OF INCONCLUSIVE. WHY WAS IT
13 NOT TESTED AGAIN? THE TESTS WERE INCONCLUSIVE. HOW
14 CONVENIENT FOR THE PROSECUTION SINCE IT'S NOT MINE. WHY
15 CAN IT NOT BE TESTED AGAIN?

16 I'M LOOKING IN THE AUDIENCE AND I DO NOT SEE
17 WILLIE WILLIAMS HERE. A DESCRIPTION OF THE CAR SEEN
18 FLEEING FROM THE CRIME SCENE MATCHED HIS CAR. HIS HANDS
19 TESTED POSITIVE FOR MATERIAL CONSISTENT WITH GUNSHOT
20 RESIDUE. HIS ALIBI WAS HE WAS SLEEPING. HOW DO YOU GET
21 GUNSHOT RESIDUE ON YOUR HANDS WHILE YOU ARE SLEEPING? WE
22 KNOW, AND THIS CAME ABOUT DURING THE TRIAL TESTIMONY, THAT
23 WILLIE WILLIAMS IN AN EVENING OF FUN WENT OUT WITH A
24 FRIEND. HE WENT OUT WITH A FRIEND AND KIDNAPPED A
25 PROSTITUTE. HE ATTEMPTED TO RAPE HER. SHE STRUGGLED, IN
26 THE ENSUING STRUGGLE A CAR ACCIDENT HAPPENED. SHE GOT
27 AWAY WHERE ROSHIMA DID NOT GET AWAY FROM HIM. HE HAS AN
28 EXTENSIVE CRIMINAL PAST. HIS CRIMINAL PAST WAS NOT

1 CHECKED BY THE HAYWARD POLICE.

2 THERE IS A CONFESSIONAL THING THE JURY DID NOT
3 HEAR. THIS WAS RECORDED ON THE BUSINESS ANSWERING MACHINE
4 OWNED BY ROSHIMA'S MOM. THE HAIR SHOP. THE CALL WAS MADE
5 AFTER MY ARREST. I WAS ARRESTED ON THE 1ST. THE CALL WAS
6 MADE ON WEDNESDAY THE 4TH, 12-4-96. THE CALLER BRAGGED,
7 HE LAUGHINGLY CLAIMED HE COMMITTED THE CRIME. HE KNEW HE
8 WOULDN'T GET CAUGHT OR TAKEN SERIOUSLY BECAUSE I WAS
9 ALREADY ARRESTED. THIS IS NOT JUST ANY PSYCHO CALLING THE
10 LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT. RATHER, THIS IS SOMEONE WHO KNEW
11 ALL THE DOTS AND RELATIONS WITHIN.

12 THE CALLER ALSO USED A NICKNAME KNOWN ONLY TO
13 CLOSE FRIENDS AND FAMILY. THIS NICKNAME WAS DISCOVERED BY
14 US, THE DEFENSE, DURING PRETRIAL MOTIONS. AFTER LISTENING
15 TO THE TAPE SEVERAL TIMES, NUMEROUS TIMES, WE DISCOVERED
16 THAT THE TAPE WAS PLAYED FAST, YOU CAN'T TELL, YOU HAVE TO
17 SLOW IT DOWN AND LISTEN TO IT AND ONLY THEN DO YOU KNOW
18 THAT A NICKNAME WAS USED. AS A JOKE SOMEONE LEFT A PHONE
19 NUMBER, IT'S HARD TO DECIPHER, BUT AGAIN IT'S A LOCAL
20 PREFIX. SOMEONE PUT ALL THESE DOTS TOGETHER.

21 BASED ON TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS IT BECAME KNOWN THAT
22 QUITE PROBABLY A VIOLENT STRUGGLE OCCURRED IN THE
23 COMMISSION OF THIS HORRIBLE, HORRIBLE CRIME. THAT COUPLED
24 WITH THE FACT THAT RECENTLY, DECEMBER OF 2000, A GUN WAS
25 FOUND AT THE MURDER SCENE. THE SAME EYEWITNESS, KOLKMANN,
26 THAT SAW THREE TO FOUR PEOPLE FLEEING THE CRIME SCENE WHO
27 AWOKE TO GUNSHOTS, IT IS CREDENCE LENT TO THE THEORY OF
28 THREE TO FOUR PEOPLE FLEEING ALTHOUGH THE GUN WAS TESTED

1 AND WAS LATER REVEALED IT WAS NOT THE MURDER WEAPON. ONE
2 OF THE OTHER ASSAILANTS COULD HAVE POSSIBLY BEEN ARMED AND
3 DROPPED IT WHILE FLEEING TO GET INTO THE BLACK CAR THAT
4 SPED AWAY FROM THE SCENE OF THE CRIME.

