

"Your opinions are valid and important. Unless it's some stupid bullshit you're being shitty about, in which case you can just go fuck yourself."  
- Genny Lawson, 'Furiously Happy'

Dear Readers,

Thurs. 04/27/23

3:05 p

Howdy all! I'm currently sitting in my bed in Club 28 listening to "The World Is Mine" by David Duetta.

Before I go further, I'd like to highly recommend that y'all check out Genny Lawson, from whom I got the above quote. I believe I mentioned her first book, "Let's Pretend This Never Happened," was probably the funniest book I've read.

Her second book, "Furiously Happy" is also funny, but it also has some serious parts that deal with her battle with mental illness. Even if you're only having a bad day, please check her out. You are not alone. Her website is: [THEBLOBLESS.COM](http://THEBLOBLESS.COM).

This afternoon we are currently locked in our cells 'cause the staff is having yet another one of their "Staff Appreciation Days" < gag > which means that we inmates need to be locked away. Naturally.

(2)

What makes this particular lockdown especially bad is that my unit has been locked down for over a week - since Monday the 17<sup>th</sup>!

On that day, some guys in here (ironically called 'Doc'), went to Medical & was tested for COVID, and it was positive. I was at work when all this happened. I was told that they tested guys in adjacent cells, but they were all negative.

Well, I was called back from work early on during lunch (which is when - about when - I normally get off (late lunch)), and we've been stuck in the unit since then. At least during this lockdown we were able to be in the common areas. We also ate separately at Chow.

Unlike the last time a unit was locked down for COVID - in February - they didn't bring the Kitchen workers back early. I technically could've gone in at Breakfast today, but stayed in (I usually ~~do~~ don't go to breakfast) and played hookie an extra day.

Man, this was a nice vacation. I didn't miss the Kitchen at all! I did miss going to the Library, however, as that is where I make the most. I have a lot of work to do when I get back.

3

Thurs. 05/11/23

Hey y'all! I know it's been a while. I've been very lax about writing. When I'm not working all I want to do is relax.

So... guess what happened yesterday? We were locked down again for another fucking Staff Appreciation Day! Are you fucking kidding me? During the morning we were allowed out in the common area of the unit, but right after ~~the~~ lunch we were locked in our cells until after the 4p "count." Then, after dinner, at around 7:15p, we were locked in our cells again. This time they came around and did "body checks" to look for evidence of fighting, so obviously someone must've gotten in a fight, but I still haven't heard details.

OK... so right now I'm going to give you a brief, boring explanation of the Administrative Remedy process (grievances), which is pertinent to my next subject.

If an inmate wants to file a complaint, he must first file a BPR, a "Request for Informal Resolution." A BPR doesn't count for much - obviously it's "informal." I believe that staff are supposed to provide a response in five business days (or so), but I'm not sure. I had one BPR sit on my counselor's desk for over 6 months + I never got a response. (Oh - my complaint then was on the fact that they weren't processing my complaints - go figure).

(4)

After you get the response to your BP8, you can "appeal" if you're not satisfied and file a BP9, which is really the first "official" complaint, and it goes to the warden.

Now, according to policy, you're supposed to file your BP9 within 20 days of the date of the incident you're complaining about (I'm going to refer back to this later). Common sense would tell you that if your counselor takes two weeks to get you a response to your BP8, you should be allowed that extra time to file your BP9, but policy doesn't mention that and "common sense" is a foreign concept to BOP staff.

I failed to mention that you're required to attach the BP8 to the BP9 before filing the "9." This applies in each step of the appeal process - which I'm getting to.

The warden has 30 days to respond to your BP9 after its receipt and has the option to take a 30-day extension of time to respond. (Every office in the appeal process takes an extra 30 days to respond).

One other thing I forgot to mention is that it states in BOP policy that if an inmate files their BP9 late ("untimely"), they can provide a memo from staff to excuse any "untimeliness."

Thurs. 05/18/23 9:40 A

Howdy! I'm back at work after a wonderful, short, two days off. I'm really starting to hate this job.

All right... I'm going to back up again - which I hate to do - but I learned some additional information since I last wrote.

On page 2 of this blog entry, I mentioned our latest COVID lockdown. That guy, "Doc", got out on day 1<sup>st</sup> or so and I recently spoke with him to clarify some things.

