

Carla,

Have you read the article about the guy in Utah, being awarded a new trial after four decades being on death row ? Once I am able to reach the court of public opinion - my case will have to be overturned too ! But as long as the people who profess to be against the death penalty refuse to help me prove the legal system here in Florida is corrupt - it will remain so - with their lack of support making them complicit and a joke - how can you ignore blatant evidence that Florida's death penalty scheme is a criminal enterprise and remain inactive while saying you oppose it ?!? That's being complicit !!! Yes, I am frustrated with people who claim to oppose the death penalty, but will allow an innocent man's cries for help go unanswered for any reason - You don't have to know the law - just put yourself in the jury box and condone convicting someone on false evidence and when the truth comes to light it is suppressed and oppressed by the same people that brought about the false conviction - Ignoring the fact you heard false and misleading evidence because the truth was suppressed and oppressed to begin with - when I stood midway through the farce and mockery of what was deemed my trial and shouted I was bringing railroaded - I wasn't joking !!! I knew the evidence being presented to the jury was false - but I had no way to disprove it because the true evidence was hidden from view and when it finally began coming to light it got suppressed and opposed too - proving the legal system to be corrupt - (Racketeers) Able to oppress and suppress the evidence against it - which is why - the only way I can bring this truth to light is to reach the court of public opinion - and bringing to light the evidence the jury that convicted me didn't hear because it discredited the false evidence they heard in order to obtain a false conviction against me : For example the jury heard three of the four eyewitnesses testify the person they seen commit the crime was me - a dark complexed male with black hair and a full beard ! And I wasn't able to defend against their testimony because the fact the four (yes four) eyewitnesses told the first officer on the scene the person they seen was a white male with dark brown hair and thin mustache - this police officer was never brought forth to testify - I didn't know he existed - the same four eyewitnesses gave tape recorded statements describing the person they seen commit the crime as white brown hair and thin mustache - but I wasn't able to point that out either, since it was concealed by the police - the jury never heard of the initial eyewitnesses descriptions and were made to believe I was the one they saw without a doubt !!! And sadly this truth has been covered up too - that's just one sad example - oh by the way the initial eyewitnesses descriptions fit the roommate of the victim - who was able to lie and say I confessed to him - and two years later another one of his roommates gets killed and he points his finger at someone else that lands on death row - I was almost killed myself that night - but that never

came to light either - I suffered a major concussion that went untreated and had them saying I was drunk and must have had a blackout and that's why I couldn't remember the killing ...

All I want to know is why they don't have to respond to all the evidence that clearly shows I did not receive a fair and impartial trial ??

You don't have to be a lawyer to know if the jury didn't hear the evidence that totally discredited the evidence that was presented - that something is wrong !!! That after four decades the evidence showing I did not receive a fair and impartial trial is still not bringing recognized is crazyWhy - Why - Why !!! One Eagle