5 THE POLICE NEVER DID THEIR JOB. NOT ONLY DID
6 THEY NOT CHECK WILLIE WILLIAMS'S BACKGROUND, THEY DID NOT
7 THOROUGHLY SEARCH THE CRIME SCENE. WE HAVE ALL HEARD
8 CASES WHERE BODIES ARE FOUND A COUPLE YEARS LATER. IN
9 THIS CASE A WEAPON WAS FOUND YEARS LATER. THEY DID HAVE A
10 RUSH TO JUDGMENT TO ARREST ME, HOWEVER.

11 A LOT OF PEOPLE SAY THEY ARE INNOCENT BUT WE SAY
12 THAT TOO MANY PEOPLE SAY THAT TO LEND CREDENCE BECAUSE YOU
13 HAVE TO PROVE BEYOND ANY DOUBT, AND THAT IS QUITE A
14 DIFFICULT TASK TO PROVE. BUT HOW MANY THINGS THAT COULD
15 PROVE MY INNOCENCE BEYOND ANY DOUBT HAS BEEN ALTERED,
16 DESTROYED OR SOMEHOW COME UP MISSING UNDER QUESTIONABLE
17 CIRCUMSTANCES?

18 AFTER I FINISH SPEAKING I'M SURE MANY PEOPLE
19 WILL SPEAK. THE PROSECUTOR CAN SAY WHATEVER HE WANTS, BUT
20 NO MATTER WHAT RHETORIC HE SPEWS FORTH IT CAN'T AND WON'T
21 NEGATE CERTAIN VITAL AND CRUCIAL ISSUES SURROUNDING THE
22 CASE AND EACH ASPECT AS WELL AS THE TOTALITY OF
23 CIRCUMSTANCES.

24 I HAVE NOW SEEN HOW THE SYSTEM HAS WRONGLY DID
25 DR. SAM SHEPPARD IN OHIO AND RUBEN "HURRICANE" CARTER.
26 THE DEFENSE, WE, WE HAD TO MAKE THE BEST OUT OF THE WORST.
27 THE TRIAL AGAINST ME WAS A MOCKERY OF JUSTICE. GLEN
28 NICKERSON WAS RELEASED, BUT FOR 15 YEARS AND DURING HIS

1 TRIAL HE HAD TO ENDURE THE HATRED OF THE VICTIM'S FAMILY
2 BECAUSE THEY THOUGHT HE DID IT. HE IS NOW FREE.

3 THE PROSECUTION HAD NO RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS
4 FILED BY THE DEFENSE. THIS EVIDENCE WAS UNEARTHED LATER.
5 OUR MOTIONS WERE NOT GRANTED BECAUSE THEY ARE ALWAYS ARE
6 ROUTINELY DENIED, AND THE PROSECUTORS KNOW THAT. JUST
7 YESTERDAY IN THIS VERY COURTHOUSE A MAN WHO IS FACING A
8 CHARGE OF RAPE CAME IN HERE AND HE IS ALSO FIGHTING FOR A
9 MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL. THE PROSECUTOR IN THAT CASE HAD TO
10 GO GET AN ATTORNEY BECAUSE HE OR SHE SUBORNED PERJURED
11 TESTIMONY.

12 IN MY FOUR AND A HALF YEARS WHILE I HAVE BEEN
13 INCARCERATED I HAVE SEEN MANY THINGS. I HAVE SEEN PEOPLE
14 COME, FIGHT CASES. I HAVE ENDURED A LOT BUT I'VE ALSO
15 SEEN ANOTHER MAN FIGHTING A RAPE CHARGE. THE WOMAN WHO
16 TESTIFIED AGAINST HIM AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING LATER SHE
17 HAD A DIARY IN WHICH SHE WROTE DURING THE CRUCIAL TIME I
18 SENT A MAN UP TODAY. I LIED DURING A HEARING. THESE ARE
19 THE CASES THAT COME THROUGH COURTHOUSES. UNLESS THAT
20 GIRL'S MOTHER DID NOT TURN IN THAT DIARY THAT ISSUE WOULD
21 HAVE NEVER COME TO LIGHT. THERE ARE CERTAIN ISSUES THAT
22 WILL NEVER COME TO LIGHT BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY TO GET TO
23 THEM. IT'S NO WAY UNLESS SOMEONE, FOR EXAMPLE, LIKE IN
24 THE RAPE CASE I JUST MENTIONED, THAT GIRL'S MOM, SHE MADE
25 HERSELF HAVE THE HEART TO TURN THAT OVER TO THE DEFENSE.