He went to Medical for a heart issue. An outside (consultant) doctor recommended he be sent to the hospital for some tests. As. Vasquez, the Health Services Administrator (HSA), told him something about how they didn't want to take him 'cause there was no staff available. (According to Inmate.com, the HSA has no medical experience, she's just a paper-pusher).

They went ahead and tested 'Doc' for COVID (which they do for inmates going on any trips), and he tested negative. According to Doc, the HSA and another Medical staff member went back to an office and left him to wait for 20 minutes or so, then came back and told him he tested "positive" after all, but I believe they called the results "inconclusive."

Then they promptly locked him in the horrible SHU

(6)

(the "Hole") for 15 days and locked our unit down.

Doe said that he suspects they did this solely to get the extra funding the government gives out to institutions who have COVID inmates. I'm sure he's right.

OK... back to the grievance process.

After you file your BP9, you have the option to appeal the Warden's response. This is called a BP10, and it goes to the Regional Office - which in this case is in Maryland. You must attach your BP9 and the Warden's response to your BP10.

Again, if you're not happy with the Region's response, you can appeal to the Central Office in Washington, D.C., by filing a BP11 and attaching all the previous BP9 + 10 paperwork.

In the 19 years I've been in prison, only one time have I gotten a different response from the Region than from the Warden. Generally, the Region + Central offices ~~and~~ rubberstamp anything the Warden says in his response.

Further, under the PLRA (Prison Litigation Reform (?) Act) signed into law by Pres. Clinton (thanks a lot, Bill), inmates are required to go through this whole process before filing a lawsuit in

(7)

court.

Now, why did I subject you to all this boring info? Well... if you will recall my posting from February (?), I wrote about being harassed by Arrington of Computer Services.

Since I'm not one to put up with being harassed, I filed a BPG against her and turned it into my counselor on Jan. 5. My counselor kept it - despite repeated requests - until Jan. 26 before I got a response, and I filed my BPG the very next day, the 27<sup>th</sup>.

But... the events I complained about happened on Jan. 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>. So, despite the fact that the BPG sat on my counselor's desk for 21 days, my complaint was rejected as "untimely," as my BPG was turned in over 20 days past the incident.

After two months of trying to work this out, my unit manager finally sent a memo to the Administrative Remedy Coordinator (ARC) to excuse my "untimeliness," and although I don't believe there's a policy that allows the ARC to reject it a second time after a memo has been provided, this bitch still refused to process my complaint - as did Mr. Bienemy, the Executive Assistant.

8

Sat. 05/20/23 9:43A

Hey there... I'm back at work and hope to get more of this done.

So... after they refused to process my complaints - which meant that bitch Arrington could get away with her harassment - I was PISSED. I pulled out another old complaint out of my legal file where they did the same exact thing: refused to process complaints over after I had a staff member provide a memo excusing "untimeliness."

I had debated back and forth about whether I would pursue that claim in court - and most likely would have blown it off - but it was most definitely "on" now. This was war.

You have two years from the date of the final appeal response (or rejection) to file a lawsuit. I checked my forms and - SHIT! - my due date was the following week! So I busted my ass and typed up a civil lawsuit and got it in ~~the~~ the mail right on the last day.

In the meantime, I filed two more complaints - this time against the ARC and Bienemy for refusing to process my complaints. I wrote up the BP's and gave them to my counselor, who, I learned the following week, would be gone for two more weeks!



(9)

This meant that my BP8s would sit on his desk and not get processed in time. Again!

Ah, hell no!!

Q (wisely) had made copies of my BP8s. I went ahead and typed up two BP9s to go with the 8s, and turned everything in to my case manager. By my reckoning, the "incident" occurred on April 7<sup>th</sup> when I received the second rejection notice of my complaints against Arrington. I turned in my BP8s + 9s to my case manager on April 21<sup>st</sup>. The unit manager signed off on my BP8 on April 25<sup>th</sup>, and the unit team is responsible for turning in complaints to the Warden.

So, I turned everything in, the last form was signed on April 25<sup>th</sup>, the assumed due date was April 27<sup>th</sup> - you'd think everything would be fine, right? < annoying buzzer sound > Wrong.

For "some reason", the ARC didn't receive my BP9s until day 3 - over a week later. At least that's what she wrote on the forms - right before she rejected them as "untimely" yet again.

These people are slimy and crooked as fuck.

