

Acknowledging Public Claims of Innocence

On November 12th., I met with an attorney from a State agency that is mandated by law to represent indigent death row inmates - an agency that has condoned the practice of not rocking the boat per say - since they represent a good number of others on death row from their assigned district and do not want to upset the courts by being zealous when raising innocent issues in certain cases - so as not to jeopardize the chance of getting their other clients sentences reduced to 25 to life ... By law that is obstruction of justice and often causes the individual who's evidence of innocence that is being suppressed by their mandated State paid appellate attorney's - to file pro-se motions protesting the travesty of justice being imposed on them - My case is a prime example of a collective consensus of those within Florida's death penalty scheme having worked together at oppressing and suppressing the exculpatory evidence that exonerates me of any kind of murder - Which is why after receiving a letter of introduction from a newly appointed attorney from the State mandated agency - I responded with a copy of the following letter :

Greetings XXX

I have received your letter regarding your planned visit to the prison and wanting to meet with me - I am glad you been reading my blog and want to inquire more into my planned use of AI., and my charges based on substantial evidence of racketeering - the fact that you acknowledged that in writing is the motivation for me to meet with you and I look forward to our meeting on the 12h..

Sincerely, Milo Rose

I met attorney XXX, on the 12th., along with two others, paid by said State agency - we spoke for about one hour - with me asking the attorney to file a motion to compel my immediate release before Xmas of this year - since my blog which was made part of the appellate record by her acknowledging reading it and asking me about - my planned use of artificial intelligence and charges of racketeering - meant she could not deny the evidence shown (given) her - of the withheld exculpatory evidence in my case that has been suppressed and oppressed for over 43 years - All of which can be brought out simply by asking the question of Can withholding exculpatory evidence ever be condoned since it is evidence of being denied a fair and impartial trial ?!? The answer to that is unequivocally (NO) !!! Bringing to light that by the withholding of

exculpatory evidence and oppressing and suppressing it throughout the appellate process in my case amounts to racketeering (collective obstruction of justice) !

I left the meeting on the 12th., praying this attorney had the empathy of realizing she had the power to expedite matters and get me released before Xmas - now to see how things progress from here...

..... Hopefully - Milo Rose