26 RECENTLY IN TEXAS THIS WEEK'S TIME MAGAZINE,
27 WHEN THE EVIDENCE LIES, FORENSIC SCIENTISTS ARE LYING ALL
28 THE TIME, IT IS NOW REVEALED. WHEN MICHELLE FOX LIED SHE

1 LIED DURING A PRELIMINARY HEARING IN FRONT OF JUDGE GARY
2 PICETTI DURING 1997 AND DID NOT COME TO LIGHT UNTIL THIS
3 YEAR. THE D.A. SHE CHOSE TO CONTACT WHEN SHE FIRST MADE
4 THOSE ALLEGATIONS WAS A DIFFERENT DEPUTY DISTRICT
5 ATTORNEY, NOT MR. HING, BUT SOMEONE ELSE.

6 AGAIN, A QUOTE USED WHEN JUDGE MARILYN PATEL
7 FREED GLEN NICKERSON AFTER 15 YEARS IN HIS BATTLE FOR
8 JUSTICE SHE SAID RECENT EVENTS TAKEN TOGETHER RAISE
9 SERIOUS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CONFIDENCE THAT CAN BE
10 ACCORDED IN THIS CONVICTION.

11 I WILL NEVER STOP FIGHTING FOR MY INNOCENCE.
12 EVEN MORE RECENTLY, MAY 29, 2001, THERE'S ANOTHER MURDER
13 TRIAL CURRENTLY HAPPENING IN HAYWARD. A JUDGE TOLD
14 MICHELLE FOX, AND I QUOTE, I WILL NOT QUALIFY YOU AS AN
15 EXPERT IN ANYTHING AND BANNED HER FROM TESTIFYING IN THAT
16 MURDER TRIAL. THE NAME OF THAT JUDGE, JUDGE GARY PICETTI,
17 THE SAME JUDGE THAT WAS MY PRELIMINARY HEARING JUDGE.

18 THE GUN FOUND AT THE SCENE OF THE CRIME, AGAIN,
19 ALTHOUGH NOT ACTUAL MURDER WEAPON, IT LENDS CREDENCE OF
20 KOLKMANN'S EYEWITNESS, THE ONLY EYEWITNESS TESTIMONY OF
21 SEEING THREE TO FOUR PEOPLE FLEE THE CRIME SCENE.

22 ANOTHER ISSUE THAT HAS COME TO LIGHT SINCE MY
23 CONVICTION IS DURING THE TIME OF THE MURDERS WILLIE
24 WILLIAMS WAS ENGAGED TO ANOTHER WOMAN WHILE HE WAS LIVING
25 WITH ROSHIMA. HE WAS ENGAGED TO HER AND SHE WAS PREGNANT,
26 AND THAT WAS A REPEATED SOURCE OF CONFLICT. THIS IS THE
27 STUFF THAT BAREFIELD WAS -- SHE WAS -- EVERY TIME SHE WAS
28 ASKED ABOUT ANY COUNSELING BETWEEN ROSHIMA AND WILLIE

1 WILLIAMS SAYING THEY ARE ALWAYS ARGUING ABOUT THAT STUPID
2 STUFF QUOTE.

3 FINGERPRINTS. SEVERAL DETECTIVES TESTIFIED THAT
4 MY PRINTS WERE ON A SET OF PAPERS. THESE SET OF PAPERS
5 ARE CUSTODY PAPERS. THIS IS WHAT INMATES HAVE TO DEAL
6 WITH. WHEN THE FINGERPRINT TECHNICIAN TOOK THE STAND NOT
7 ONLY DID HE SAY NONE OF MY FINGERPRINTS WERE ON THE PAPERS
8 IN QUESTION, HE REPUTED THE TESTIMONY OF THREE HOMICIDE
9 DETECTIVES THAT SAID MY FINGERPRINTS WERE ON THE PAPER OR
10 THAT THEY FOUND THEM. THERE ARE WRITERS EVERYWHERE, NOT
11 JUST IN OAKLAND, AS WE ARE HEARING IN TRIAL COURT.