When I appealed the complaints against Arrington to the Regional Office and wrote that I had a memo from staff to excuse untimeliness, they still rejected them. Their reason? Because I didn't attach the response from

the Warden (yes, the rejection notice was sent).

Slimy and crooked. And these are the people responsible for overseeing our "rehabilitation." Yea, right.

This is just one small example of the evil and corruption of BOP staff. This is why I am so very bitter after all these years. There's no way you can say that these people are here to help or "rehabilitate" us.

And yet, courts will generally rule in favor of prison staff regarding most lawsuits. The 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit has even claimed that BOP staff don't have to follow their own rules! (This rule contradicts a previous ruling, but they're breaking their own rules to favor the BOP. And for the record, I am currently in the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit).

Which brings me to my lawsuit. In theory, the main reason for my suit is 'cause I was illegally charged a \$2 co-pay by Medical, but between you and me, the real reason is their refusal to process my complaints.

The Medical Dept. here has a history of illegally charging inmates a \$2 co-pay, so I'm asking for a complete audit.

I'm also accusing BOP staff of violating my constitutional rights by not processing my complaints, and further violating the Code of Federal Regulations and the P.L.R.A. This claim I'm going to have a much harder time with. Plus, I'm not only suing local staff, I also named the Regional Office and the Central Office as

(11)

defendants 'cause there is no oversight throughout the entire BOP (obviously).

Now, if there's anyone out there reading this who knows of a case where prison staff were held liable for refusing to process grievances, please let me know! I haven't found one yet. That's why I threw in the "violating the P.L.R.A." claim 'cause I'm assuming that since inmates are required to follow the P.L.R.A., one would think that Congress puts some requirements in the P.L.R.A. for prison staff to follow regarding processing grievances. Right?

Of course, this is the U.S. so maybe not.

Any assistance or sharing of knowledge would be greatly appreciated!

Mon. 05/22/23 9:35A

Howdy! I'm hoping I can finish this and get it in the mail 'cause I know it's been a while.

One other thing about filing a lawsuit in court: it costs. This is going to cost me about \$400-450 in filing fees - plus all the typewriter ribbons, paper, copy cards, etc. That is what prison staff counts on (plus general lack of legal knowledge on the part of the inmate and/or a general lack of drive or tenacity).

So, if they refuse to process grievances, they count

(12)

on inmates not filing a lawsuit so prison staff can get away with anything they want - including assault.

Obviously I don't have \$400 in my account - I can't even afford a tablet - which means I filed "in forma pauperis." That basically means "I'm too poor to pay," so the court arranges with the prison to take out 20% of any deposits into my account until the court fees are paid.

No, I'm paying \$400 to get \$2 back. But I hope you realize that this is more to make a point.

If I win, I hope to get a complete audit of Medical, plus a change in the grievance process.

Prayers are most definitely welcome!

I am enclosing copies of some of the pages of my legal complaint (pgs. 5-12), plus three of the exhibits (A, L and H). I included these to show you the lengths these people will go through to obstruct us from filing grievances. Exhibit A shows my initial email to Medical to point out that what they did was illegal and the contempt staff here have for the law. (Note: Read from the bottom up). Exhibit L is the counselor expressing "untimeliness" and exhibit H shows staff's refusal to process my complaint even after I provided a memo.

Slimy-ass people.

I do realize the legal complaint is kinda boring, but

13

it spells out all the hoops I had to jump through to try to get my grievance processed to no avail. Also, please keep in mind that I am not an attorney nor do I have any legal training, so my legal complaint could probably use some work. For an unskilled inmate, it can't be too bad, though. (I copied someone else's format).

Take care of yourselves, and until next time I wish you...

Love & Blessings,



is a correctional officer of the FBOP, and is personally responsible for reviewing all inmate complaints.

#### IV. FACTS

14. All relevant events in this case took place between November 8, 2019, and April 5, 2021.

15. On or about November 8, 2019, Plaintiff Jones was seen during an emergency visit at the Medical Department at FCC-Petersburg after having a seizure and hitting his head.

16. On or about November 10, 2019, Plaintiff asked his unit counselor, Mr. Simon, for a BP-8 (Administrative Remedy Attempt at Informal Resolution) to file a complaint regarding a \$2 co-pay from Medical which appeared on his inmate account.

3. Plaintiff Jones was asked by his unit counselor to attempt to informally resolve this matter with Medical staff prior to filing a BP-8.

4. On November 10, 2019, Jones emailed Health Services in an attempt to informally resolve the \$2 co-pay which appeared on his inmate account.

5. This email went back and forth until November 20, 2019, when Ms. Beasley, of Health Services (Defendant), finally responded "charge visit;" despite the fact that Jones pointed out that it was illegal to charge him for an emergency visit. (See Exhibit A. NOTE: Read from the bottom up)

6. Again, on or about November 20, 2019, Jones spoke with Counselor Simon, who asked Jones to speak with Defendant Beasley in person prior to filing a BP-8.

7. On December 5, 2019, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant Beasley at the lunchtime meal as requested, and was again denied.

8. On December 5, 2019, Jones filed his initial BP-8 with Counselor Simon. (Exhibit B)

9. On December 13, 2019, Plaintiff received the response to his BP-8, which was denied, and proceeded to file his BP-9 (Institutional Administrative Remedy Complaint ("ARC")) on December 14, 2019. (Exhibit C, ARC #1000721)

10. On December 19, 2019, Plaintiff received a Rejection Notice from the Administrative Remedy Coordinator (Defendant), claiming his complaint was "untimely." (Exhibit D)

11. On or about December 20, 2019, Plaintiff sent a Memo to the Defendant Administrative Remedy Coordinator ("Coordinator") quoting the FBOP policy regarding informal resolution, (copy unavailable), which states in part:

"The Warden is responsible for ensuring that effective informal resolution procedures are in place and that good faith attempts at informal resolution are made in an orderly and timely manner by both inmates and staff. These procedures may not operate to limit inmate access to formal filing of a Request."

(Informal Resolution, § 542.13, emphasis added)

"When deciding whether to reject a submission, Coordinators, especially at the institution level, should be flexible.... Thus, for example, consideration should be given to accepting a Request or Appeal that raises a sensitive or problematic issue, such as medical treatment, sentence computation, or staff misconduct, even though that submission may be somewhat untimely."

(Resubmission § 542.17, ¶ 3, "Criteria for Rejection", emphasis added)

12. The Defendant Coordinator again rejected Jones' complaint as "untimely." (copy unavailable)

13. On January 25, 2020, Jones appealed the rejection of his Institutional ARC to the FBOP Mid-Atlantic Regional Office (Regional Office) (Exhibit E)
14. This appeal was also subsequently rejected as "untimely." (copy unavailable, see FOIA, Exhibit F)
15. The Plaintiff appealed the Regional Office's rejection to the FBOP Central Office ("Central Office"), which was subsequently rejected as "untimely," and was instructed to provide a memo from staff excusing his "untimeliness." (copies unavailable, see Exhibit F)
16. After receiving his rejection notice from the Central Office, Plaintiff immediately asked Counselor Simon to provide a memo excusing his untimeliness, which Simon provided, and Plaintiff returned the entire package of ARCs to Simon for refiling with Defendant Coordinator.
17. From June 9, 2020, to August 11, 2020, Plaintiff sent a series of email requests to the Defendant Coordinator, again requesting the status of his complaint. (See Exhibit G, read from the bottom up).
18. After several months, Plaintiff filed another BP-8 to complain about the "lost" ARC (#1000721), and the Defendant Coordinator's refusal to respond to emails or provide a receipt.
19. On or about November 19, 2020, Plaintiff received a response to his second BP-8, suggesting that he refile his original complaint. (See Exhibit H)
20. On December 4, 2020 through February 25, 2021, Plaintiff sent another series of emails to Counselor Simon and the Defendant



Coordinator attempting to get his complaint processed. (Exhibit I, read from the bottom up)

21. On January 13, 2021, Plaintiff filed a second successive BP-9 with the Defendant Coordinator attempting to get his complaint processed. (ARC #1066063)(Exhibit J)

22. On or about January 25, 2021, the Defendant Coordinator again rejected Plaintiff's complaint. (Exhibit K)

23. On January 28, 2021, Plaintiff sent an "Inmate Request to Staff" to Counselor Simon asking him to provide a second memo excusing Plaintiff's "untimeliness," as the first memo, along with the entire package for complaint #1000721, had somehow been "lost." Simon provided a memo in his response dated February 2, 2021. (Exhibit L)

24. On or about February 3, 2021, Plaintiff resubmitted ARC #1066063, along with Simon's response excusing his "untimeliness."