12 I DON'T KNOW WHAT ELSE I CAN SAY. I'VE SAID IT
13 BEFORE AND I'LL SAY IT AGAIN, I'M INNOCENT. I DON'T KNOW
14 WHAT POSSIBLY I CAN DO TO THE VICTIM'S FAMILY. I DIDN'T
15 DO THIS HORRIBLE CRIME. YOUR ANGER IN ME IS MISPLACED.
16 JUST LIKE ALL THESE PEOPLE IN HERE WHO ARE WRONGLY
17 CHARGED, TRIED AND CONVICTED, THEY TOO FACE VICTIMS'
18 FAMILIES IN TRIAL. SHERRIE, YOU KNOW THE TYPE OF PERSON I
19 AM AND THE TYPE OF PERSON I WAS WHEN I DATED YOUR
20 DAUGHTER. YOU KNOW THAT. I YOU KNOW I ALWAYS TREATED HER
21 WITH RESPECT.

22 DURING TRIAL I DIDN'T WANT TO BRING THIS ISSUE
23 UP BECAUSE IT INVOLVED ROSHIMA'S DAUGHTER, BUT I FEEL
24 COMPELLED TO SAY DURING TRIAL I MENTIONED ABOUT TRIAL
25 TRANSCRIPTS CAPTURE AND DON'T CAPTURE. MR. HING WAS GOING
26 TO PRESENT THE TESTIMONY OF SACHE, ROSHIMA'S OLDER
27 DAUGHTER. SHE HAD SAID A FEW THINGS ABOUT SOMEONE NAMED
28 MICHAEL MISTREATING HER MOTHER. WHEN SHE TOOK THAT STAND

1 THE FIRST THING SHE DID WAS LOOK AT ME AND SMILE. THE
2 MICHAEL SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS HER OWN FATHER BEATING
3 HER MOM. THAT GOES TO SHOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
4 PERCEPTION AND REALITY AND HOW LITTLE THINGS NEED TO BE
5 THOROUGHLY RESEARCHED BEFORE ANYONE IS CHARGED. I WAS
6 FACING THE DEATH PENALTY.

7 IT HAS BEEN AN EXTREMELY DIFFICULT AND TRYING
8 TIME FOR EVERYONE INVOLVED AND BY NO MEANS -- AND BY NO
9 MEANS IS IT JUST DIFFICULT FOR ONE PERSON'S FAMILY, IT'S
10 DIFFICULT FOR BOTH THE VICTIMS' FAMILY AND IT'S DIFFICULT
11 FOR MY FAMILY AS WELL. BUT I AM INNOCENT. AND I'VE SAID
12 IT BEFORE AND I WILL SAY IT AGAIN AND I WILL ALWAYS FIGHT
13 FOR MY INNOCENCE. I WILL NOT GIVE UP.

14 IN CONCLUSION, I'D LIKE TO THANK MY FAMILY FOR
15 ALL THEIR LOVE, SUPPORT AND ALL THE OTHER SUPPORTERS IN
16 THE AUDIENCE FOR REPEATEDLY HELPING ME, OFFERING ME
17 ENCOURAGING WORDS. I LOVE YOU ALL.

18 THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. SINGH.

19 MR. HING, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER? IS THE
20 MATTER SUBMITTED?

21 MR. LINEHAN: I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A MEMBER
22 OF THE FAMILY WHO WISHES TO SPEAK. APPARENTLY THERE IS.

23 THE DEFENDANT'S AUNT: YOUR HONOR, I AM MICHAEL
24 SINGH'S AUNTIE. MICHAEL SINGH IS INNOCENT. HE DIDN'T DO
25 THAT. THE NIGHT MICHAEL WENT TO SEE ABDULLAH I WAS THERE
26 BECAUSE -- WHY I DIDN'T COME ON THE STAND BECAUSE I AM
27 REAL BLOOD TO HIM AND NOBODY WILL TAKE MY WORD, YOUR
28 HONOR. I'LL SAY EVEN THOUGH I LEAVE THIS COURT MY NEPHEW