25. On February 4, 2021, the Defendant Coordinator again rejected Plaintiff's ARC, stating "File a tort claim Rejection stands 2/4/2021." (Exhibit M)

26. On February 7, 2021, Plaintiff appealed the Institutional rejection of his ARC to the Regional Office, including the staff memo from Simon and the signed Rejection Notice by the Defendant Coordinator, stating:

"The Administrative Remedy Coordinator refused to process this complaint even after I had a staff member write that it was filed late because he asked me to informally resolve it first--which by itself is allowed by policy. This is not a tort claim issue. This complaint is against B.O.P. staff who are violating the law."

(Exhibit N)

27. Defendants Regional Director, and Regional Office Coordinator again rejected Plaintiff's complaint stating:

"Provide staff verification stating reason untimely filing was not your fault. You did not provide a copy of your institutional administrative remedy request...form or a copy of the...response from the Warden."

both of which were included with the Plaintiff's appeal to the Defendants Regional Director and Regional Coordinator.

(Exhibit O)

28. On March 3, 2021, Plaintiff appealed to the Central Office, including copies of the BP-9, BP-10, both Rejection Notices, the staff memo, and the local Coordinator's rejection. Jones' appeal stated:

"1. I did provide a memo from Couns. Simon stating reason for late filing, also enclosed here 2. I did provide a copy of the institution remedy request, also enclosed here with copies of institutional rejection notice (sent with BP10) and Regional Rejection Notice 3. Both institutional and Regional rejections are unwarranted and denial of access to courts...."

(Exhibit P)

29. On April 5, 2021, the Central Office Coordinator rejected Plaintiff's appeal, obviously not having read anything stated in his appeal or the copies provided. (Exhibit Q)

30. On July 15, 2021, Plaintiff requested copies of the original incident from Medical Records, showing he was attended by Sarah Ramsey, EMT, and co-signed by Katherine Laybourn, MD, both Defendants. (Exhibit R)

## V. LEGAL CLAIMS

31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-30.

32. By their own admission and according to records provided, the Plaintiff was seen by medical staff during an emergency visit and subsequently charged for that visit, in violation of the law.

33. This charge is in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 4048(b)(2) which states:

"The Director MAY NOT assess or collect a fee under this section for preventative health care services, EMERGENCY SERVICES, prenatal care diagnosis or treatment of chronic infectious diseases, mental health care, or substance abuse treatment, as determined by the Director."

(emphasis added)

34. As such, Defendants Health Services Administrator, who Plaintiff suggests set up this policy, AHSA Ms. Beasley, S. Ramsey (EMT), and K. Laybourn (MD), are all guilty of violating this statute.

35. In addition, Plaintiff suggests that the entire Medical Department at FCC-Petersburg routinely charges all inmates a \$2 co-pay for chronic care visits in violation of the law, as can be seen from other charges to Plaintiff's account, some of which have been resolved and some which haven't.

(See Exhibit S)

36. Further, Plaintiff suggests that medical staff routinely discard inmates' "Sick Call Forms" which are a part of each inmates' medical records, so that it can't be proven that they were seen for chronic care.

37. Plaintiff suggests that Defendants Warden (FCC-Petersburg), Administrative Remedy Coordinator (FCC-Petersburg), Regional Director, Administrative Remedy Coordinator (Regional Office), Director (Central Office), and Administrative Remedy Coordinator (Central Office), were all made aware of this violation of the law and are also guilty of violating 18 U.S.C. § 4048(b)(2), and/or conspiring with local staff to violate this law.

38. Defendants Warden (FCC-Petersburg), Coordinator (FCC-Petersburg), Regional Director, Coordinator (Regional Office), Director (Central Office) and Coordinator (Central Office), by refusing to process Plaintiff's Administrative Remedies, and deliberately blocking Plaintiff's attempts to seek redress from the prison through the prison grievance system, are retaliating against Plaintiff unlawfully in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the Constitution, and in violation of Plaintiff's First Amendment rights and his Fifth Amendment rights of due process and access to court.

39. In addition, the above named Defendants (¶¶ 37-38) are also guilty of violating the PLRA and the Code of Federal Regulations regarding the processing of inmate complaints and following the intent of Congress that inmates have a valid, functionable, and usable grievance system without obstruction.

40. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described herein. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by the conduct of the Defendants unless this Court grants the relief which Plaintiff seeks.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

41. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Honorable Court enter judgment granting Plaintiff:
42. A declaration that Defendants' acts and omissions described herein violated Plaintiff's rights under the Constitution and laws of the United States;
43. That the Court order that an outside agency audit the Medical Department at FCC-Petersburg regarding their procedures and all inmate co-pays;
44. Granting Plaintiff compensatory damages in the amount of \$2 plus 10% interest per diem, against each Defendant, jointly and severally;
45. Granting Plaintiff punitive damages in the amount of \$10,000.00 (United States Dollars) against each Defendant, jointly and severally;
46. That the Court order the Federal Bureau of Prisons, and FCC-Petersburg in particular, to overhaul the prison grievance system to bypass the deliberate obstruction of inmates' complaints by staff;
47. A jury trial on all issues tryable by jury;
48. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of all costs in this suit;
49. Any additional relief this Court deems just, proper, and equitable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF APRIL, 2023.

---

Kelly Jones

TRULINCS 55835004 - JONES, KELLY - Unit: PEM-B-S

---

FROM: Health Services  
TO: 55835004  
SUBJECT: RE:\*\*\*Inmate to Staff Message\*\*\*  
DATE: 11/20/2019 09:12:02 AM

charge visit

>>> ~^!"JONES, ~^!"KELLY" <55835004@inmatemessage.com> 11/19/2019 11:28 AM >>>  
To: Ms. Beasley  
Inmate Work Assignment: pound

According to Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 4048(b)(2): "The Director MAY NOT assess or collect a fee under this section for preventative health care services, EMERGENCY SERVICES, prenatal care, diagnosis or treatment of chronic infectious diseases, mental health care, or substance abuse treatment, as determined by the Director." (emphasis added)

You might consider refunding my \$2 immediately as charging me is against the law. I will be sure to point this out to the ACA during my interview. Thank you.

-----Health Services on 11/18/2019 6:57 AM wrote:

>

seen 11/12 by EMT after hours, this is a charged visit

>>> ~^!"JONES, ~^!"KELLY" <55835004@inmatemessage.com> 11/14/2019 11:44 AM >>>  
To: Ms. Beasley  
Inmate Work Assignment: pound

See below. This should be refunded. This is my attempt at informal resolution.

-----JONES, KELLY on 11/10/2019 8:28 AM wrote:

>

Why is there an inmate co-pay of \$2 on my account when I haven't been to sick call since last Spring??

START HERE ↗

EXHIBIT A

A

SEP 98

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <i>COUNS. SIMON - B-SOUTH</i>	DATE: <i>01-28-21</i>
FROM: <i>KELLY JONES</i>	REGISTER NO.: <i>55835-004</i>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>POUND</i>	UNIT: <i>CW</i>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

*SEE ENCLOSED. PLEASE PROVIDE A 2<sup>ND</sup> MEMO STATING THAT YOU ASKED ME TO INFORMALLY RESOLVE THIS & RESUBMIT. PLEASE ALSO SEND A COPY OF YOUR MEMO TO ME!*

*[Handwritten signature]*

(Do not write below this line)

DISPOSITION:

*I had told inmate K Jones 55835-004 to wait until he get a response from medical and by the time I received a response from medical his BP 8 was pass the dead line.*

*EXHIBIT L*

Signature Staff Member <i>Kenneth D. Simon</i>	Date <i>2/02/2021</i>
---	--------------------------

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate  
(This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86 and BP-S148.070 APR 94



REJECTION NOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: JANUARY 25, 2021

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR  
PETERSBURG MED FCI *epw*

*ON 89*

TO : KELLY JONES, 55835-004  
PETERSBURG MED FCI UNT: C QTR: C04-089L  
P.O. BOX 90026  
PETERSBURG, VA 23804

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 1066063-F1 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST  
DATE RECEIVED : JANUARY 22, 2021  
SUBJECT 1 : OTHER OPERATIONS  
SUBJECT 2 :  
INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOUR REQUEST IS UNTIMELY. INSTITUTION AND CCC REQUESTS (BP-09) MUST BE RECEIVED W/20 DAYS OF THE EVENT COMPLAINED ABOUT.

*File a tort claim  
Rejection stands 2/4/2021  
fio*

*EXHIBIT A*

*M*